

On an imbedding theorem

NGUYEN XUAN KY

Introduction. In 1968, P. L. ULJANOV [13] gave a sufficient and necessary condition for the imbedding of Hölder class H_p^ω into the space L^q ($1 \leq p < q < \infty$). The result of Uljanov was generalized later by L. LEINDLER [5], [6]. In this paper we consider an analogous problem for the case of the new modulus $\omega_{\varphi, w}(f, \delta)_p$ introduced by Z. DITZIAN and V. TOTIK [1], namely we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the imbedding of Hölder type class of functions determined by $\omega_{\varphi, w}(f, \delta)_p$ with $w(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$, $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$ ($\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $x \in (-1, 1)$) into another class of functions.

An imbedding theorem. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $u(x)$ be a nonnegative, integrable function on the finite interval (a, b) . Denote by $L_u^p(a, b)$ the Banach space of all measurable functions on (a, b) with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_u^p(a, b)} = \left\{ \int_a^b |f(x)|^p u(x) dx \right\}^{1/p}.$$

In the case $u \equiv 1$ we use the notations $L^p(a, b)$, $\|f\|_{L^p(a, b)}$, respectively.

The modulus of a function $f \in L^p(a, b)$ is defined by the formula

$$\omega(f, \delta)_{L^p(a, b)} = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_a^{b-h} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}, \quad (0 \leq \delta \leq b-a).$$

Let (we shall use these notations throughout this paper)

$$w(x) = w_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta, \quad (\alpha, \beta \geq 0, x \in (-1, 1));$$

$$\varphi(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2} \quad (x \in (-1, 1)).$$

Research supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research Grant No. 1801.

Received October 26, 1989 and in revised form March 22, 1991.

The weighted modulus of a function f for which $wf \in L^p(-1, 1)$ was introduced by Z. Ditzian and V. Totik as follows:

$$\omega_{\varphi, w}(f, \delta)_p := \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \|w \Delta_{\varphi(x)h} f(x)\|_{L^p(-1, 1)}$$

where

$$\Delta_{\varphi(x)h} f(x) := \begin{cases} f(x + \varphi(x)h) - f(x) & \text{for } x: x + \varphi(x)h \in (0, 1), \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\omega(\delta)$ be a modulus of continuity, i.e. $\omega(\delta)$ is an onnegative, increasing continuous function on $[0, 1]$, $\omega(0) = 0$ and $\omega(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq \omega(\delta_1) + \omega(\delta_2)$ ($0 \leq \delta_1 < \delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq 1$). Define the Hölder type class

$$H_{\varphi, w, p}^\omega := \{f: wf \in L^p(-1, 1), \omega_{\varphi, w}(f, \delta)_p = O_f\{\omega(\delta)\} (\delta \rightarrow 0)\}.$$

We shall prove

Theorem 1. *Let $1 \leq p < q < \infty$. Let $\omega(\delta)$ be an arbitrary modulus of continuity.*

Then

(3)
$$H_{\varphi, w, p}^\omega \subset L_{w^{1/q} \varphi^{(q/p)-1}}^q(-1, 1)$$

iff

(4)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(q/p)-2} \omega^q\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty.$$

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need some lemmas.

For any function $f(x)$ defined on $(-1, 1)$, let $f^*(\Theta) := f(\cos \Theta)$ ($\Theta \in (0, \pi)$). Let $P_n(\alpha, \beta, x)$ be the n -th orthonormal polynomials with respect to the parameters α, β . Then the system

$$\Phi = \{J_n(\alpha, \beta, \theta)\} := \{P_n^*(\alpha, \beta, \theta)[w_{\alpha, \beta}^*(\theta) \varphi^*(\theta)]^{1/2}\}$$

is orthonormal on $(0, \pi)$. Denote by Φ_n the set of all φ -polynomials of degree at most n , i.e. the set of all functions of the form $\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k J_k(\alpha, \beta, \theta)$ (λ_k are real numbers, $k = 0, \dots, n$).

Lemma 1. *For any $\varphi_n \in \Phi_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, the inequalities*

(5)
$$\|\varphi_n'\|_{L^p(0, \pi)}^* \leq cn \|\varphi_n\|_{L^p(0, \pi)}$$

and

(6)
$$\|\varphi_n\|_{L^p(0, \pi)} \leq cn^{1/p-1/q} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^p(0, \pi)}$$

hold.

Proof. Combining [3, T. 4] with [8, T. 14] we get (5) and (6).

For $wf \in L^p(-1, 1)$ let

(7)
$$E_n(w, f)_p = \inf \|w(f - p_n)\|_{L^p(-1, 1)}, \quad p_n \in \pi_n.$$

where π_n denotes the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree at most n ($n=0, 1, \dots$).

We define also the best approximation of a function $g \in L^p(0, \pi)$ by Φ -polynomials:

$$(8) \quad E_n^*(g)_p = \inf \|g - \varphi_n\|_{L^p(0, \pi)}, \quad \varphi_n \in \Phi_n.$$

It is clear that

$$(9) \quad E_n(w, f)_p = E_n^*(g_f)_p,$$

where

$$(10) \quad g_f(\theta) := f^*(\theta)w^*(\theta) \sin^{1/p} \theta.$$

Lemma 2 ([11], T. 3). *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We have for every $wf \in L^p(-1, 1)$*

$$(11) \quad E_n(w, f)_p \leq c\omega_{\varphi, w}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_p \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Lemma 3. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. For every $g \in L^p(0, \pi)$ the inequality*

$$(12) \quad \omega\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{L^p(0, \pi)} \leq cn^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n E_k^*(g)_p$$

holds.

Proof. Using inequality (5) we can prove this Lemma by the same way as that of the inverse theorem for the best trigonometric approximation (see e.g. [7]).

By a result of DITZIAN and TOTIK (see [1], T. 2.1.1.) we have that $\omega_{\varphi, w}(f, \delta)_p$ is equivalent to the K -functional

$$K_{\varphi, w}(f, \delta)_p := \inf_{h \in D_{\varphi, w}^p} \{ \|w(f-h)\|_{L^p(-1, 1)} + \delta \|w\varphi h'\|_{L^p(-1, 1)} \}$$

where $D_{\varphi, w}^p$ denotes the class of all functions g , which are locally absolutely continuous on $(-1, 1)$ and for which $wg, w\varphi g' \in L^p(-1, 1)$.

On the other hand, the other K -functional defined on $L^p(0, \pi)$:

$$K^*(g, \delta)_p := \inf_{h \in D_p} \{ \|w^*(\varphi^*)^{1/p}(h-g)\|_{L^p(0, \pi)} + \delta \|w^*(\varphi^*)^{1/p}h'\|_{L^p(0, \pi)} \}$$

where D_p denotes the class of all locally absolutely continuous functions h on $(0, \pi)$ for which $(\varphi^*)^{1/p}w^*h \in L^p(0, \pi)$, is equivalent to the following modulus of continuity

$$(13) \quad \Omega_{A, B}(g, \delta)_p := \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_0^B |g(\theta+h) - g(\theta)|^p (w^*(\theta))^p \varphi^*(\theta) d\theta \right\}^{1/p} + \\ + \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_A^\pi |g(\theta-h) - g(\theta)|^p (w^*(\theta))^p \varphi^*(\theta) d\theta \right\}^{1/p}, \\ (0 < A < B < \pi; 0 < \delta < \min(A, \pi - B)).$$

This fact was proved essentially in [11], special cases of which were proved in [9] and [10].

Summing the mentioned statements we have

Lemma 4. Let $1 < p < \infty, 0 < A < B < \pi$. Let $wf \in L^p(-1, 1)$ and

$$g_f(\theta) := f^*(\theta)w^*(\theta) \sin^{1/p} \theta.$$

Then

$$(14) \quad \omega_{\varphi, w}(f, \delta)_p \sim \Omega_{A, B}(g, \delta)_p \quad (\delta \rightarrow 0).$$

After these, let us turn to the

Proof of Theorem 1. a) (4) \Rightarrow (3). Let $wf \in L^p(-1, 1)$. From (4) it follows by (11), that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(q/p)-2} E_n^q(w, f)_p < \infty$$

and so, we have for the function g_f defined by (10)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(q/p)-2} E_n^{*q}(g_f)_p < \infty.$$

Hence, by Hardy inequality and (12) we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega^q \left(g_f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{L^p(0, \pi)} n^{(q/p)-2} < \infty$$

which implies by T. 1 of [13] that $g_f \in L^q(0, \pi)$, therefore $f \in L^q_{w^q \varphi^{q/p-1}}(-1, 1)$.

b) (3) \Rightarrow (4). Suppose, that (4) does not hold. Using the method applied in [13], p. 673 one can construct a function $\varphi_0 \in L^p \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{4} \right]$ satisfying the following conditions

$$(15) \quad \varphi_0(x) = 0, \quad x \in [3/4, 5/4];$$

$$(16) \quad \int_{1/4}^{1/4+h} |\varphi_0(x)|^p dx \leq c\omega^p(h);$$

$$(17) \quad \omega(\varphi_0, \delta)_{L^p(1/4, 5/4)} \leq c\omega(\delta);$$

$$(18) \quad \varphi_0 \notin L^q[1/4, 5/4].$$

Let now

$$g_0(\theta) := \begin{cases} \varphi_0(\theta)w^*(\theta)[\varphi^*(\theta)]^{1/p} & \text{for } \theta \in [1/4, 5/4], \\ 0 & \text{for } \theta \in [0, \pi] \setminus [1/4, 5/4]. \end{cases}$$

We estimate the modulus (13) with $A=3/2, B=2$ of the function g_0 . By (15), (16)

and (17) one can see that

$$\Omega_{3/2, 2}(g_0, \delta)_p = O\{\omega(\delta)\} \quad (\delta \rightarrow 0).$$

Therefore by (14) we have for the function

$$f_0(x) := g_0(\arccos x)w^{-1}(x)\varphi^{-1/p}(x)$$

$$\omega_{\varphi, w}(f_0, \delta)_p = O\{\omega(\delta)\} \quad (\delta \rightarrow 0),$$

which means that $f_0 \in H_{\varphi, w, p}^\omega$.

On the other hand by (18) it follows that

$$f_0 \notin L_{w^a \varphi^{a/p-1}}^a(-1, 1).$$

Thus, the necessity of (4) is proved.

Remark 1. The part (3)⇒(4) indeed can be obtained immediately from inequality (6) and T. 1 of [12]. Besides, we have appeared the other proof, because by this method we can prove a generalization of Theorem 1, which will be stated in the following.

For a nonnegative monotonic sequence of numbers $\{\varphi_k\}$, the function

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^x k^{(\gamma/p)-2} \varphi_k \quad (\gamma, p \geq 1)$$

was introduced by LEINDLER [6]. We denote by $M_{w, \varphi}^{\gamma, p}$ the class of measurable functions f on $(-1, 1)$, for which

$$\int_0^\pi g_f^{q+1-(a/p)}(\theta) \Phi(|g_f(\theta)|) d\theta < \infty$$

where g_f is defined by (10). Then the following theorem is true.

Theorem 2. Let $1 \leq p \leq \gamma < \infty$. Let $\{\varphi_k\}$ be a nonnegative monotonic sequence of numbers satisfying $\varphi_{k^2} \leq c\varphi_k$ and in the case $\gamma > p$, moreover let

$$\varphi_k \leq \varphi_{k+1} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then

$$(19) \quad H_{w, \varphi, p}^\omega \subset M_{w, \varphi}^{\gamma, p}$$

iff

$$(20) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{(\gamma/p)-2} \varphi_n \omega^\gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty.$$

Using Lemmas 1—4 we can prove this theorem by the same method as we used to prove Theorem 1, with the modification that the results of Uljanov applied in

the proof of Theorem 1 will be replaced by the generalized results of Leindler (see Theorem 3 and its proof in [6]), while the inequality of Hardy used in the proof will be replaced by a generalized inequality (see [4], inequality (1')).

References

- [1] Z. DITZIAN and V. TOTIK, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer-Verlag (New York, 1987).
- [2] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD and G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities* (Cambridge, 1959).
- [3] В. И. ИВАНОВ, Некоторые экстремальные свойства полиномов и обратные неравенства теории приближения, *Труды Математ. Инст. АН СССР*, **45** (1980), 79—110.
- [4] L. LEINDLER, Generalization of inequalities of Hardy and Littlewood, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **31** (1970), 279—285.
- [5] L. LEINDLER, Necessary conditions for imbedding of classes of functions, *Analysis Math.*, **1** (1975), 55—61.
- [6] L. LEINDLER, On imbedding of classes H_p^ω , *Acta Sci. Math.*, (Szeged), **31** (1970), 13—31.
- [7] G. G. LORENTZ, *Approximation of functions* (New York, 1966).
- [8] P. G. NEVAI, Orthogonal polynomials, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, **18** (1979), No 213.
- [9] N. X. KY, On Jackson and Bernstein type approximation theorem in the case of approximation by algebraic polynomials in L_p -space, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, **9** (1974), 405—415.
- [10] NGUYEN XUAN KY, On imbedding theorem for weighted polynomial approximation and modulus of continuity of functions, to appear.
- [11] NGUYEN XUAN KY, On approximation of functions by polynomials with weights, to appear.
- [12] M. F. TIMAN, Orthonormal systems satisfying an inequality of S. M. Nikolskii, *Analysis Math.*, **4** (1978), 75—82.
- [13] P. L. ULJANOV, Embedding of some classes of functions, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSR, Ser. Mat.*, **32** (1968), 649—686.

INSTITUTE OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY
H-1117 BUDAPEST
BOGDÁNFY U. 10/B