

Relating the normal extension and the regular unitary dilation of a subnormal tuple of contractions

AMEER ATHAVALE

In this paper we deal with only bounded linear operators on complex infinite dimensional separable Hilbert spaces. If $S=(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is a tuple of operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then for any n -tuple $k=(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ of integers k_i , S^k denotes $S_1^{k_1}S_2^{k_2}\dots S_n^{k_n}$, where $S_i^{k_i}$ is to be interpreted as $S_i^{*(-k_i)}$ if k_i is negative. If a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is contained in some Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , then $P(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ will denote the projection of \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{H} . If for a tuple $S=(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ of n commuting operators on \mathcal{H} , there exist a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} containing \mathcal{H} and a tuple $M=(M_1, \dots, M_n)$ of n commuting operators on \mathcal{K} such that $S^kx=P(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})M^kx$ for any x in \mathcal{H} and any n -tuple k of non-negative integers, then S on \mathcal{H} is said to *dilate to M on \mathcal{K}* ; if moreover \mathcal{H} is invariant for each M_i , then S on \mathcal{H} is said to *extend to M on \mathcal{K}* . If S on \mathcal{H} dilates to M on \mathcal{K} and each M_i is unitary, then M on \mathcal{K} is said to be a *unitary dilation of S on \mathcal{H}* . If S on \mathcal{H} extends to M on \mathcal{K} and each M_i is normal, then M on \mathcal{K} is said to be a *normal extension of S on \mathcal{H}* , and S is said to be *subnormal*. Among all the normal extensions of a subnormal tuple S , there is a *minimal* one which is unique up to unitary equivalence (see [4]). In particular, if N on \mathcal{K} is the minimal normal extension of S on \mathcal{H} , then $\mathcal{K}=\vee(N^k\mathcal{H}: k \text{ is a tuple of non-positive integers})$, where \vee denotes the closed linear span in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ of \mathcal{K} .

For our purposes, a special type of unitary dilation, known in the literature as *regular unitary dilation* (or *Sz.-Nagy—Brehmer dilation*) (see [3], [7]) is important. For any n -tuple $k=(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ of integers, define $k+=(\max(k_1, 0), \dots, \max(k_n, 0))$ and $k-=(\min(k_1, 0), \dots, \min(k_n, 0))$. If for a tuple S of n commuting operators on \mathcal{H} , there exist a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} containing \mathcal{H} and a tuple U of n commuting unitaries on \mathcal{K} such that $S^{k-}S^{k+x}=P(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})U^{k-}U^{k+x}$ for any x in \mathcal{H} and any n -tuple k of integers, then U on \mathcal{K} is said to be a *regular unitary dilation of S on \mathcal{H}* ; U is *minimal* if $\mathcal{K}=\vee\{U^k\mathcal{H}: k \text{ is an } n\text{-tuple of integers}\}$.

In what follows, we will use the symbols \bar{D}^n , T^n and m_n to denote the closed unit polydisk in \mathbb{C}^n , the unit polycircle in \mathbb{C}^n and the normalized product arc-length measure on T^n respectively. The spectral measure of a normal or unitary tuple M will be denoted by $\mu(M)$. In case $n=1$, it is well known (see [2], [5]) that if N on \mathcal{H} is the minimal normal extension of a contraction S on \mathcal{H} , then $\mu(N)|_{T^1}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to m_1 , provided S is pure; that is, there does not exist a non-trivial closed reducing subspace \mathcal{H}' of \mathcal{H} such that $S|_{\mathcal{H}'}$ is normal. (An examination of the proof in [5] and Theorem 6.4 in Chapter II of [7] actually reveals that “ $S|_{\mathcal{H}'}$ is normal” can be replaced by “ $S|_{\mathcal{H}'}$ is unitary”.) A contraction S on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is said to be C_0 , (see [7]) if $\|S^n h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any h in \mathcal{H} . It is obvious from Theorem 3.2 in Chapter I of [7] that a C_0 contraction does not have a non-trivial unitary part. At this stage, the reader may refer to the statement of Theorem 1 below and the question raised at the end of the paper.

Lemma 1. *If S is a subnormal tuple of contractions on \mathcal{H} , then S has a regular unitary dilation.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.1 of [1] and from the observation made in the proof of Corollary to Theorem 3.1 of [1].

Lemma 2. *If U on \mathcal{H} is a minimal regular unitary dilation of S on \mathcal{H} and each S_i is a C_0 contraction, then $\|\mu(U)(\cdot)x\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ is absolutely continuous with respect to m_n for any x in \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. Let U on \mathcal{H} be a minimal regular unitary dilation of S on \mathcal{H} . Define operators D_i ($i=0, 1, \dots, n$) from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H} as follows: $D_0=I$ ($Ix=x$ for any x in \mathcal{H}), $D_{i+1}=D_i - U_{i+1}^* D T_{i+1}$, ($i=0, \dots, n-1$). Let A be the closed linear span of $D_n \mathcal{H}$ in \mathcal{H} . It follows from Theorem 1 of [3] that $U^k A$ and $U^l A$ are orthogonal to each other with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of \mathcal{H} for any two distinct integer n -tuples k and l , and

$$\mathcal{H} = \vee \{U^m A : m \text{ is an } n\text{-tuple of integers}\}.$$

Let $\xi=(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ denote a generic point of T^n . For any a in A and any n -tuple k of integers, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T^n} \xi_1^{k_1} \xi_2^{k_2} \dots \xi_n^{k_n} d\mu(U)(\xi)a\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \langle U^k a, a \rangle = \\ &= \begin{cases} \|a\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 & \text{if } k_i = 0, \text{ for each } i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since the trigonometric polynomials are dense in $C(T^n)$, the space of continuous

functions with the supremum norm, it follows that

$$\|\mu(U)(\cdot)a\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 = \|a\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 m_n(\cdot).$$

From our observations above and utilizing the fact that $\mu(U)$ commutes with all U^m , it is easy to deduce that $\|\mu(U)(\cdot)x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2$ is absolutely continuous with respect to m_n for any x in \mathcal{H} .

Theorem 1. *Let S be a subnormal tuple of C_0 contractions on \mathcal{H} . If N on \mathcal{H} is the minimal normal extension of S , then $\mu(N)/T^n$ is absolutely continuous with respect to m_n .*

Proof. Let S on \mathcal{H} be a subnormal tuple of C_0 contractions and N on \mathcal{H} be its minimal normal extension. By Lemma 1, S has a regular unitary dilation U on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H}' . Define

$$\mathcal{L} = \vee \{U^k \mathcal{H} : k \text{ is an } n\text{-tuple of integers}\},$$

\vee denoting the closed linear span in the norm of \mathcal{H}' , and let $W_i = U_i/\mathcal{L}$ ($i=1, \dots, n$). Then W on \mathcal{L} is a minimal regular unitary dilation of S on \mathcal{H} .

Now for any h in \mathcal{H} and any n -variable complex polynomial q , we have

$$\|q(N)h\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 = \int_{D^n} |q(y)|^2 d\|\mu(N)(y)h\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2$$

and

$$\|q(W)h\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 = \int_{T^n} |q(\xi)|^2 d\|\mu(W)(\xi)h\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2.$$

Since

$$\|q(N)h\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 = \|q(S)h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|P(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H})q(W)h\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 \leq \|q(W)h\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2,$$

it follows in particular that

$$(1) \quad \int_{T^n} |q(\xi)|^2 d\|\mu(N)(\xi)h\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 \leq \int_{T^n} |q(\xi)|^2 d\|\mu(W)(\xi)h\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2.$$

It is known that the unit polydisk algebra, as restricted to T^n , is an approximating in modulus algebra (see [6]); that is, any positive continuous function on T^n can be approximated uniformly on T^n by the moduli of polynomials. It follows from (1) that if f is any positive continuous function on T^n , then

$$(2) \quad \int_{T^n} f(\xi) d\|\mu(N)(\xi)h\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 \leq \int_{T^n} f(\xi) d\|\mu(W)(\xi)h\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2.$$

It is clear from (2) that $\|(\mu(N)|T^n)(\cdot)h\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\|\mu(W)(\cdot)h\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2$ for any h in \mathcal{H} . Next appeal to Lemma 2 to deduce that $\|(\mu(N)|T^n)(\cdot)h\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2$ is absolutely continuous with respect to m_n for any h in \mathcal{H} . The desired conclusion now follows by using the minimality of N .

Question. If S is a subnormal tuple of contractions on \mathcal{H} and if there is no non-trivial closed subspace \mathcal{H}' of \mathcal{H} which is reducing for each S_i and on which each S_i is unitary, is it true that $\mu(N)|T^n$ is absolutely continuous with respect to m_n , where N is the minimal normal extension of S ?

References

- [1] A. ATHAVALE, Holomorphic kernels and commuting operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **304** (1987), 101—110.
- [2] J. B. CONWAY and R. F. OLIN, A functional calculus for subnormal operators. II, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **10**, No. 184 (1977).
- [3] I. HALPERIN, Unitary dilations which are orthogonal bilateral shift operators, *Duke Math. J.*, **29** (1962), 573—580.
- [4] T. ITO, On the commutative family of subnormal operators, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ.*, **14** (1958), 1—15.
- [5] C. R. PUTNAM, A connection between the unitary dilation and the normal extension of a subnormal contraction, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **50** (1986), 421—425.
- [6] E. L. STOUT, *The theory of uniform algebras*, Bogden and Quigley (Tarrytown—New York, 1971).
- [7] B. SZ. NAGY and C. FOIAŞ, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, North-Holland (Amsterdam, 1970).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF POONA
PUNE 411 007
INDIA