

On Jessen's inequality

J. E. PEČARIĆ and I. RAŠA

1. Introduction

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let $C(X)$ be the space of all continuous real-valued functions on X , endowed with supremum norm and usual ordering. Let $M_+^1(X)$ be the set of all probability Radon measures on X . The following fact is well-known [7, Sect. 6]:

(1) If μ is a bounded linear functional on $C(X)$ such that $\|\mu\| = \mu(1) = 1$ then μ is positive, i.e. $\mu \in M_+^1(X)$.

Let K be a compact convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff real space B and let B' be the topological dual of B . Let $\mu \in M_+^1(K)$. Then (see [7, Sect. 1 and Sect. 4]):

(2) *There exists a unique $b \in B$ such that $\mu(l) = l(b)$ for all $l \in B'$.* (In fact, $b \in K$; it is called the barycenter of μ).

(3) $f(b) \leq \mu(f)$ for every convex function $f \in C(K)$.

The inequality (3) is related to the Jessen's inequality (see [1], [4], [5], [6], [10]).

We shall use these results to prove a Jessen-type theorem similar to Theorem 5 of F. V. HUSSEINOV [3] and we shall extend (1), (2), (3) by considering a class of sublinear functionals studied by V. TOTIK [12] instead of linear functionals $\mu \in M_+^1(K)$.

2. A Jessen-type theorem

Let E be a nonempty set and let L be a linear space of real-valued functions defined on E ; suppose that the constant function 1 belongs to L . Let $M: L \rightarrow R$ be a linear isotonic (i.e., $M(f) \leq M(g)$ whenever $f, g \in L, f \leq g$) functional such that $M(1) = 1$.

Let B be a locally convex Hausdorff real space and let \mathcal{L} be a set of B -valued functions defined on E such that $l \circ F \in L$ for all $F \in \mathcal{L}$ and all $l \in B'$. Let $M: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ be such that $l(MF) = M(l \circ F)$ for all $l \in B'$ and $F \in \mathcal{L}$.

Let K be a compact convex subset of B , let $\varphi \in C(K)$ be a convex function and let $F \in \mathcal{L}$. Suppose that $F(E) \subset K$ and $\varphi \circ F \in L$. Denote $H = \{h \in C(K): h \circ F \in L\}$.

The following theorem contains a Jensen-type inequality (see [1], [2], [6], [10] and, especially, [3]).

Theorem 1. a) $MF \in K$ and $\varphi(MF) \leq M(\varphi \circ F)$.

b) If φ is a strictly convex function then $\varphi(MF) = M(\varphi \circ F)$ if and only if $h(MF) = M(h \circ F)$ for all $h \in H$.

Proof. Let us remark that $1 \in H$, $\varphi \in H$ and $l \in H$ for all $l \in B'$. Consider $\lambda: H \rightarrow R$, $\lambda(h) = M(h \circ F)$ for all $h \in H$. Then λ is a positive linear functional with $\|\lambda\| = 1$. Using the Hahn—Banach theorem we deduce that there exists a bounded linear functional μ on $C(K)$ such that μ coincides with λ on H and $\|\mu\| = \|\lambda\|$. It follows that $\|\mu\| = \mu(1) = 1$. Using (1) we infer that $\mu \in M_+^1(K)$.

Now let $l \in B'$. Then $\mu(l) = \lambda(l) = M(l \circ F) = l(MF)$ and (2) implies that MF is the barycenter of μ , hence $MF \in K$. Using (3) we deduce $\varphi(MF) \leq \mu(\varphi) = \lambda(\varphi) = M(\varphi \circ F)$.

Suppose now that φ is strictly convex (such a function exists in $C(K)$ if and only if K is metrizable) and $\varphi(MF) = M(\varphi \circ F)$. Then $\mu(\varphi) = \varphi(MF)$. By virtue of [8, Lemma], μ is the Dirac measure corresponding to MF . It follows that $M(h \circ F) = \lambda(h) = \mu(h) = h(MF)$ for all $h \in H$.

3. Inequalities for sublinear functionals

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Denote by $\mathcal{T}(X)$ the set of all sublinear functionals $A: C(X) \rightarrow R$ such that $A(1) = 1$, $A(-1) = -1$ and $\|A\| = 1$ (i.e., $|A(f)| \leq 1$ for all $f \in C(X)$ with $\|f\| \leq 1$).

V. TORIK has proved in [12] that if $A \in \mathcal{T}(X)$ then $A(f) \leq \max_x f$ for all $f \in C(X)$; moreover, if X is metrizable then for every $A \in \mathcal{T}(X)$ there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X such that $A(f) = \limsup (f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_n))/n$ for all $f \in C(X)$.

We shall extend (1), (2) and (3) replacing $M_+^1(X)$ by $\mathcal{T}(X)$.

Example 1. Let $\mu, \nu \in M_+^1(X)$. Define $A(f) = \int \max(\nu(f), f(x)) d\mu(x)$ for all $f \in C(X)$. Then $A \in \mathcal{T}(X)$.

Example 2. Let (ν_i) be a net in $M_+^1(X)$. Define $A(f) = \limsup \nu_i(f)$ for all $f \in C(X)$. Then $A \in \mathcal{T}(X)$.

Although the following extension of (1) is a consequence of Proposition 2 below, we insert here a direct proof.

Proposition 1. *Every $A \in \mathcal{T}(X)$ is isotonic.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in C(X)$, $f \leq g$, $A(f) > A(g)$. Then $0 < A(f) - A(g) \leq A(h)$ where $h = f - g \leq 0$. Let $m = -\min_x h$. Clearly $m > 0$. We have $0 < A(h) \leq A(-m) + A(h+m)$; this yields $A(h+m) > m$. On the other hand $0 \leq h+m \leq m$ and $\|A\| = 1$ imply $A(h+m) \leq m$, a contradiction.

Proposition 2. *$A \in \mathcal{T}(X)$ if and only if there exists a nonempty set $S \subset M_+^1(X)$ such that $A(f) = \sup \{\mu(f) : \mu \in S\}$ for all $f \in C(X)$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{T}(X)$ and let $S = \{\mu : C(X) \rightarrow R : \mu \text{ linear}, \mu \leq A\}$. Using the Hahn—Banach theorem we deduce that $A(f) = \sup \{\mu(f) : \mu \in S\}$ for all $f \in C(X)$. But if $\mu \in S$, then $\|\mu\| = \mu(1) = 1$; therefore $S \subset M_+^1(X)$.

The converse is easy to prove.

The following result extends (2) and (3).

Theorem 2. *Let K be a compact convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff real space B and let $A \in \mathcal{T}(K)$. There exists a unique nonempty compact convex subset Q of K such that $A(h) = \max_Q h$ for all $h \in B'$. Moreover:*

- a) *A is linear on B' if and only if Q reduces to one point.*
- b) *Let $M \subset K$. Then $A(f) \cong \sup_M f$ for every convex function $f \in C(K)$ if and only if $M \subset Q$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2 there exists $S \subset M_+^1(K)$ such that $A(f) = \sup \{\mu(f) : \mu \in S\}$ for all $f \in C(K)$. Let $C = \text{conv}\{b(\mu) : \mu \in S\}$ where $b(\mu)$ is the barycenter of μ . Let Q be the closure of C . If $h \in B'$ we have $A(h) = \sup \{\mu(h) : \mu \in S\} = \sup \{h(b(\mu)) : \mu \in S\} = \sup \{h(x) : x \in C\} = \max_Q h$. The uniqueness of Q is an easy consequence of separation theorems.

a) Clearly A is linear on B' if Q reduces to one point. Conversely, let A be linear on B' . For $h \in B'$ we have $\max_Q h = A(h) = -A(-h) = -\max_Q (-h) = \min_Q h$. It follows that every $h \in B'$ is constant on Q , hence Q reduces to one point.

b) Let $M \subset Q$ and let $f \in C(K)$ be convex. Then $A(f) = \sup \{\mu(f) : \mu \in S\} \cong \sup \{f(b(\mu)) : \mu \in S\} = \sup \{f(x) : x \in C\} = \max_Q f \cong \sup_M f$.

Conversely, suppose that $A(f) \cong \sup_M f$ for every convex function $f \in C(K)$. If $t \in M$ and $t \notin Q$, there exists $h \in B'$ such that $h(t) > \max_Q h$. We have $\sup_M h \cong \sup_Q h > \max_Q h = A(h) \cong \sup_M h$, a contradiction. Hence $M \subset Q$.

Example 3. Let $a \in [0, 1]$, $A : C[0, 1] \rightarrow R$, $A(f) = \int_0^1 \max(f(a), f(x)) dx$. Then $A \in \mathcal{T}([0, 1])$ and $Q = [(1 - (1 - a)^2)/2, (1 + a^2)/2]$.

Example 4. Let $K=[0, 1]^2$ and $A \in \mathcal{T}(K)$,

$$A = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{(0,0)} + \varepsilon_{(0,1)}), \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{(1,0)} + \varepsilon_{(1,1)}) \right\}$$

where ε_t is the Dirac measure corresponding to t .

Then

$$Q = \left\{ \left(x, \frac{1}{2} \right) : x \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

Example 5. Let $K=[0, 1]^2$ and

$$A = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{(0,0)} + \varepsilon_{(1,1)}), \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{(0,1)} + \varepsilon_{(1,0)}) \right\}.$$

Then Q reduces to the point $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)$.

Remark 1. Let $\mu \in M_+^1(K)$ and let $b=b(\mu)$. For $g \in C(K)$ define

$$B_n g(b) = \int_{K^n} g((t_1 + \dots + t_n)/n) d(\mu \otimes \dots \otimes \mu)(t_1, \dots, t_n).$$

(B_n is a Bernstein—Schnabl type operator; see [11] and the references given there.)

For every convex function $f \in C(K)$ we have the following improvement of (3):

$$\mu(f) = B_1 f(b) \cong B_2 f(b) \cong \dots \cong f(b)$$

and $\lim B_n f(b) = f(b)$ (see [11]). In [9] it is shown that $\mu(f) = f(b)$ if and only if f is affine on the closure of $\text{conv}(\text{supp } \mu)$.

Remark 2. Let now K be a Choquet simplex. Let $\mu \in M_+^1(K)$ and $b=b(\mu)$. Let ε_b be the Dirac measure and let $\mu_b \in M_+^1(K)$ be the unique maximal measure which represents b . Then for every convex function $f \in C(K)$ we have (see [7, Sect. 9]):

$$(4) \quad \varepsilon_b(f) \leq \mu(f) \leq \mu_b(f).$$

For $K=[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ inequalities similar to the second inequality are studied and generalized in [1] and [6], Lemma 1.

Let $A \in \mathcal{T}(K)$, $S \subset M_+^1(K)$, $A = \sup \{ \mu : \mu \in S \}$. Let $f \in C(K)$ be convex. Then $A(f) = \sup \{ \mu(f) : \mu \in S \} \leq \sup \{ \mu_b(f) : b \in Q \}$. Hence

$$(5) \quad \sup \{ \varepsilon_b(f) : b \in Q \} \leq A(f) \leq \sup \{ \mu_b(f) : b \in Q \}.$$

This is an extension of (4).

References

- [1] P. R. BEESACK and J. E. PEČARIĆ, On Jessen's inequality for convex functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **110** (1985), 536—552.
- [2] I. M. BOMZE, Jensen-Ungleichung in Banach-Räumen: ein kurzer Beweis, *Anz. Österreich. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl.*, **121** (1984), 67—68.
- [3] F. V. HUSSEINOV, On Jensen's inequality, *Mat. Zametki*, **41** (1987), 798—806 (Russian).
- [4] B. JESSEN, Bemaerkinger om konvekse Funktioner og Uligheder imellem Middelvaerdier. I, *Mat. Tidsskrift B* (1931), 17—28.
- [5] E. J. MCSHANE, Jensen's inequality, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **43** (1937), 521—527.
- [6] J. E. PEČARIĆ and P. R. BEESACK, On Jessen's inequality for convex functions. II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **118** (1986), 125—144.
- [7] R. R. PHELPS, *Lectures on Choquet's theorem*, Van Nostrand (Princeton, 1966).
- [8] I. RAŞA, On some results of C. A. Micchelli, *Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx.*, **9** (1980), 125—127.
- [9] I. RAŞA, Sets on which concave functions are affine and Korovkin closures, *Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx.*, **15** (1986), 163—165.
- [10] I. RAŞA, A note on Jessen's inequality, *Itin. Sem. Funct. Eq. Approx. Conv. Cluj-Napoca*, Univ. "Babes-Bolyai", Preprint 6 (1988), 275—280.
- [11] I. RAŞA, On the monotonicity of sequences of Bernstein—Schnabl operators, *Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx.*, **17** (1988), 185—187.
- [12] V. TOTIK, Representation of functionals via summability methods, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **48** (1985), 483—498 and **49** (1985), 235—256.

(J. E. P.)
 FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY
 IVE LOLE RIBARA 126
 41 000 ZAGREB
 CROATIA

(I. R.)
 CATEDRA DE MATEMATICA
 INSTITUTUL POLITEHNIC
 STR. E. ISAC 15
 3400 CLUJ, ROMANIA