

On composition operators

ASHOK KUMAR

1. Preliminaries

Let $(X, \mathcal{S}, \lambda)$ be a σ -finite measure space and let Φ be a measurable non-singular ($\lambda\Phi^{-1}(E)=0$ whenever $\lambda(E)=0$) transformation from X into itself. Then the composition transformation C_Φ on $L^2(X, \mathcal{S}, \lambda)$ is defined as

$$C_\Phi f = f \circ \Phi \quad \text{for every } f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{S}, \lambda).$$

In case C_Φ is a bounded operator with range in $L^2(X, \mathcal{S}, \lambda)$ we call it a *composition operator*. In this paper we generalize the Theorem 1 [11] and prove that the result is also true in case $(X, \mathcal{S}, \lambda)$ is a σ -finite standard Borel space. In the subsequent sections we characterize composition operators with ascent 1 and descent 1 and give a criterion for partial isometry and co-isometry composition operator. In the last section hyponormal composition operators on $l^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{S}, \lambda)$, the square summable weighted sequence space, have been characterized and a necessary condition for C_Φ to be hyponormal on $L^2(X, \mathcal{S}, \lambda)$ is given, where $(X, \mathcal{S}, \lambda)$ is a standard Borel space.

Let $B(L^2(\lambda))$, $R(C_\Phi)$, $R(C_\Phi)^\perp$ denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on $L^2(\lambda)$, the range of C_Φ and the orthogonal complement of the range of C_Φ respectively. We denote by

$$f_0 = \frac{d\lambda\Phi^{-1}}{d\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad g_0 = \frac{d\lambda(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}}{d\lambda}$$

the Radon—Nikodym derivative of the measure $\lambda\Phi^{-1}$ and $\lambda(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}$ with respect to the measure λ , respectively. The symbols X_0 and X'_0 will stand for the set $\{x: f_0(x)=0\}$ and $\{x: g_0(x)=0\}$ respectively. The multiplication operators induced by f_0 and g_0 are denoted by M_{f_0} and M_{g_0} .

Received October 16, 1989 and in revised form October 3, 1991.

If E and F are two measurable sets, then “ $E=F$ ” will indicate that their symmetric difference is of measure zero. We denote the characteristic function of a measurable set E by χ_E .

Definition. An operator A on a Hilbert space H is called a *Fredholm operator* if the range of A is closed and the dimensions of the kernel and co-kernel are finite.

Definition. A *standard Borel space* X is a Borel subset of a complete separable metric space T . The class \mathcal{S} will consist of all the sets of form $X \cap B$, where B is a Borel subset of T .

2. Fredholm composition operators

Theorem 1. *Let $(X, \mathcal{S}, \lambda)$ be a σ -finite non-atomic standard Borel space and $C_\Phi \in B(L^2(\lambda))$. Then C_Φ is a Fredholm operator if and only if it is invertible.*

Proof. If C_Φ is invertible, then C_Φ is clearly a Fredholm operator.

Since $\text{Ker } C_\Phi = \text{Ker } C_\Phi^* C_\Phi = \text{Ker } M_{f_0}$ [17, p. 82], where M_{f_0} is the multiplication operator induced by f_0 , and X is a non-atomic, the nullity of C_Φ is either zero or infinite. Suppose C_Φ is a Fredholm operator. Then, since C_Φ is one-to-one with closed range, to prove that C_Φ is invertible it is enough to show that Φ is one-to-one a.e. [18, Theorem 2; 13, Corollary 2.4]. Suppose Φ is not one-to-one. By Corollary 8.2 [22] there exist two Borel sets Y_1 and Z_1 such that Φ is one-to-one on Y_1 onto Z_1 and $\lambda(X \setminus Y_1) \neq 0$. Now $(X \setminus Y_1)$ is a Borel set. Let $\Phi_1 = \Phi|_{(X \setminus Y_1)}$. Again by Corollary 8.2 [22] we get two Borel sets $Y_2 \subseteq (X \setminus Y_1)$ and Z_2 such that Φ is one-to-one on Y_2 onto Z_2 . Since X is a non-atomic, we can write $Y_2 = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n$ such that $0 < \lambda(E_n) < \infty$, $E_n \cap E_m = \emptyset$ whenever $n \neq m$, and $\lambda(Y_1 \cap \Phi^{-1}(\Phi[E_n])) \neq 0$. From the fact that $R(C_\Phi) = L^2(X, \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}), \lambda)$ [13, Lemma 2.4], where $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) = \{\Phi^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{S}\}$, it follows that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $K_n \in \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\langle \chi_{E_n}, \chi_{K_n} \rangle \neq 0$. This shows that χ_{E_n} does not belong to $R(C_\Phi)^\perp$. Since $\lambda(Y_1 \cap \Phi^{-1}(\Phi[E_n])) \neq 0$, we have $E_n \neq \Phi^{-1}(E)$ for any $E \in \mathcal{S}$, and hence χ_{E_n} can not belong to $R(C_\Phi)$ also. Let $L^2(\lambda) = R(C_\Phi)^\perp \oplus R(C_\Phi)$. Consider $\{\chi_{E_n}\} = \{f_n + g_n\}$, where $f_n \in R(C_\Phi)^\perp$ and $g_n \in R(C_\Phi)$. In view of the remark [22, page 3] $\Phi[E_n] = \{\Phi(x) : x \in E_n\}$ is a Borel set. Let $F_n = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi[E_n])$. Since $\Phi|_{Y_2}$ is one-to-one, $\{F_n\}$ is a disjoint sequence of sets. We claim that $g_n = g_n \cdot \chi_{F_n}$. Suppose $g_n \neq g_n \cdot \chi_{F_n}$. Then $\lambda(G_n \setminus F_n) \neq 0$, where $G_n = \{x : g_n(x) \neq 0\}$. Since

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - g_n(x) & \text{for } x \in E_n, \\ -g_n(x) & \text{for } x \in X \setminus E_n. \end{cases}$$

We can find a set $G' \subseteq (G_n \setminus F_n)$ belonging to the σ -algebra $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ such that

$\langle f_n, \chi_G \rangle \neq 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus $g_n = g_n \cdot \chi_{F_n}$. Since

$$\langle f_n, f_m \rangle = \langle \chi_{E_n} g_n, \chi_{E_m} g_m \rangle = 0 \quad \text{whenever } n \neq m,$$

$\{f_n\}$ is an infinite orthogonal sequence in $R(C_\Phi)^\perp$ which contradicts the assumption that $\dim R(C_\Phi)^\perp$ is finite. Hence Φ is one-to-one (a.e.). Thus C_Φ has dense range and hence C_Φ is invertible.

3. Ascent and descent of a composition operator

Definition. Let A be an operator on a Hilbert space H . Then the *ascent* $\alpha(A)$ of A is the least non-negative integer such that $\text{Ker } A^k = \text{Ker } A^{k+1}$ for all $k \geq \alpha(A)$ and the *descent* $\delta(A)$ of A is the least non-negative integer such that $\overline{R(A^k)} = \overline{R(A^{k+1})}$ for all $k \geq \delta(A)$, where $\overline{R(A)}$ is the closure of the range of A .

We shall prove the following theorem which characterizes composition operators with ascent 1.

Theorem 2. Let $C_\Phi \in B(L^2(X, \mathcal{S}, \lambda))$. Then C_Φ has ascent 1 if and only if $\lambda(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(E) = 0$ implies $\lambda\Phi^{-1}(E) = 0$ for $E \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Since C_Φ is a composition operator, there exists an $M < \infty$ such that

$$\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi^{-1}(E)) \leq M\lambda\Phi^{-1}(E) \leq M^2\lambda(E)$$

for every $E \in \mathcal{S}$ [20, Theorem 1]. This shows that the measure $\lambda(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}$ defined as $\lambda(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(E) = \lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi^{-1}(E))$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure λ , and consequently for every $E \in \mathcal{S}$ we have $\lambda(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(E) = \int_E g_0 d\lambda$. Suppose $\lambda\Phi^{-1}(E) = 0$ whenever $\lambda(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(E) = 0$. Then, by absolute continuity of $\lambda(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}$ and the equation $\lambda\Phi^{-1}(E) = \int_E f_0 d\lambda$, it follows that $X_0 = X'_0$ and hence by [17, page 82] we conclude that

$$\text{Ker } C_\Phi = \text{Ker } M_{f_0} = L^2(X_0) = \text{Ker } M_{g_0} = \text{Ker } C_\Phi^2.$$

This shows that C_Φ is of ascent 1.

Conversely, suppose $\text{Ker } C_\Phi = \text{Ker } C_\Phi^2$. Since $\text{Ker } C_\Phi = L^2(X_0)$ and $\text{Ker } C_\Phi^2 = L^2(X'_0)$, it follows that $X_0 = X'_0$. Since $\lambda\Phi^{-1}(E) = \int_E f_0 d\lambda$ and $\lambda(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(E) = \int_E g_0 d\lambda$, it follows that $\lambda(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(E) = 0$ implies $\lambda\Phi^{-1}(E) = 0$.

Theorem 3. Let X be a σ -finite standard Borel space and let $C_\Phi \in B(L^2(X, \mathcal{S}, \lambda))$. Then the operator C_Φ has ascent 1 if and only if $\Phi[X_1] \supseteq X_1$, where $\Phi[X_1] = \{\Phi(x_1) : x_1 \in X_1\}$ and $X_1 = X \setminus X_0$.

Proof. Suppose $\Phi[X_1] \supseteq X_1$. Then, since $\text{Ker } C_\Phi = L^2(X_0)$ and $L^2(X) = L^2(X_0) \oplus L^2(X_1)$, every $f \in \text{Ker } C_\Phi^2$ can be written as

$$f = f_1 + g_1,$$

where $f_1 \in \text{Ker } C_\Phi$ and $g_1 \in L^2(X_1)$. Since

$$g_1 \circ \Phi \circ \Phi = C_\Phi^2 g_1 = C_\Phi^2 f = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi[X_1] \supseteq X_1,$$

it follows that $g_1 = 0$ a.e. on X_1 . Hence $f = f_1$. Thus $\text{Ker } C_\Phi^2 \subseteq \text{Ker } C_\Phi$. The inclusion otherway is true in general for every operator. This shows that $\text{Ker } C_\Phi = \text{Ker } C_\Phi^2$.

Conversely, suppose $\Phi[X_1] \not\supseteq X_1$. Now, if E is a measurable subset of $X_1 \setminus \Phi[X_1]$ of non-zero finite measure, then $C_\Phi^2 \chi_E = 0$. Since E is a subset of X_1 , it follows that $C_\Phi \chi_E \neq 0$, which implies $\text{Ker } C_\Phi \neq \text{Ker } C_\Phi^2$. Hence the proof of the theorem is completed.

Corollary 4. Let $C_\Phi \in B(L^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{S}, \lambda))$. Then $\text{Ker } C_\Phi = \text{Ker } C_\Phi^2$ if and only if $(\Phi \circ \Phi)[\mathbb{N}] = \Phi[\mathbb{N}]$, where $\Phi[\mathbb{N}]$ is the range of Φ .

Example 5. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, the set of real numbers, and let $\Phi(x) = |x|$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then C_Φ is a composition operator with ascent 1 on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

In the following theorem, we shall characterize composition operator with descent 1.

Theorem 6. Let $C_\Phi \in B(L^2(\lambda))$. Then $\overline{R(C_\Phi)} = \overline{R(C_\Phi^2)}$ if and only if $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) = (\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$, where \mathcal{S} is the σ -algebra of measurable subsets of X .

Proof. Suppose $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) = (\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$. Then, since the ranges of C_Φ and C_Φ^2 are dense in $L^2(X, \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}), \lambda)$ and $L^2(X, (\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S}), \lambda)$ respectively [13, Lemma 2.4], it follows that $\overline{R(C_\Phi)} = \overline{R(C_\Phi^2)}$.

Conversely, suppose $\overline{R(C_\Phi)} = \overline{R(C_\Phi^2)}$. Then

$$L^2(X, \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}), \lambda) = L^2(X, (\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S}), \lambda).$$

We claim that $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) = (\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$. Suppose $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) \neq (\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$. Then, since $(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ is a subfamily of $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$, there exists an element $E = \Phi^{-1}(F)$ which does not belong to $(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$. Since X is σ -finite, we can write

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Phi^{-1}(X_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(X_i),$$

where $\{X_i\}$ is a disjoint sequence of sets of finite measure. Let $F_i = E \cap (\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(X_i)$. Then F_i does not belong to $(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ or otherwise E will be in

$(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$. This shows that $\chi_{F_i} \notin \overline{R(C_\Phi^2)}$ for that $i \in \mathbb{N}$, which is a contradiction. Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

In the following theorem we characterize composition operators with descent 1 in particular case.

Theorem 7. *Let X be a σ -finite standard Borel space and let $C_\Phi \in B(L^2(\lambda))$. Then $\overline{R(C_\Phi)} = \overline{R(C_\Phi^2)}$ if and only if $\Phi|_{X_1}$ is injective a.e., where $X_1 = \{x: f_0(x) \neq 0\}$.*

Proof. Since $\overline{R(A)} \supseteq \overline{R(A^2)}$ for any bounded operator A on a Hilbert space, it follows that $\overline{R(C_\Phi)} \supseteq \overline{R(C_\Phi^2)}$. We will show that if $\Phi|_{X_1}$ is injective a.e., then the equality prevails. Suppose $\chi_E \in \overline{R(C_\Phi)}$. Then there exists a measurable subset $F \subseteq X_1$ such that $E = \Phi^{-1}(F)$. Since $\Phi|_{X_1}$ is injective a.e. and X is a σ -finite standard Borel space, $\Phi[F]$ is a Borel set and $\Phi[F] = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n$ for some disjoint sequence $\{E_n\}$ of measurable subsets of finite measure. Consider the sum

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \chi_{E_n} \circ \Phi \circ \Phi = \sum_{n=1}^\infty C_\Phi^2 \chi_{E_n}.$$

It is easy to see that the sum converges to χ_E a.e. By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem it converges to χ_E in L^2 -norm. Hence χ_E belongs to the closure of $R(C_\Phi^2)$. From this it follows that all simple functions which belong to $\overline{R(C_\Phi)}$ also belongs to $\overline{R(C_\Phi^2)}$. This is enough to establish the equality $\overline{R(C_\Phi)} = \overline{R(C_\Phi^2)}$.

Conversely, suppose $\Phi|_{X_1}$ is not injective a.e. Then, since X_1 is a Borel set, by Corollary 8.2 [22], there exists two Borel sets A and Z such that $\Phi_1 = \Phi|_{X_1}$ is one-to-one on A onto Z , $\lambda\Phi_1^{-1}(X_1 \setminus Z) = 0$ and $\lambda(X_1 \setminus A) \neq 0$. Let $F \subseteq (X_1 \setminus A)$ be a measurable set of finite measure such that $\lambda(A \cap \Phi^{-1}(\Phi[F])) \neq 0$. Then $\chi_{\Phi^{-1}(F)} = C_\Phi \chi_F \in R(C_\Phi)$. We claim that $\chi_{\Phi^{-1}(F)}$ does not belong to $\overline{R(C_\Phi^2)}$. If $\chi_{\Phi^{-1}(F)} \in \overline{R(C_\Phi^2)} = L^2(X, (\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S}), \lambda)$, then there exists $E \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Phi^{-1}(F) = (\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(E) = \Phi^{-1}(G) = \Phi^{-1}(G \cap A) \cup \Phi^{-1}(G \setminus (G \cap A))$, where $G = \Phi^{-1}(E)$. Since $\lambda(A \cap \Phi^{-1}(\Phi[F])) \neq 0$, we can conclude that $\lambda(G \cap A) \neq 0$, and hence $\lambda(\Phi^{-1}(G \cap A)) = \int_{G \cap A} f_0 \neq 0$ which is a contradiction.

Corollary 8. *Let $\inf \{\lambda(n): n \in \mathbb{N}\} > c > 0$ and $\sup \{\lambda(n): n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$ and let $C_\Phi \in B(l^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{S}, \lambda))$. Then $R(C_\Phi) = R(C_\Phi^2)$ if and only if $\Phi|_{\Phi[\mathbb{N}]}$ is one-to-one.*

Example 9. Let $X = [-1, 1]$ and λ be the Lebesgue measure on the Borel subsets of X . Let $\Phi(x) = |x|$. Then $C_\Phi \in B(L^2(\lambda))$ and $R(C_\Phi) = R(C_\Phi^2)$.

We shall give an example of a composition operator when $R(C_\Phi) \neq R(C_\Phi^2)$ but $\overline{R(C_\Phi)} = \overline{R(C_\Phi^2)}$.

Example 10. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, and let \mathcal{S} be the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}

wint λ as the Lebesgue measure. Define the measurable function Φ as follows

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1/x & \text{if } x \in]0, 1[, \\ -x-(n-2) & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty[\quad \text{and } n \leq x < n+1, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ x-(n-1) & \text{if } x \in]-\infty, 0] \quad \text{and } n \leq -x < n+1, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

This Φ induces a composition operator C_Φ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\chi_{]1, 0]} \notin R(C_\Phi^*)$ and $\chi_{]1, 0]} \in R(C_\Phi)$, it follows that $R(C_\Phi) \neq R(C_\Phi^*)$. But, since $(\Phi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) = \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$, we have $\overline{R(C_\Phi)} = \overline{R(C_\Phi^*)}$.

4. Partial isometry and co-isometry

Definition. An operator A on a Hilbert space is said to be a *partial isometry* if it is an isometry on the orthogonal complement of its kernel.

Theorem 11. Let C_Φ be a composition operator on $L^2(X, \mathcal{S}, \lambda)$. Then C_Φ is a partial isometry if and only if f_0 is a characteristic function.

Proof. Suppose C_Φ is a partial isometry. Then $C_\Phi = C_\Phi C_\Phi^* C_\Phi$, [8, Corollary 3, Problem 98] and it follows that $C_\Phi^* C_\Phi = C_\Phi^* C_\Phi C_\Phi^* C_\Phi$ which is equivalent to $M_{f_0} = M_{f_0} \cdot M_{f_0} = M_{f_0^2}$. From this we conclude that f_0 is a characteristic function.

Conversely, suppose f_0 is a characteristic function. Then, since $\text{Ker } C_\Phi = L^2(X_0)$ and $(\text{Ker } C_\Phi)^\perp = L^2(X_1, \mathcal{S}_1, \lambda)$, where $X_1 = X \setminus X_0$ and $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{E \cap X_1 : E \in \mathcal{S}\}$, it follows that

$$C_\Phi^* C_\Phi f = M_{f_0} f = f \quad \text{for all } f \in (\text{Ker } C_\Phi)^\perp.$$

This shows that C_Φ is an isometry on the orthogonal complement of its kernel.

Corollary 12. Let $C_\Phi \in B(l^2(N))$, where $l^2(N) = \{\{a_n\} : \sum |a_n|^2 < \infty\}$. Then C_Φ is a partial isometry if and only if Φ is one-to-one.

Proof. Since

$$f_0(n) = \frac{\lambda \Phi^{-1}(n)}{\lambda(n)} = \lambda \Phi^{-1}(n),$$

the Corollary follows.

Example 13. Let $X = [0, \infty[$ and λ be the Lebesgue measure on the Borel subsets of X . Let $\Phi_c(x) = x + c$, where $c \in X$. Then $C_{\Phi_c} \in B(L^2(\lambda))$; $f_0(x) = 1$, for $c \leq x < \infty$, and $f_0(x) = 0$, for $0 \leq x < c$. Hence by the above theorem $\{C_{\Phi_c} : c \in X\}$ is a family of partial isometries on $L^2(X)$.

Definition. An operator A on a Hilbert space is called a *co-isometry* if $AA^* = I$.

Theorem 14. *Let $C_\Phi \in B(L^2(\lambda))$. Then C_Φ is a co-isometry if and only if C_Φ is onto and $f_0 \circ \Phi = 1$ a.e.*

Proof. Since, for every $f \in R(C_\Phi)$,

$$f = C_\Phi C_\Phi^* f = C_\Phi C_\Phi^* C_\Phi g = C_\Phi M_{f_0} g = C_\Phi (f_0 \cdot g) = f_0 \circ \Phi \cdot f,$$

where $C_\Phi g = f$, C_Φ is co-isometry if and only if C_Φ is onto and $f_0 \circ \Phi = 1$ a.e.

Corollary 15. *Let $C_\Phi \in B(l^2(N))$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) C_Φ is partial isometry,
- (ii) C_Φ is co-isometry,
- (iii) C_Φ is onto,
- (iv) Φ is one-to-one.

5. Hyponormal composition operators

Definition. An operator A on a Hilbert space H is called *hyponormal* if $A^*A - AA^* \geq 0$.

In [9] hyponormal composition operators have been studied but it remains an open problem to find measure theoretic condition which is both necessary and sufficient for the hyponormality of C_Φ .

Lemma 16. *Let C_Φ be a composition operator on $L^2(\lambda)$. Then C_Φ is hyponormal only if C_Φ is one-to-one.*

Proof. Suppose C_Φ is hyponormal. Then

$$\text{Ker } C_\Phi C_\Phi^* \supseteq \text{Ker } C_\Phi^* C_\Phi = \text{Ker } C_\Phi = L^2(X_0).$$

Since $\text{Ker } C_\Phi C_\Phi^* = \text{Ker } C_\Phi^* = R(C_\Phi)^\perp = L^2(X, \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}), \lambda)^\perp$, then, for every measurable subset E of X_0 of non-zero finite measure, there exists an element F in \mathcal{S} such that

$$\langle \chi_E, C_\Phi \chi_F \rangle = \langle \chi_E, \chi_{\Phi^{-1}(F)} \rangle \neq 0,$$

which is contradiction. Thus it follows that the measure of X_0 is zero. This shows that C_Φ is one-to-one.

Corollary 17. *Let $C_\Phi \in l^2(N, \mathcal{S}, \lambda)$. Then C_Φ is hyponormal only if Φ is onto.*

Lemma 18. *Let $C_\Phi \in B(l^2(N, \mathcal{S}, \lambda))$. Then*

$$e_n = \frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))} \chi_{\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))} + e'_n,$$

where e_n is the characteristic function of $\{n\}$, and

$$e'_n \in (l^2(\mathbb{N}, \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}), \lambda))^\perp, \quad \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) = \{\Phi^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Proof. Since

$$l^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{S}, \lambda) = \overline{R(C_\Phi)} \oplus R(C_\Phi)^\perp = l^2(\mathbb{N}, \Phi^{-2}(\mathcal{S}), \lambda) \oplus (l^2(\mathbb{N}, \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}), \lambda))^\perp,$$

e_n admits the form

$$e_n = c\chi_{\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))} + e'_n, \quad e'_n \in R(C_\Phi)^\perp,$$

and it follows that

$$e'_n = e_n - c\chi_{\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))}.$$

Since $c\chi_{\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))} \perp e'_n$,

$$\langle c\chi_{\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))}, e_n - c\chi_{\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))} \rangle = 0,$$

which implies that

$$c = \frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))}.$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Using the notation

$$f_0(n) = \frac{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(n)}{\lambda(n)},$$

C_Φ^* , the adjoint of C_Φ , can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_\Phi^* e_n &= C_\Phi^* \left(\frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))} \chi_{\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))} + e'_n \right) = \frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))} C_\Phi^* C_\Phi \chi_{\Phi(n)} = \\ &= \frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))} f_0 \cdot \chi_{\Phi(n)} = \frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))} \cdot f_0 \circ \Phi(n) \cdot \chi_{\Phi(n)} = \\ &= \frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))} \cdot \frac{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(n))}{\lambda(\Phi(n))} \cdot \chi_{\Phi(n)} = \frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda\Phi(n)} \cdot \chi_{\Phi(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the following theorem is analogous to the proof of the Proposition 11.5 [2].

Theorem 19. Let $C_\Phi \in B(l^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{S}, \lambda))$. Then C_Φ is hyponormal if and only if Φ is onto and

$$\sum_{m \in \Phi^{-1}(n)} \frac{(\lambda(m))^2}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(m)} \leq \lambda(n).$$

Proof. Suppose C_Φ is hyponormal. Then, by Corollary 17., Φ is onto. Let ζ_n be the subspace spanned by $\{e_m\}_{m \in \Phi^{-1}(n)}$, $f \in \zeta_n$ and $f = \sum c_m e_m$. Then

$$\int |f \circ \Phi|^2 d\lambda = \langle C_\Phi f, C_\Phi f \rangle \geq \langle C_\Phi^* f, C_\Phi^* f \rangle = \langle C_\Phi^* \sum c_m e_m, C_\Phi^* \sum c_m e_m \rangle$$

and thus, by the above computation,

$$\int |f|^2 d\lambda_{\Phi^{-1}} \cong \left\langle \sum c_m \frac{\lambda(m)}{\lambda\Phi(m)} e_{\Phi(m)}, \sum c_m \frac{\lambda(m)}{\lambda\Phi(m)} e_{\Phi(m)} \right\rangle.$$

This implies

$$\|f\|_{\lambda_{\Phi^{-1}}}^2 \cong \left| \sum c_m \frac{\lambda(m)}{\lambda\Phi(m)} \right|^2 \cdot \lambda(n) = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \left| \sum c_m \lambda(m) \right|^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} |\langle f, h |_{\Phi^{-1}(n)} \rangle_{\lambda_{\Phi^{-1}}}|^2,$$

where

$$h = \frac{d\lambda}{d\lambda_{\Phi^{-1}}}.$$

Since the inner product with $h|_{\Phi^{-1}(n)}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{S}, \lambda_{\Phi^{-1}})$ induces a linear functional,

$$\lambda(n) \cong \|h|_{\Phi^{-1}(n)}\|_{\lambda_{\Phi^{-1}}}^2 = \sum \left(\frac{\lambda(m)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(m)} \right)^2 \lambda_{\Phi^{-1}}(m) = \sum_{m \in \Phi^{-1}(n)} \frac{(\lambda(m))^2}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(m)}.$$

Conversely, suppose the hypothesis of the theorem holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle C_{\Phi} C_{\Phi}^* f, f \rangle &= \langle C_{\Phi}^* f, C_{\Phi}^* f \rangle = \langle C_{\Phi}^* \sum c_n e_n, C_{\Phi}^* \sum c_n e_n \rangle = \\ &= \left\langle \sum c_n \frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda\Phi(n)} \chi_{\Phi(n)}, \sum c_n \frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda\Phi(n)} \chi_{\Phi(n)} \right\rangle = \\ &= \left\langle \sum_n \sum_{i \in \Phi^{-1}(n)} c_i \frac{\lambda(i)}{\lambda\Phi(i)} e_n, \sum_n \sum_{i \in \Phi^{-1}(n)} c_i \frac{\lambda(i)}{\lambda\Phi(i)} e_n \right\rangle = \\ &= \sum_n \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \left| \sum_{i \in \Phi^{-1}(n)} c_i \lambda(i) \right|^2 = \sum_n \left| \left\langle f |_{\Phi^{-1}(n)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(n)}} h \right\rangle_{\lambda_{\Phi^{-1}}} \right|^2 \cong \\ &\cong \sum \|f |_{\Phi^{-1}(n)}\|_{\lambda_{\Phi^{-1}}}^2 = \|f\|_{\lambda_{\Phi^{-1}}}^2 = \langle C_{\Phi} f, C_{\Phi} f \rangle = \langle C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} f, f \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that C_{Φ} is hyponormal.

Let X be a σ -finite standard Borel space and X_1 be the maximal subset of X such that $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(x) \cap (X_1 \setminus \{x\})) \neq \emptyset$ for $x \in X_1$. Let $X_2 = \Phi[X_1] = \{\Phi(x_1) : x_1 \in X_1\}$. Then X_2 is a Borel set [22, page 3]. Let $f_0(x) = c_n$ for $x \in X_2^{(n)}$, where $\{X_2^{(n)}\}$ is a disjoint sequence of sets such that $\bigcup_n X_2^{(n)} = X_2$, and let $Y_2^{(n)} = \Phi^{-1}(X_2^{(n)})$.

In the following theorem we consider measurable transformation Φ on a σ -finite standard Borel space such that f_0 satisfies the above property and find necessary condition for C_{Φ} induced by such Φ to be hyponormal which would explain $f_0 \cong f_0 \circ \Phi$ [9, Theorem 9, Example 16] is not a necessary condition for hyponormality of C_{Φ} .

Theorem 20. Let C_ϕ be a composition operator induced by above type measurable transformation. Then C_ϕ is hyponormal only if

$$\int_E f_0 d\lambda \cong \frac{\lambda(E)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(E))} \int_E f_0 \circ \Phi d\lambda, \quad E \subset Y_2^{(n)}$$

and

$$f_0(x) \cong f_0 \circ \Phi(x) \quad \text{a.e. on } x \in X \setminus X_1,$$

where X_1 is the maximal subset of X , σ -finite standard Borel space, such that $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(x)) \cap (X_1 \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ for $x \in X_1$.

Proof. It follows similarly as in Lemma 18 that

$$\chi_E = \frac{\lambda(E)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(E))} \chi_{\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(E))} + g, \quad g \in R(C_\phi)^\perp, \quad E \subset Y_2^{(n)}$$

and

$$C_\phi^* \chi_E = \frac{\lambda(E)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(E))} C_\phi^* C_\phi \chi_{\Phi(E)} = \frac{\lambda(E)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(E))} f_0 \cdot \chi_{\Phi(E)}.$$

Since C_ϕ is hyponormal, then for $E \subset Y_2^{(n)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f_0 d\lambda &= \langle C_\phi^* C_\phi \chi_E, \chi_E \rangle \cong \langle C_\phi C_\phi^* \chi_E, \chi_E \rangle = \frac{\lambda(E)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(E))} \langle f_0 \circ \Phi \chi_{\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(E))}, \chi_E \rangle = \\ &= \frac{\lambda(E)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(E))} \langle f_0 \circ \Phi \cdot \chi_E, \chi_E \rangle = \frac{\lambda(E)}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(E))} \int_E f_0 \circ \Phi d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

If $E = \{x : f_0(x) < f_0 \circ \Phi(x), x \in X \setminus X_1\}$ has a positive measure, then for a finite set $F \subset E$.

$$\int_F f_0 d\lambda = \langle C_\phi^* C_\phi \chi_F, \chi_F \rangle < \int_F f_0 \circ \Phi d\lambda = \langle C_\phi C_\phi^* \chi_F, \chi_F \rangle,$$

which is a contradiction. This proves the theorem.

The above theorem explains why the function in Example 10 [9, p. 131] does not induce hyponormal composition operator.

Since in Example 10 [9, p. 131]

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[1, 3/2]} f_0 d\lambda &= 1/4 \cdot 1/2 = 1/8 < \frac{\lambda([1, 3/2])}{\lambda\Phi^{-1}(\Phi[1, 3/2])} \int_{[1, 3/2]} f_0 \circ \Phi d\lambda = \\ &= \frac{1/2}{1 + 1/2 + 1} \cdot 5/2 \cdot 1/2 = 1/4, \end{aligned}$$

C_ϕ is not hyponormal.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by Government of India Grant No. F6-32/84-NSS and the article was written while the author was visiting Purdue University whose hospitality he acknowledges. The author is greatly thank-

ful to Professor Carl C. Cowen for his guidance and numerous conversations on subjects related to the contents of this paper. The author thanks to the referee for his critical comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] M. B. ABRAHAMSE and T. L. KRIETE, The spectral multiplicity of a multiplication operator, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **22** (1973), 845—857.
- [2] J. W. CARLSON, *Weighted composition operator on l^2* , Thesis, Purdue University, 1985.
- [3] K. L. CHUNG, *A course in probability theory*, Academic Press (New York, 1974).
- [4] N. DUNFORD and J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear operators. I*, Interscience (New York, 1958).
- [5] D. K. GUPTA, A. KUMAR and R. K. SINGH, Quasinormal composition operators and l_p^2 , *Indiana J. Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **11** (1980), 904—907.
- [6] D. K. GUPTA, A. KUMAR and R. K. SINGH, Compact and Hilbert—Schmidt composition operators, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **43** (1981), 117—122.
- [7] P. R. HALMOS, *Measure theory*, Van Nostrand (Toronto, New York and London, 1950).
- [8] P. R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand (Princeton, New Jersey, 1967).
- [9] D. J. HARRINGTON and R. WHITLEY, Seminormal composition operators, *J. Operator Theory*, **11** (1984), 125—135.
- [10] A. IWANIK, Pointwise induced operators on L^p -spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **53** (1976), 173—178.
- [11] A. KUMAR, Fredholm composition operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **79** (1980), 233—236.
- [12] A. KUMAR and R. K. SINGH, Multiplication operators and composition operators with closed ranges, *Bull. Australian Math. Soc.*, **16** (1977), 247—252.
- [13] A. KUMAR and R. K. SINGH, Characterization of invertible unitary, and normal composition operators, *Bull. Australian Math. Soc.*, **19** (1978), 81—95.
- [14] A. KUMAR and R. K. SINGH, Compact composition operators, *J. Australian Math. Soc. (Series A)*, **28** (1979), 309—314.
- [15] K. R. PARTHASARTHY, *Probability measure on metric spaces*, Academic Press (New York and London, 1967).
- [16] P. B. RAMANUJAN and S. M. PATEL, Operators whose ascent is 0 or 1, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **29** (1971), 557—560.
- [17] R. K. SINGH, Compact and quasinormal composition operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **45** (1974), 80—82.
- [18] R. K. SINGH, Normal and hermitian composition operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **47** (1975), 348—350.
- [19] R. K. SINGH, Invertible composition operators on $L^2(\lambda)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **56** (1976), 127—129.
- [20] R. K. SINGH, Composition operators induced by rational functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **59** (1976), 323—333.
- [21] A. E. TAYLOR and D. C. LAY, *Introduction to functional analysis*, Second edition, John Wiley and Sons (New York, 1980).
- [22] V. S. VARADARAJAN, *Geometry of quantum theory*, Vol. II, Univ. Series in Higher Mathematics, Van Nostrand, Reinhold (New York, 1970).
- [23] R. WHITLEY, Normal and quasinormal composition operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **70** (1978), 114—118.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
PURDUE UNIVERSITY
WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907
U.S.A.

Current address
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
UNIVERSITY OF JAMMU, NEW CAMPUS
JAMMU — 180 004, INDIA.