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## Introduction

There are two motivations for this research. The first one is an old and wellknown result of E. Posner [12]:

Theorem A. Let $R$ be a prime ring of characteristic not 2. If the composition of derivations $d, g$ of $R$ is a derivation, then either $d=0$ or $g=0$.

A number of authors have proved extensions of this theorem; we refer the reader to some ring-theoretic results $[3,5,9]$ and to some results from analysis [ $4,10,11]$.

The other motivation comes from the theory of von Neumann algebras. In a series of papers A. B. Thaheem and some other authors have studied the identity $\alpha+\alpha^{-1}=\beta+\beta^{-1}$ where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are automorphisms of a von Neumann algebra. This identity plays an important role in the Tomita-Takesaki theory (see, e.g., [ $6,7,8]$ ). In [13 and 14] and in a joint paper with Awamr [18], Thaheem has given various proofs of the following theorem.

Theorem B. Let $R$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\alpha, \beta$ be *-automorphisms of $R$ satisfying. $\alpha+\alpha^{-1}=\beta+\beta^{-1}$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ commute then there exists a central projection $p$ in $R$ such that $\alpha(p)=\beta(p)=p, \alpha=\beta$ on $p R$, and $\alpha=\beta^{-1}$ on $(1-p) R$.

For other results concerning the identity $\alpha+\alpha^{-1}=\beta+\beta^{-1}$ we refer to some recent papers $[1,15,16,17]$ where further references can be found.

It is our aim in this paper to extend Theorem A to more general mappings on more general rings, so that the special case of this extension gives a generalization of Theorem B. In particular, our research can be viewed as a new, more elementary
approach to the study of the identity $\alpha+\alpha^{-1}=\beta+\beta^{-1}$. In a subsequent paper we hope to consider this identity without assuming the commutativity of $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Let $R$ be a ring and $\alpha, \beta$ be automorphisms of $R$. An additive mapping $d$ of $R$ into itself is called an $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation if

$$
d(x y)=\alpha(x) d(y)+d(x) \beta(y) \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in R .
$$

An $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation $d$ is said to be inner if there exists $a \in R$ such that $d(x)=\alpha(x) a-$ $-a \beta(x)$ for all $x \in R$. Of course, derivations are (1,1)-derivations where 1 is the identity on R . We will study the case where the composition of an $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation $d$ and $a(\gamma, \delta)$-derivation $g$ is an $(\alpha \gamma, \beta \delta)$-derivation. We will first generalize Theorem A by proving that if $R$ is prime of characteristic not 2 and $g$ commutes with both $\gamma$ and $\delta$, then either $d=0$ or $g=0$ (Corollary 1). An abbreviated version of our main theorem reads as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $R$ be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, $d$ be an ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-derivation of $R$, and $g$ be a $(\gamma, \delta)$-derivation of $R$. Suppose that $d$ commutes with both $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and that $g$ commutes with both $\gamma$ and $\delta$. If $d g$ is an $(\alpha \gamma, \beta \delta)$-deriavtion then there exist ideals $U$ and $V$ of $R$ such that $U \oplus V$ is an essential ideal of $R, d=0$ on $V$ and $g=0$ on $U$. Moreover, if the annihilator of any ideal in $R$ is a direct summand (in particular, if $R$ is a von Neumann algebra), then $U \oplus V=R$.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain that the decomposition of Theorem B holds in arbitrary semiprime ring in which the annihilator of any ideal is a direct summand (Corollary 2). Moreover, the assumption that $\alpha$ and $\delta$ preserve adjoints is removed (in fact, we do not work in rings with involution).

## Preliminaries

Throughout, $R$ will represent an associative ring. Recall that $R$ is prime if $a R b=0$ implies that $a=0$ or $b=0 . R$ is said to be semiprime if $a R a=0$ implies that $a=0$. Equivalently, $R$ is semiprime if it has no nonzero nilpotent ideals. Every $C^{*}$-algebra is semiprime (for $0 \neq a a^{*} a \in a R a$ if $a \neq 0$ ). A von Neumann algebra is prime if and only if it is a factor (i.e., its center consists of scalar multiples of the identity).

Let $R$ be semiprime. Suppose that $a R b=0$ for some $a, b \in R$. Then we also have $(b R a) R(b R a)=0, a b R a b=0, b a R b a=0$, and therefore $b R a=0, a b=0, b a=0$ since $R$ is semiprime. Note that the left and right and two-sided annihilators of an ideal $U$ in $R$ coincide. It will be denoted by Ann ( $U$ ). Note also that $U \cap \operatorname{Ann}(U)=$ $=0$ and $U \oplus \operatorname{Ann}(U)$ is an essential ideal (i.e., $(U \oplus \operatorname{Ann}(U)) \cap I \neq 0$ for every nonzero ideal $I$ of $R$ ). We will be especially concerned with semiprime rings $R$ in
which the annihilator of any ideal is a direct summand; that is, Ann $(U) \oplus$ $\oplus \operatorname{Ann}(\operatorname{Ann}(U))=R$. for any ideal $U$ of $R$. Every von Neumann algebra has this property; namely, the annihilator of any ideal in a von Neumann algebra $R$ is $\sigma$ weakly closed, therefore it is of the form $p R$ for some central projection $p$ in $R$. More generally, the same is true for Baer *-rings, and, therefore, for $A W^{*}$-algebras (see, e.g., [2]).

## The results

Lemma 1. Let $R$ be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, $d$ be an ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-derivation of $R$ and $g$ be a $(\gamma, \delta)$-derviation of $R$. Suppose that the composition $d g$ is an ( $\alpha \gamma, \beta \delta)$ derivation, and suppose that $g$ commutes with both $\gamma$ and $\delta$. Then $g(x) R\left(\alpha^{-1} d\right)(y)=0$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Proof. We have $h=d g$ is a $(\alpha \gamma, \beta \delta)$-derivation. Consequently $\left(\beta^{-1} d\right)\left(g \delta^{-1}\right)=$ $=\beta^{-1} h \delta^{-1}$; that is, the composition of a $\left(\beta^{-1} \alpha, 1\right)$-derivation $\beta^{-1} d$ and a $\left(\gamma \delta^{-1}, 1\right)$ derivation $g \delta^{-1}$ is a $\left(\left(\beta^{-1} \alpha\right)\left(\gamma \delta^{-1}\right), 1\right)$-derivation $\beta^{-1} h \delta^{-1}$. We will show that $g \delta^{-1}$ commutes with $\gamma \delta^{-1}$. Note that this implies that there is no loss of generality in assuming $\beta=1$ and $\delta=1$.

Thus, let us prove that $g \delta^{-1}$ and $\gamma \delta^{-1}$ commute. Since $g$ commutes with $\gamma \gamma$ and $\delta$, it suffices to show that $g \gamma \delta^{-1}=g \delta^{-1} \gamma$. By the definition of $(\gamma, \delta)$-derivations we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\gamma g)(x y)=\gamma^{2}(x)(\gamma g)(y)+(\gamma g)(x)(\gamma \delta)(y) \\
& (g \gamma)(x y)=\gamma^{2}(x)(g \gamma)(y)+(g \gamma)(x)(\delta \gamma)(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we have assumed that $y g=g \gamma$ the relations imply that $(g \gamma)(x)(\gamma \delta-\delta \gamma)(y)=0$ for all $x, y \in R$; but $\gamma$ is onto, so we also have $g(x)(\gamma \delta-\delta \gamma)(y)=0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Substituting $x z$ for $x$ it follows easily that $g(x) R(\gamma \delta-\delta \gamma)(y)=0$ for all $x, y \in R$. In particular, $g((\gamma \delta-\delta \gamma)(x)) R(\gamma \delta-\delta \gamma)(g(x))=0$ for every $x$ in $R$. Since $g$ commutes with $\gamma \delta-\delta \gamma$, and since $R$ is semiprime, it follows that $g \gamma \delta=g \delta \gamma$. Multiplying this relation from the right and from the left by $\delta^{-1}$ we arrive at $g \delta^{-1} \gamma=g \gamma \delta^{-1}$.

Now, we may assume that $\beta=\delta=1$. A direct computation shows that

$$
(d g)(x y)=(\alpha \gamma)(x)(d g)(y)+(d \gamma)(x) g(y)+(\alpha g)(x) d(y)+(d g)(x) y .
$$

On the other hand, since $d g$ is an ( $\alpha \gamma, 1$ )-derivation, we have

$$
(d g)(x y)=(\alpha \gamma)(x)(d g)(y)+(d g)(x) y
$$

Comparing the two expressions so obtained for $(d g)(x y)$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d y)(x) g(y)+(\alpha g)(x) d(y)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in R \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $y z$ in (1) we obtain

$$
(d \gamma)(x) \gamma(y) g(z)+(d y)(x) g(y) z+(\alpha g)(x) \alpha(y) d(z)+(\alpha g)(x) d(y) z=0
$$

By (1) this relation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d \gamma)(x) \gamma(y) g(z)+(\alpha g)(x) \alpha(y) d(z)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y, z \in R . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $g(y)$ in (2) and using the assumption that $g$ commutes with $\gamma$, we then get

$$
(d \gamma)(x) g(\gamma(y)) g(z)+(\alpha g)(x)(\alpha g)(y) d(z)=0
$$

On the other hand, using (1) twice we obtain

$$
\{(d \gamma)(x) g(\gamma(y))\} g(z)=-(\alpha g)(x)\{(d \gamma)(y) g(z)\}=(\alpha g)(x)(\alpha g)(y) d(z)
$$

Comparing the last two relations we get $2(a g)(x)(\alpha g)(y) d(z)=0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. Since $R$ is 2 -torsion free we then have

$$
0=\alpha^{-1}((\alpha g)(x)(\alpha g)(y)(d)(z))=g(x) g(y)\left(\alpha^{-1} d\right)(z)
$$

Thus $g(x) g(y)\left(\alpha^{-1} d\right)(z)=0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. Replacing $x$ by $x u$ it follows at once that $g(x) R g(y)\left(\alpha^{-1} d\right)(z)=0$; similarly we see that $g(x) R g(y) R\left(\alpha^{-1} d\right)(z)=0$. The semiprimeness of $R$ then yields $g(y) R\left(\alpha^{-1} d\right)(z)=0$ and so the lemma is proved.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1 we obtain the following generalization of Posner's theorem.

Corollary 1. Let $R$ be a prime ring of characteristic not $2, d$ be an $(\alpha, \beta)$ derivation of $R$, and $g$ be an ( $\gamma, \delta$ )-derivation of $R$. Suppose that $g$ commutes with both $\gamma$ and $\delta$. If the composition $d g$ is an $(\alpha \gamma, \beta \delta)$-derivation then either $d=0$ or $g=0$.

Example. The assumption that $g$ commutes with both $\gamma$ and $\delta$ is not superfluous. Moreover, the following simple example shows that it cannot be replaced by the assumption that $d$ commutes with both $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Suppose that a prime ring with unit element 1 contains elements $a$ and $b$ such that $a^{2}=0, b^{2}=1, a b+b a=0$, and $a, b$ do not lie in the center of $R$ (for example, in the ring of $2 \times 2$ matrices the elements

$$
a=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad b=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

satisfy these conditions). Define the inner automorphism $\gamma$ by $\gamma(x)=b x b$ and the $(\gamma, 1)$-derivation $g$ by $g(x)=\gamma(x) b a-b a x ; g \neq 0$ since $g(x) a=-b a x a \neq 0$ for some $x \in R$ by the primeness of $R$. If $d$ is the inner derivation, $d(x)=a x-x a$, then $d g=0$.

We need two easy lemmas.
Lemma 2. Let $R$ be any ring and $\Theta$ be an automorphism of $R$. If $\Theta$ maps an ideal $W$ onto itself then $\Theta$ maps $\operatorname{Ann}(W)$ onto itself.

Proof. Given $w \in W, u \in \operatorname{Ann}(W)$ we have $0=\Theta(u w)=\Theta(u) \Theta(w)$ and similarly, $\Theta(w) \Theta(u)=0$. By assumption, $\Theta(w)$ is an arbitrary element in $W$, so it follows that $\Theta(u) \in \operatorname{Ann}(W)$. Thus $\Theta$ maps Ann ( $W$ ) into itself. Analogously, $\Theta^{-1}$ maps Ann ( $W$ ) into itself, which means that $\Theta$ is onto on Ann $(W)$.

Lemma 3. Let $R$ be a semiprime ring, and let $d$ be an $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation of $R$ which commutes with both $\alpha$ and $\beta$. If d maps $R$ into an ideal $W$ of $R$, then $d$ is zero on Ann ( $W$ ).

Proof. Given $w \in W, u \in \operatorname{Ann}(W)$ we have $u\left(\alpha^{-1} d\right)(w)=u d\left(\alpha^{-1}(w)\right) \in$ $\in \operatorname{Ann}(W) W=0$. Thus $\alpha(u) d(w)=\alpha\left(u\left(\alpha^{-1} d\right)(w)\right)=0$. Hence $d(u) \beta(w)=\alpha(u) d(w)+$ $+d(u) \beta(w)=d(u w)=0$. But then also $0=\beta^{-1}(d(u) \beta(w))=d\left(\beta^{-1}(u)\right) w$. That is, $d\left(\beta^{-1}(u)\right) \in \operatorname{Ann}(W)$ for any $u \in \operatorname{Ann}(W)$. However, by assumption $d\left(\beta^{-1}(u)\right)$ lies in $W$, so we are forced to conclude that $d\left(\beta^{-1}(u)\right)=0$. Since $d$ and $\beta^{-1}$ commute, $d(u)=0$ as well.

We now have enough information to prove the main theorem of this paper-
Theorem 1. Let $R$ be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, $d$ be an ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-derivation of $R$, and $g$ be an $(\gamma, \delta)$-derivation of $R$. Suppose that $d$ commutes with both $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and that $g$ commutes with both $\gamma$ and $\delta$. If the composition $d g$ is an $(\alpha \gamma, \beta \delta)$-derivation, then there exist ideals $U$ and $V$ of $R$ such that:
(i) $U \cap V=0$ and $U \oplus V$ is an essential ideal of $R$. Moreover, if the annihilator of any ideal in $R$ is a direct summand (in particular, if $R$ is a von Neumann algebra), then $U \oplus V=R$,
(ii) If $\Theta$ is any automorphism of $R$ which commutes with $d$ then $\Theta$ maps $U$ onto $U$ and $V$ oitto $V$,
(iii) d maps $R$ into $U$ and $d$ is zero on $V$,
(iv) $g$ maps $R$ into $V$ and $d$ is zero on $U$.

In particular, $d g=g d=0$.
Proof. Let $U_{0}$ be the ideal of $R$ generated by all $d(x), x \in R$. Let $V=$ Ann $\left(U_{0}\right)$ and $U=$ Ann ( $V$ ). Thus (i) holds. If an automorphism $\Theta$ of $R$ commutes with $d$, then $\Theta(x d(y) z)=\Theta(x) d(\Theta(y)) \Theta(z) \in U_{0}$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. Similarly, $\Theta(x d(y)) \in U_{0}$, $\Theta(d(y) z) \in U_{0}$ and $\Theta(d(y)) \in U_{0}$. Thus $U_{0}$ is invariant under $\Theta$. Likewise $U_{0}$ is invariant under $\Theta^{-\mathbf{1}}$. Hence $\Theta$ maps $U_{0}$ onto itself. From Lemma 2 it follows that $\Theta$ maps $V$ onto $V$, and therefore also $U$ onto $U$. Thus (ii) is proved. Since $d$ maps $R$ into $U_{0} \subseteq U$, (iii) follows immediately from Lemma 3. It remains to prove (iv). In view of Lemma 3 it suffices to show that $g(x)$ lies in $v$ for every $x \in R$. By Lemma 1 , since $d$ and $\alpha^{-1}$ commute, we have $g(x) R d(y)=0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Thus $g(x) \in$ $\in \operatorname{Ann}\left(U_{0}\right)=V$. Combining (iii) and (iv) we see that $d g=g d=0$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

Let $R$ be any ring. Suppose that automorphisms $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of $R$ satisfy $\alpha+\alpha^{-1}=$ $=\beta+\beta^{-1}$ and $\alpha \beta=\beta \alpha$. Multiply the first relation by $\alpha$, and observe that the relation which we obtain can be written in the form $(\alpha-\beta)\left(\alpha-\beta^{-1}\right)=0$. That is, the composition of the ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-derivation $\alpha-\beta$ and the $\left(\alpha, \beta^{-1}\right)$-derivation $\alpha-\beta^{-1}$ is equal to zero. Note that all the requirements of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Thus we have

Corollary 2. Let $R$ be a 2 -torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose that automorphisms $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of $R$ satisfy $\alpha+\alpha^{-1}=\beta+\beta^{-1}$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ commute then there exist ideals $U$ and $V$ of $R$ such that:
(i) $U \cap V=0$ and $U \oplus V$ is an essential ideal. Moreover, if the annihilator of any ideal in $R$ is a direct summand (in particular, if $R$ is a von Neumann algebra) then $U \oplus V=R$,
(ii) $\alpha$ and $\beta$ map $U$ onto $U$ and $V$ onto $V$,
(iii) $\alpha=\beta$ on $V$,
(iv) $\alpha=\beta^{-1}$ on $U$.

We conclude this paper with the following direct consequence of Corollary 2.
Corollary 3. Let $R$ be a prime ring of characteristic not 2. Suppose that automorphisms $\alpha, \beta$ of $R$ satisfy $\alpha+\alpha^{-1}=\beta+\beta^{-1}$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ commute then either $\alpha=\beta$ or $\alpha=\beta^{-1}$.

We leave as an open question whether or not the assumption that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ commute can be removed in Corollary 3 (it certainly cannot be removed in the case $R$ is semiprime, as Thaheem [17] has shown).
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