# REMARKS ON THE DETERMINATION OF THE COMPOSITION OF MnO<sub>2</sub>---Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>---Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> SYSTEMS

## By

## GY. GRASSELLY

### (Mineralogical-Petrographical Institute of the University, Szeged)

In a previous paper (1) the author describes a procedure for the determination of the composition of  $MnO_2-Mn_2O_3-Mn_3O_4$  systems. The procedure is based on literary data concerning the thermal properties of the manganese oxides of higher valencies according to which  $MnO_2$  already transforms into  $Mn_2O_3$  at a temperature range of 600-700°C, whereas  $Mn_2O_3$  and  $Mn_3O_4$  remain unchanged.

As a basis for the determination of the composition the author employs the change which the active oxygen content undergoes when the sample is heated at temperatures of 600-700 °C. According to the data of the literature this change can only be due to  $MnO_2$  transforming into  $Mn_2O_3$  and the extent of the change is proportional with the amount of  $MnO_2$ .

Originally (1) it was suggested to determine the composition as follows. The MnO and active O content of the substance to be examined is determined and recalculated to 100 per cent. Another part of the sample is heated for three hours at 600—700° C and its active O content is also determined, furthermore by means of calculation (taking the loss on ignition and the original MnO content into account) the MnO content is obtained too and these results are recalculated to 100 per cent. The difference of the active O content of the heated and starting sample — $\Delta O$  — plotted on the diagram of the paper (1) mentioned above shows which of the possible systems is involved thus indicating the rate of oxidation of the manganese oxides present and their amount.

The behaviour during the heating of the pure  $MnO_2$ ,  $Mn_2O_3$ ,  $Mn_3O_4$ seems to confirm the theoretical starting point of the determination which is also supported by the behaviour of the mixtures containing  $MnO_2$ —  $Mn_2O_3$ . The soundness of the starting basis was also supported by the results of examinations carried out on natural substances (manganite from Ilfeld). The DTA curves of these oxides and their mixtures did not either show phenomena which would seem to render necessary the revision of the above considerations (2). In the case of pure  $MnO_2$  and of mixtures containing  $MnO_2$  at a temperature of about  $560^{\circ}$  C the high endothermal peak indicating the transformation of  $MnO_2$  into  $Mn_2O_3$  appears distinctly

41.

on the curves,  $Mn_2O_3$  does not show any change until a temperature of 950° C, whereas in the case of mixtures containing  $MnO_2$  and/or  $Mn_2O_3$  the second characteristic high endothermal peak appears at 950° C indicating the transformation of  $Mn_2O_3$  into  $Mn_3O_4$ . The DTA curve of  $Mn_2O_4$  does not show any essential change.

The author also dealt with the thermal properties of manganese oxides of higher valency (3). The examinations showed that whilst pure  $MnO_2$ ,  $Mn_2O_3$ ,  $Mn_3O_4$  behave according to the data reported in the literature  $Mn_3O_4$  if it is pure is only stable at higher temperatures (exceeding 400° C), this fact considerably influences the method of determination mentioned above. Should  $MnO_2$  or  $Mn_2O_3$  also be present they already oxidise the  $Mn_3O_4$  to  $Mn_2O_3$  at 600—700°C.

Author also dealt in detail with the problem of the oxidation taking place as the result of the reaction between  $Mn_3O_4$  and the two other oxides in a solid phase (4). At the present regarding the determination of the composition of artificial mixtures, or ore mixtures containing oxides of higher valency it is only essential to note that not like at the original starting conditions of the determination at a temperature of  $600-700^{\circ}$  C merely  $MnO_2$ , but in the presence of  $MnO_2$  and/or  $Mn_2O_3$ ,  $Mn_3O_4$  must also be considered to be a variable component. Hence the change and the extent of the active oxygen content cannot be considered to be an unequivocal factor for the determination of the composition — as was believed in the first communication — because at the change of the active oxygen content in the presence of one or both other oxides in addition to  $MnO_2$  the oxygen change connected with the oxidation of  $Mn_3O_4$  into  $Mn_2O_3$  also plays a role.

Thus the following facts must be taken into account:

a) At a temperature of 600-700 °C MnO<sub>2</sub> on giving off oxygen is entirely transformed into Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

b) At the given temperature the  $Mn_2O_3$  is stable and does not undergo any change.

c) If the system only contains  $Mn_3O_4$  there is also no change at the given temperature, but in the presence of  $MnO_2$  and/or  $Mn_2O_3$ ,  $Mn_3O_4$  takes up oxygen and is transformed into  $Mn_2O_3$ .

On dealing with the oxidation of  $Mn_3O_4$  it was confirmed (4), that  $Mn_3O_4$  takes up the oxygen required for its transformation into  $Mn_2O_3$  from the air. Hence if the heating is carried out in an atmosphere free of oxygen presumably only  $MnO_2$  will undergo a change whilst  $Mn_3O_4$  will remain unchanged consequently it will only be possible to carry out the determination according to the original considerations. The only difference is that the heating at a temperature of 600—700°C must be accomplished in an indifferent atmosphere with the exclusion of air.

Pure substances and their mixtures, respectively, were heated for three hours at 650-720 °C in a nitrogen current.

The results obtained in the course of the heating in a nitrogen current are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1.

•

Samples		Composition at 20 <sup>o</sup> C recalc. to 100 per cent		sample treated at 670° C (three hours)							
				in air in N <sub>2</sub>							
				loss on ignition	compo- sition	Δo	loss on ignition	composition -		<u>\</u> 0	
										meas.	calc.
MnO <u>.</u>		MnO	81,86	—11,82	89,72	7,86		MnO	89,76	7,90	
		0	18,14		10,28			0	10,24		
$Mn_2O_3$		MnO	89,80	±0,00	89,80	±0,00	±0,00	MnO	89,91	0,11	
		0	10,20		10,20			0	10,09		
Mm.O		MnO	92,94	+0.00	92,87	+0.07	±0.00	MnO	92,94	±0.00	1
	un:001	0	7,06	_0,00	7,13	1 0,01		0	7,06		
MnO2+Mn3O4	25%+75%	MnO	89,97	-0,48	89,77	+0,20	2,87	MnO	91,84	1,87	-1,97
		0	10,03		10,23			0	. 8,16		
	50%+50%	MnO	87,33	4,01	89,87	-2,54	—5,77	MnO	91,40		—3,95
		0	12,67		10,13			0	8,60		
	75%/0+25%/0	MnO	84,46	-7,76	89,87	5,41		MnO	90,25	5,79	5,85
		0	15,54		10,13			, 0	9,75		
Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> +Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	25% +75%	MnO	92,04	-+-2,41	89,49	+2,55	±0,00	MnO	92,02	+0,02	±0,00
		0	7,96		10,51			0	7,98		
	50%+50%	MnO	91,29	+1,61	89,66	+1,63	±0,00	MnO	91,45	0,16	±0,00
		0	8,71		10,34			0	8,55		
	75%+25%	MnO	90,45	+0,73	89,58	+0,87	±0,00	MnO	90,26	+0,19	±0,00
		0	<b>9,55</b>		10,42			0	9,74		
MnO <sub>2</sub> +Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> + +Mn <sub>3</sub> O,	20 % + 30 % + 50 %	MnO	89,63	-0,62	90,14	0,51	-2,26	MnO	91,02	1.51	-1,58
		0	10,37		9,86			0	8,86		
	50%+30%+20%	MnO	86,32		89,99	-3,67		MnO	90,11		
		0	13,68		10,01			0	9,57		
	40%+20%+40%	MnO	87,74	—3,27	89,89	2,15	4,63	MnO	90,99	—3,25	-3,16
		0	12,26		10,11			· 0	9,01		

The Table 1. shows that in a nitrogen current at  $600-700^{\circ}$ C MnO<sub>2</sub> also transforms with oxygen loss into Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> remains unchanged at this temperature like when it is heated in air. Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> also remains unchanged and what is the most essential not only pure Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> remains unchanged but the same holds for mixtures too, hence it does not either change in the presence of MnO<sub>2</sub> and/or Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

Consequently the precise composition of systems consisting of components of  $MnO_2$ — $Mn_2O_3$ — $Mn_3O_4$  may be determined if the procedure described in paper (1) is merely modified so far as the heating at 600— 700°C is carried out in a nitrogen current and not in free air. When heated in a nitrogen current merely the  $MnO_2$  component changes the two other components remain unchanged. Hence after determining the active oxygen content of a sample heated in a nitrogen current and knowing the active oxygen content of the untreated sample after having carried out the suitable calculations (the MnO and the O content is recalculated to 100 per cent in both cases whether the sample is heated or not) according to the trend of thought described in the previous paper and if  $\triangle O$  is plotted on the diagram also described in this paper the exact composition of the sample examined may be determined.

There is, however, also a more simple way for the determination of the composition rendering the use of a diagram unnecessary.

If the samples to be examined are heated in a nitrogen current at 700° C according to the above paper (4) only  $MnO_2$  will undergo a change. It gives off oxygen and transforms into  $Mn_2O_3$ . The  $Mn_2O_3$  and/or  $Mn_3O_4$  components remain unchanged. Hence the observed  $-\Delta O$  per cent is proportional with the amount of the  $MnO_2$  present. If no change can be detected  $MnO_2$  is not present, thus the substance examined is pure  $Mn_2O_3$  or pure  $Mn_3O_4$ , or a mixture of the two. Considering that the MnO and active O content (recalculated to 100 per cent) of the not heat-treated examined substance must be known it can immediately be established which of the mentioned cases is involved.

One half of the sample heated in a nitrogen current is used for the determination of the active O, the other half is weighed into a crucible and is heated for three hours at  $700^{\circ}$ C in the free air and then its active O content is determined too. Knowing the MnO content these values are also recalculated to 100 per cent.

Hence the following values are known:

- a = the active O content of the original substance examined
- b = the active O content of the sample heated in a nitrogen current at 700°C
- c = the active O content of the sample heated in a nitrogen current and subsequently in air also at 700°C.

The  $\pm \triangle O$  values formed from these values represent the amount of  $MnO_2$  and  $Mn_3O_4$  respectively, i. e. on complementing the sum of the percentage of their amount to 100 per cent the  $Mn_2O_3$  quantity is obtained. On heating in nitrogen if a change occurs this can only be  $-\triangle O$  and only derive from  $MnO_2$  (a—b); after heating in nitrogen and subsequently in air if there occurs a change as compared with the oxygen content of the

sample heated in nitrogen it can only be  $+\Delta O$  and only derive from  $Mn_3O_4$  (c—b). On the basis of the character of the changes occurring in the course of the treatment, or through their non-occurrence, conclusions can be drawn concerning the components of the substance investigated as this is shown in the Table below (Table 2.). On the other hand, from the extent of the changes the amount of the respective component may be calculated on the basis that in the case of 100 per cent  $MnO_2$  the  $-\Delta O=$  8,27 per cent (a—b) and in that of 100 per cent  $Mn_3O_4 + \Delta O=$ 3,15 per cent (c—b).

Original	Ignition in nitr temperature of	ogen at a f 700° C	Ignition in free air at 700° C of the product ignited in $N_2$			
composition of the samples	composition of the product obtained	character of the change	composition of the product obtained	character of the change		
MnO <sub>2</sub>	Mn <sub>2</sub> O3	<u> </u>	Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	no change		
Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	no change	Mn₂O₃	no change		
Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Mn <sub>3</sub> O4	no change	Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	no change		
MnO2-Mn2O3	Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	<u> </u>	Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	no change		
MnO <sub>2</sub> —Mn <sub>3</sub> O4	Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> —Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	—∆O%	Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	+∆O%		
Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> —Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> —Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	no change	Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	· +∆O%		
MnO <sub>2</sub> Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> —Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	—∆O%	Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	+∆0%		

Table 2.

In the following a few examples are stated to illustrate the above:

a) The composition of the starting mixture: 50 per cent  $MnO_2 - 30$  per cent  $Mn_2O_3 - 20$  per cent  $Mn_3O_4$ . The active O content of the mixture a=13,68 per cent. The sample was heated for three hours in a nitrogen current at 700° C and then its active O content was determined from one half of the sample, b=9,57 per cent. Finally the second half of the sample which had previously been heated in nitrogen was also heated in free air for three hours at 700° C. Its active O content was c=10,22 per cent. Calculating on the basis of the above consideration the  $MnO_2$  content of the mixture:

$$MnO_{2}^{0}/_{0} = \frac{(a-b)\cdot 100}{8,27} = \frac{4,11\cdot 100}{8,27} = 49,7^{0}/_{0}$$
  
Similarly:  $Mn_{3}O_{4}^{0}/_{0} = \frac{(c-b)\cdot 100}{3,15} = \frac{0.65\cdot 100}{3,15} = 20,6^{0}/_{0}$ 

Finally:

$$Mn_2O_3 / 0 = 100 - (MnO_2 / 0 + Mn_3O_4 / 0) = 29,70 / 0$$
 is obtained.

b) The composition of the starting mixture: 40 per cent  $MnO_2 - 20$  per cent  $Mn_2O_3 - 40$  per cent  $Mn_3O_4$ . On carrying out the examinations one after another a = 12,26 per cent, b = 9,00 per cent and c = 10,24 per cent. On this basis:

$$MnO_{2}^{0}/_{0} = \frac{3,26 \cdot 100}{8,27} = 39,4^{0}/_{0}$$
$$Mn_{3}O_{4}^{0}/_{0} = \frac{1,24 \cdot 100}{3,15} = 39,3^{0}/_{0}$$
$$Mn_{2}O_{2}^{0}/_{0} = 100 - 78,7^{0}/_{0} = 21,3^{0}/_{0}$$

c) The composition of the starting mixture: 20 per cent  $MnO_2 - 30$  per cent  $Mn_2O_3 - 50$  per cent  $Mn_3O_4$ . On carrying out the examinations: a = 10,37 per cent, b = 8,86 per cent and c = 10,20 per cent. Hence

$$MnO_{2}^{0}/_{0} = \frac{1,51 \cdot 100}{8,27} = 18,2^{0}/_{0}$$
$$Mn_{3}O_{4}^{0}/_{0} = \frac{1,34 \cdot 100}{3,15} = 42,5^{0}/_{0}$$
$$Mn_{2}O_{3}^{0}/_{0} = 100-60,7 = 39,3^{0}/_{0}$$

d) The composition of the starting substance: 50 per cent  $MnO_2$  — 50 per cent  $Mn_3O_4$ . On carrying out the examinations: a = 12,67 per cent, b = 8,60 per cent and c = 10,18 per cent.

$$MnO_{2}^{0}/_{0} = \frac{4.07 \cdot 100}{8.27} = 49.2^{0}/_{0}$$
$$Mn_{3}O_{4}^{0}/_{0} = \frac{1.58 \cdot 100}{3.15} = 50.1^{0}/_{0}$$
$$Mn_{2}O_{3}^{0}/_{0} = 100 - 99.3 = 0.7^{0}/_{0}$$

At the determination the following two circumstances must be carefully taken into consideration:

1. In the paper referred to (4) it was mentioned that in the presence of large amounts of  $Mn_3O_4$  and slight ones of  $MnO_2$  and/or  $Mn_2O_3$  on heating the mixture in the free air for three hours  $Mn_3O_4$  does not completely transform into  $Mn_2O_3$ . If therefore, the sample contains a large amount of hausmannite and presumably only a slight quantity of pyrolusite or manganite then the heating for three hours in nitrogen is enough, but the heating in the free air of the half of the heated sample which follows must last at least 24 hours, more exactly it is advisable to heat it to constant weight. If on the other hand, pyrolusite, manganite and psilomelane dominate then both the heating in nitrogen and the following one in air at 700° C need not exceed three hours. For pure manganese ores about 0,3—0,5 g is sufficient.

 $45^{'}$ 

2. At the volumetric determination of the active oxygen content the error is  $\pm 0.2$  per cent. This does not represent an essential error, in the determination of the chemical composition, but an inaccurate determination of the different values an essential error in the determination of the mineral composition can be cause, both if the method with the diagramm, or the previously mentioned more simple one is used. The fluctuation  $\pm 0.2$  per cent of the  $\triangle O$  values — on the basis of which the mineral composition is determined — represents at the determination of the quantity of  $MnO_2$  a fluctuation of  $\pm 2.5$  per cent and at that of the quantity of  $Mn_3O_4$  a fluctuation of  $\pm 6.4$  per cent. For an informative determination. this is suitable and even more precise than the measurements carried out. by means of the recording micrometer stage the more so as a picture is gained of the average mineral composition of the whole substance and not only of the composition observed in the plane of the ore section as on using the recording micrometer stage. However, if we want to be very precise examinations carried out parallel should be made and the mean value of their results should be used for the calculation.

#### **References:**

- Grasselly, Gy.: Acta Mineralogica--Petrographica Univ. Szegediensis Tom. VIII. 1955. 13-26.
- Földvári—Vogl, M., Koblencz, V.: Acta Mineralogica—Petrographica Univ. Szegediensis Tom. IX. 1956. 7–14.
- Grasselly, Gy., Klivényi, E.: Acta Mineralogica—Petrographica Univ. Szegediensis. Tom. IX. 1956, 15–32.
- Grasselly, Gy., Klivényi, E.: Acta Mineralogica—Petrographica Univ. Szegediensis. Tom. IX. 1956. 33–40.

Received September 10, 1956.