A NEW OCCURRENCE OF MANGANILVAITE CaFe²⁺Fe³⁺(Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺)[Si₂O₇]O(OH) AT DOGNECEA, SOUTHWESTERN BANAT, ROMANIA: CHEMICAL COMPOSITION, CRYSTAL STRUCTURE AND CATION ORDERING

ILINCA GH.¹, VIZITIU, A.¹, TOPA, D.² & VLAD, Ş.³

¹ Department of Mineralogy, University of Bucharest, Bd. N. Bălcescu, 1, RO-701111 Bucharest, Romania

E-mail: ilinca@geo.edu.ro

² Department of Material Sciences, University of Salzburg, Hellbrunnerstrasse 34/III, A-5020 Salzburg, Austria

³ Ecological University of Bucharest, Franceza str., 3, Bucharest, Romania

Samples of ilvaite systematically exceeding 0.5 apfu Mn (in formula normalized on the basis of 6 cations) and suggesting the presence of the recently discovered mineral manganilvaite (ZOTOV et al., 2005; BONEV et al., 2005) were identified in the skarn deposit at Dognecea, where they occur in association with Mn-hedenbergite and magnetite. A number of 14 EDS chemical analyses of such ilvaites resulted in the following ranges of variation: (in weight percents; numbers in parentheses read as average values and standard deviations, respectively): Si: 13.39-14.09 (13.92; 0.15), Al: 0.00-0.27 (0.09; 0.11), Fe: 32.88-34.53 (34.62; 0.64), Mn: 7.30-9.17 (7.85; 0.54), Mg: 0.00-0.26 (0.07; 0.10), Ca: 9.86–10.64 (10.26; 0.22). Atomic proportions of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} for a chemical formula unit normalized for 6 cations, were calculated as $Fe^{2+} = 2 - Mn^{2+}$ and $Fe^{3+} = Fe_{total} - Fe^{2+}$. The variation domains of Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺ and Mn²⁺ atomic proportions were the following: 1.34-1.48 (average 1.44; standard deviation 0.04), 0.98-1.04 (1.01; 0.021) and 0.52-0.66 (0.56; 0.04), respectively. Other varieties of Mn-poorer ilvaites were identified, too.

Manganilvaite from Dognecea is a $P2_1/a$ polymorph (a =13.014 Å, b = 8.846 Å, c = 5.848 Å and $\beta = 90.34^{\circ}$) with significant ordering of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ among *Me*11 and *Me*12 structural sites. The single crystal structure determination of manganilvaite - based on 1509 unique reflections, was refined down to a final R = 5.41% (with single generic metals – Fe or Mn - in Me11, Me12 and Me2 positions). The crystal structure data allowed the calculation of interatomic and perpolyhedra average distances for all relevant cation-oxygen pairs and the evaluation of Fe²⁺ and Fe3⁺ occupancies in Me11 and Me12 structural sites. Statistical Fe^{x+} occupancies were calculated on the basis of two classic atomic radii models, *i.e.* GHOSE (1966) – (G) and SHANNON (1976) – (S). Thus, Me11 structural site is 53% (G) or 84% (S) occupied by Fe^{2+} (normalized values for Me11 + Me12 = 100%). Fe^{3+} occupancy in Me11 and Fe^{2+} occupancy in Me12 site is complementary to these values. The corresponding ordering parameter (TAKEUCHI et al., 1983) was 0.34 (G) or 0.47 (S). Same calculations concerned the Me2 structural site and allowed indirect evaluation of Mn²⁺ occupancy vs. complementary Fe^{2+} . Calculation of Mn occupancy in Me2 based on a simple linear variation of Me2-O Shannon distances between 2.18 Å (Fe²⁺–O) and 2.23 Å (Mn–O), resulted in 42% Mn^{2+} whereas the empirical model of Carrozzini (1994) yielded 52% Mn^{2+} . Similar discrepancies between the Shannon and Carrozzini models may be described in the case of the type manganilvaite from Ossikovo (BONEV *et al.*, 2005). The refinement of the structure with split *Me2* position (Mn and Fe) resulted in a slightly lower R = 5.33%. Attempts to refine the structure with Mn in Ca, *Me11* or *Me12* position have failed, suggesting that Mn is confined to the *Me2* position.

The experimental β value is slightly discrepant with regard to the ones calculated on the basis of correlated ordering parameter and degree of monoclinicity (TAKEUCHI *et al.*, 1983 (T); FINGER & HAZEN, 1987 (FH)): 90.16° (T) and 90.27° (FH).

Local paragenetical relations and various published correlations between the ordering parameter and the temperature of formation, suggest that Mn-ilvaite from Dognecea represents a reaction product of 6 hed + 4 mgt + 3 H₂O \rightarrow 6 ilvaite + ¹/₂ O₂, formed at temperatures not exceeding 300 °C, and in conditions of relatively low *f*O₂.

References

- BONEV, I.K., VASSILEVA, R.D., ZOTOV, N. & KOUZMANOV, K. (2005): Canadian Mineralogist, 43: 1027–1042.
- CARROZZINI, B. (1994): European Journal of Mineralogy, 6: 465–479.
- FINGER, L.W. & HAZEN, R.M. (1987). Zeitschrift für Kristallographie, 179: 415–430.
- GHOSE, S. (1969): In: WEDEPOHL, K. H. (ed.): Handbook of Geochemistry, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, II/3, 26-A, 1– 14.
- SHANNON, R.D. (1976): Acta Crystallographica, A32: 751–767.
- TAKEUCHI, Y., HAGA, N. & BUNNO, M. (1983): Zeitschrift für Kristallographie, 163: 267–283.
- ZOTOV N., KOCKELMAN W., JACOBSEN S.D., MITOV I., PANEVA D., VASSILEVA R.D. & BONEV I.K. (2005): Canadian Mineralogist, 43: 1043–1053.