

Estimation of the hyper-order of entire solutions of complex linear ordinary differential equations whose coefficients are entire functions

Benharrat BELAÏDI
Department of Mathematics
University of Mostaganem
B. P 227 Mostaganem-(Algeria)
belaidi.benharrat@caramail.com

Abstract. We investigate the growth of solutions of the differential equation $f^{(n)} + A_{n-1}(z)f^{(n-1)} + \dots + A_1(z)f' + A_0(z)f = 0$, where $A_0(z), \dots, A_{n-1}(z)$ are entire functions with $A_0(z) \not\equiv 0$. We estimate the hyper-order with respect to the conditions of $A_0(z), \dots, A_{n-1}(z)$ if $f \not\equiv 0$ has infinite order.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D35, 34M10, 34C10, 34C11.

Key words. Linear differential equations, growth of entire functions, hyper-order.

1 Introduction and statement of results

We assume that the reader is familiar with the fundamental results and the standard notations of the Nevanlinna's value distribution theory of meromorphic functions (see ([5])). Let $\sigma(f)$ denote the order of the growth of an entire function f as defined in ([5]):

$$\sigma(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r} = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r},$$

where $T(r, f)$ is the Nevanlinna characteristic of f (see [5]), and $M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$.

Definition 1. ([1], [2], [8]) Let f be a meromorphic function. Then the hyper-order $\sigma_2(f)$ of $f(z)$ is defined by

$$\sigma_2(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r}. \quad (1.1)$$

Note. Clearly, if $f(z)$ is entire, then

$$\sigma_2(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r}. \quad (1.2)$$

We define the linear measure of a set $H \subset [0, +\infty[$ by $m(H) = \int_H dt$ and the logarithmic measure of a set $F \subset [1, +\infty[$ by $m_l(F) = \int_F \frac{dt}{t}$. The upper and the lower densities of H are defined by

$$\overline{\text{dens}} H = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(H \cap [0, r])}{r}, \quad \underline{\text{dens}} H = \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(H \cap [0, r])}{r}.$$

Recently in [1], [2], [3] the concept of hyper-order was used to further investigate the growth of infinite order solutions of complex differential equations.

The following results have been obtained for the second order equation

$$f'' + A(z) f' + B(z) f = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

where $A(z), B(z) \not\equiv 0$ are entire functions.

Theorem A. ([1]) *Let H be a set of complex numbers satisfying $\overline{\text{dens}} \{|z| : z \in H\} > 0$, and let $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ be entire functions such that for some constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$,*

$$|A(z)| \leq \exp \left\{ o(1) |z|^\beta \right\} \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$|B(z)| \geq \exp \left\{ (1 + o(1)) \alpha |z|^\beta \right\} \quad (1.5)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$ for $z \in H$. Then every solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of equation (1.3) satisfies $\sigma(f) = +\infty$ and $\sigma_2(f) \geq \beta$.

Theorem B. ([2]) *Let H be a set of complex numbers satisfying $\overline{\text{dens}} \{|z| : z \in H\} > 0$, and let $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ be entire functions, with $\sigma(A) \leq \sigma(B) = \sigma < +\infty$ such that for some real constant $C (> 0)$ and for any given $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$|A(z)| \leq \exp \left\{ o(1) |z|^{\sigma-\varepsilon} \right\} \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$|B(z)| \geq \exp \{ (1 + o(1)) C |z|^{\sigma - \varepsilon} \} \quad (1.7)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$ for $z \in H$. Then every solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of equation (1.3) satisfies $\sigma(f) = +\infty$ and $\sigma_2(f) = \sigma(B)$.

For $n \geq 2$, we consider a linear differential equation of the form

$$f^{(n)} + A_{n-1}(z) f^{(n-1)} + \dots + A_1(z) f' + A_0(z) f = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

where $A_0(z), \dots, A_{n-1}(z)$ are entire functions with $A_0(z) \not\equiv 0$. It is well-known that all solutions of equation (1.8) are entire functions and if some of the coefficients of (1.8) are transcendental, (1.8) has at least one solution with $\sigma(f) = +\infty$.

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the growth of infinite order solutions of the linear differential equation (1.8).

Theorem 1. *Let H be a set of complex numbers satisfying $\overline{\text{dens}} \{ |z| : z \in H \} > 0$, and let $A_0(z), \dots, A_{n-1}(z)$ be entire functions such that for some constants $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ and $\mu > 0$, we have*

$$|A_0(z)| \geq e^{\alpha |z|^\mu} \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$|A_k(z)| \leq e^{\beta |z|^\mu}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (1.10)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$ for $z \in H$. Then every solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of equation (1.8) satisfies $\sigma(f) = +\infty$ and $\sigma_2(f) \geq \mu$.

Theorem 2. *Let H be a set of complex numbers satisfying $\overline{\text{dens}} \{ |z| : z \in H \} > 0$, and let $A_0(z), \dots, A_{n-1}(z)$ be entire functions with $\max \{ \sigma(A_k) : k = 1, \dots, n-1 \} \leq \sigma(A_0) = \sigma < +\infty$ such that for some real constants $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$, we have*

$$|A_0(z)| \geq e^{\alpha |z|^{\sigma - \varepsilon}} \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$|A_k(z)| \leq e^{\beta |z|^{\sigma - \varepsilon}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (1.12)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$ for $z \in H$. Then every solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of equation (1.8) satisfies $\sigma(f) = +\infty$ and $\sigma_2(f) = \sigma(A_0)$.

2 Preliminary Lemmas

Our proofs depend mainly upon the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1. ([4], p. 90) *Let f be a transcendental entire function of finite order σ . Let $\Gamma = \{(k_1, j_1), (k_2, j_2), \dots, (k_m, j_m)\}$ denote a finite set of distinct pairs of integers satisfying $k_i > j_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a given constant. Then there exists a set $E \subset [0, \infty)$ with finite linear measure, such that for all z satisfying $|z| \notin E$ and for all $(k, j) \in \Gamma$, we have*

$$\left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f^{(j)}(z)} \right| \leq |z|^{(k-j)(\sigma-1+\varepsilon)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2. ([4]) *Let $f(z)$ be a nontrivial entire function, and let $\alpha > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given constants. Then there exist a constant $c > 0$ and a set $E \subset [0, \infty)$ having finite linear measure such that for all z satisfying $|z| = r \notin E$, we have*

$$\left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq c [T(\alpha r, f) r^\varepsilon \log T(\alpha r, f)]^k, k \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be an entire function, $\mu(r)$ be the maximum term, i.e. $\mu(r) = \max \{|a_n| r^n; n = 0, 1, \dots\}$, and let $\nu_f(r)$ be the central index of f , i.e. $\nu_f(r) = \max \{m, \mu(r) = |a_m| r^m\}$.

Lemma 3. ([2]) *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of infinite order with the hyper-order $\sigma_2(f) = \sigma$, and let $\nu_f(r)$ be the central index of f . Then*

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \nu_f(r)}{\log r} = \sigma. \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 4. (Wiman – Valiron, [6], [7]) *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function and let z be a point with $|z| = r$ at which $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$. Then for all $|z|$ outside a set E of r of finite logarithmic measure, we have*

$$\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} = \left(\frac{\nu_f(r)}{z} \right)^k (1 + o(1)), \quad (k \text{ is an integer, } r \notin E) \quad (2.4)$$

where $\nu_f(r)$ is the central index of f .

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose that $f \neq 0$ is a solution of equation (1.8) with $\sigma(f) < \infty$. By (1.8) we can write

$$\frac{1}{A_0(z)} \frac{f^{(n)}}{f} + \frac{A_{n-1}(z)}{A_0(z)} \frac{f^{(n-1)}}{f} + \dots + \frac{A_1(z)}{A_0(z)} \frac{f'}{f} + 1 = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{A_0(z)} \frac{f^{(n)}}{f} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{A_k(z)}{A_0(z)} \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} = -1. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, by Lemma 1, there exists a set $E_1 \subset [0, \infty)$ with finite linear measure, such that for all z satisfying $|z| \notin E_1$ and for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$\left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq |z|^{kc}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n; \quad c = \sigma - 1 + \varepsilon. \quad (3.3)$$

Also, by the hypothesis of Theorem 1, there exists a set E_2 with $\overline{\text{dens}} \{|z| : z \in E_2\} > 0$ such that for all z satisfying $z \in E_2$, we have

$$|A_0(z)| \geq e^{\alpha|z|^\mu} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$|A_k(z)| \leq e^{\beta|z|^\mu}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (3.5)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Hence from (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) it follows that for all z satisfying $z \in E_2$ and $|z| \notin E_1$, we have

$$\left| \frac{A_k(z)}{A_0(z)} \right| \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{e^{(\alpha-\beta)|z|^\mu}} |z|^{kc}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad c = \sigma - 1 + \varepsilon \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{A_0(z)} \right| \left| \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{e^{\alpha|z|^\mu}} |z|^{nc}, \quad c = \sigma - 1 + \varepsilon \quad (3.7)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there exists a set $H \subset [0, \infty)$ with a positive upper density such that (3.6), (3.7) hold. Since

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in H}} \frac{1}{e^{(\alpha-\beta)|z|^\mu}} |z|^{kc} = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in H}} \frac{1}{e^{\alpha|z|^\mu}} |z|^{nc} = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in H}} \left| \frac{A_k(z)}{A_0(z)} \right| \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in H}} \left| \frac{1}{A_0(z)} \right| \left| \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| = 0.$$

By making $z \rightarrow \infty$ for $z \in H$ in the relation (3.2), we get a contradiction. Then every solution $f \neq 0$ of equation (1.8) has infinite order.

Now from (1.8), it follows that

$$|A_0(z)| \leq \left| \frac{f^{(n)}}{f} \right| + |A_{n-1}(z)| \left| \frac{f^{(n-1)}}{f} \right| + \dots + |A_1(z)| \left| \frac{f'}{f} \right|. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, by Lemma 2, there exists a set $E_3 \subset [0, +\infty)$ with a finite linear measure such that for all z satisfying $|z| = r \notin E_3$, we have

$$\left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq r [T(2r, f)]^{k+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.9)$$

Also, by the hypothesis of the Theorem 1, there exists a set E_4 with $\overline{\text{dens}} \{|z| : z \in E_4\} > 0$ such that for all z satisfying $z \in E_4$, we have

$$|A_0(z)| \geq e^{\alpha|z|^\mu} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$|A_k(z)| \leq e^{\beta|z|^\mu}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (3.11)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Hence from (3.8), (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) it follows that for all z satisfying $z \in E_4$ and $|z| \notin E_3$, we have

$$e^{\alpha|z|^\mu} \leq |z| [T(2|z|, f)]^{n+1} [1 + (n-1)e^{\beta|z|^\mu}] \quad (3.12)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there exists a set $H \subset [0, +\infty)$ with positive upper density such that

$$e^{(\alpha-\beta)r^\mu(1-o(1))} \leq [T(2r, f)]^{n+1}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ in H . Therefore

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r} \geq \mu.$$

This proves Theorem 1.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Assume that $f \not\equiv 0$ is a solution of equation (1.8). Using the same arguments as in Theorem 1, we get $\sigma(f) = +\infty$.

Now we prove that $\sigma_2(f) = \sigma(A_0) = \sigma$. By Theorem 1, we have $\sigma_2(f) \geq \sigma - \varepsilon$, and since ε is arbitrary, we get $\sigma_2(f) \geq \sigma(A_0) = \sigma$.

On the other hand, by Wiman-Valiron theory, there is a set $E \subset [1, +\infty)$ with logarithmic measure $m_l(E) < \infty$ and we can choose z satisfying $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ and $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$, such that (2.4) holds. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, if r is sufficiently large, we have

$$|A_k(z)| \leq e^{r^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (4.1)$$

Substituting (2.4) and (4.1) into (1.8), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\nu_f(r)}{|z|} \right)^n |1 + o(1)| \leq e^{r^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\nu_f(r)}{|z|} \right)^{n-1} |1 + o(1)| +$$

$$+e^{r^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\nu_f(r)}{|z|} \right)^{n-2} |1 + o(1)| + \dots + e^{r^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\nu_f(r)}{|z|} \right) |1 + o(1)| + e^{r^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} \quad (4.2)$$

where z satisfies $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ and $|f(z)| = M(r, f)$. By (4.2), we get

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \nu_f(r)}{\log r} \leq \sigma + \varepsilon. \quad (4.3)$$

Since ε is arbitrary, by (4.3) and Lemma 3 we have $\sigma_2(f) \leq \sigma$. This and the fact that $\sigma_2(f) \geq \sigma$ yield $\sigma_2(f) = \sigma$.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful remarks and suggestions.

References

- [1] **Kwon K. H.**, *On the growth of entire functions satisfying second order linear differential equations*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **33**(1996), N°3, pp. 487-496.
- [2] **Chen Z.-X. and Yang C.-C.**, *Some further results on the zeros and growths of entire solutions of second order linear differential equations*, Kodai Math. J. **22**(1999), pp. 273-285.
- [3] **Chen Z.-X. and Yang C.-C.**, *On the zeros and hyper-order of meromorphic solutions of linear differential equations*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **24**(1999), pp. 215-224.
- [4] **Gundersen G.**, *Estimates for the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic functions, plus similar estimates*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **37** (1988), pp. 88-104.
- [5] **Hayman W. K.**, *Meromorphic functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [6] **Hayman W. K.**, *The local growth of power series: a survey of the Wiman-Valiron method*, Canad. Math. Bull., **17**(1974), pp. 317-358.
- [7] **Valiron G.**, *Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions*, translated by E. F. Collingwood, Chelsea, New York, 1949.
- [8] **Yi H.-X. and Yang C.-C.**, *The Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Science Press, Beijing, 1995 (in Chinese).