

REMARKS ON INHOMOGENEOUS ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS ARISING IN ASTROPHYSICS

MARCO CALAHORRANO & HERMANN MENA

ABSTRACT. We deal with the variational study of some type of nonlinear inhomogeneous elliptic problems arising in models of solar flares on the halfplane \mathbb{R}_+^n .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study a boundary value problem of type

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + c(x)u = \lambda m(y)f(u) & \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ u(z, 0) = h(z) & \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \end{cases}$$

where $x = (z, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \equiv \mathbb{R}_+^n$ with $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : y > 0\}$ and $n \geq 2$, $f :]-\infty, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function satisfying:

- (f-1) There exists $s_0 > 0$ such that $f(s) > 0$ for all $s \in]0, s_0[$.
- (f-2) $f(s) = 0$ for $s \leq 0$ or $s \geq s_0$.
- (f-3) $f(s) \leq as^\sigma$, a is a positive constant and $1 < \sigma < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ if $n > 2$ or $\sigma > 1$ if $n = 2$.
- (f-4) There exists $l > 0$ such that $|f(s_1) - f(s_2)| \leq l|s_1 - s_2|$, for all $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

h is a non-negative bounded smooth function, $h \neq 0$, $\min h < s_0$, $c \geq 0$, $c \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ and $mes\{x \in \Omega : c(x) = 0\} = 0$.

The problem (1.1) is a generalization of an astrophysical gravity model of solar flares in the half plane \mathbb{R}_+^2 , given in [1], namely:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda e^{-\beta y} f(u) & \mathbb{R}_+^2 \\ u(x, 0) = h(x) & \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

besides the above mentioned conditions for f , h and $\beta > 0$. See [1], [8] and [6] for a detailed description and related problems.

By this, we study the problem (1.1) with $m : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ a C^1 function such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} ym(y)dy < +\infty$$

more general than $e^{-\beta y}$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J65, 85A30.

Key words and phrases. Solar flares, variational methods, inhomogeneous semi-linear elliptic problems.

We shall follow the ideas of F. Dobarro and E. Lami Dozo in [8]. The authors prove the existence of solutions of (1.1) in the special case $c(x) = 0$. In fact, the result presented here follows from the one obtained by the authors.

First of all we note that problem (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta\omega + c(x)\omega = \lambda m(y)f(\omega + \tau) & \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ \omega(z, 0) = 0 & \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \end{cases}$$

where $\omega = u - \tau$ and τ is solution of the problem

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta\tau + c(x)\tau = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ \tau(z, 0) = h(z) & \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \end{cases}$$

We will study (1.3) instead of (1.1).

The problem (1.1), or equivalently (1.3), is interesting not only on whole \mathbb{R}_+^n , but also in an arbitrary big but finite domain in \mathbb{R}_+^n , for example for semidisks $D_R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ : |x|^2 + y^2 < R^2, y > 0\}$, with R big enough.

Motivated by this observation in section 2, we will study the following approximate problem

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta\omega + c(x)\omega = \lambda m(y)f(\omega + \tau) & D_R \\ \omega = 0 & \partial D_R \end{cases}$$

whose solutions are related to those of (1.3).

Using variational techniques we will prove the existence of an interval $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists at least three positive solutions of (1.5), with R large enough.

Finally in section 3 we prove the existence of solutions of (1.3) as limit of a special family of solutions of (1.5) obtained in theorem 5 and its uniqueness to λ small enough.

2. PROBLEM IN D_R

Letting Ω be either D_R or \mathbb{R}_+^n , we denote by $L_m^p(\Omega)$ the usual weighted L^p space on Ω for a suitable weight m and $1 \leq p < \infty$, and by $V_m^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $V_c^{1,2}(\Omega)$ the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the norm

$$\|u\|_{V_m^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} u^2(z, y)m(y)dzdy + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dzdy$$

and

$$\|u\|_{V_c^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} u^2(x)c(x)dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

Let $m : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ be such that

$$(2.1) \quad 0 < M \equiv \int_0^{+\infty} ym(y)dy < +\infty$$

it is easy to prove for all functions $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ the following inequality holds, see [8].

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} u^2(x, y)m(y)dxdy \leq M \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dxdy$$

then $V_m^{1,2}(D_R) \sim H_0^1(D_R) \sim V_c^{1,2}(D_R)$ and $V_m^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \sim D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ where $H_0^1(D_R)$ is the usual Sobolev space with the norm $\|\nabla(\cdot)\|_{L^2(D_R)}$ and $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for the norm $\|\nabla(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$.

On the other hand if $R' \geq R$, then

$$(2.3) \quad V_c^{1,2}(D_R) \subset V_c^{1,2}(D_{R'}) \subset V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset V_m^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$$

There exists many results about immersion of weighted Sobolev spaces into weighted Lebesgue spaces. Here we will take into account one suitable result for our problem.

Let $m : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ be a bounded C^1 function such that there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad |(\log m)'| \leq k$$

then the identity map is an immersion from $V_m^{1,2}(\Omega)$ into $L_{\frac{m}{2}}^p(\Omega)$ for

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < p < \frac{2n}{n-2} & \text{ if } n \geq 3 \\ 1 < p & \text{ if } n=2 \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, there exists a constant $K = K(k, \sup m)$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \|u\|_{L_{\frac{m}{2}}^p(\Omega)} \leq C_s K \|u\|_{V_m^{1,2}(\Omega)}$$

where C_s is the usual Sobolev immersion constant. The immersion is compact if $\Omega = D_R$.

Now we will begin to study (1.3) by variational methods. For this purpose, for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and for all non negative function τ such that $\|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}} < +\infty$ we associate the functional $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau} : V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow R$

$$(2.6) \quad \Psi_{\lambda, \tau}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \{|\nabla u|^2 + c(x)u^2\} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} mF(u + \tau)$$

where $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s)ds$, $m \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\widehat{m} \equiv m^{\frac{2}{\sigma+1}}$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.4).

$\Psi_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a C^1 functional, so if $u \in V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ is a critical point of $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau}$ then u is a weak, and by regularity a classical solution of (1.3).

Remark 1. i. If we consider $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R} : V_c^{1,2}(D_R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_R} \{|\nabla u|^2 + c(x)u^2\} - \lambda \int_{D_R} mF(u + \tau)$$

its critical points are weak, and by regularity, strong solutions of (1.5). Furthermore if $R \leq R' \leq +\infty$, then for all $u \in V_c^{1,2}(D_R)$

$$\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R'}(u) \leq \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u) \leq \Psi_{\lambda,0,R}(u)$$

more precisely

$$\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R'}(u) = \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u) - \lambda \int_{D_{R'} - D_R} mF(\tau) \leq \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u)$$

Here $D_{R'}$ with $R' = +\infty$ means \mathbb{R}_+^n .

ii. Since f is bounded, $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}$ is coercive, bounded from below and verifies Palais-Smale condition for all λ non negative.

Lemma 2. For each $R > 0$ denote $\theta_R : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the map

$$\theta_R(z, y) \equiv \left(\frac{z}{R}, y \right)$$

and Θ_R the scaling $\eta \rightarrow \eta_R \equiv \eta \circ \theta_R$. Then

i. $\forall r > 0$, $\Theta_R(V_c^{1,2}(D_r)) \subset V_c^{1,2}(\theta_R^{-1}D_r)$ and if $R \geq 1$, $V_c^{1,2}(\theta_R^{-1}D_r) \subset V_c^{1,2}(D_{Rr})$.

ii. If $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, is non identically 0, then

$$(2.7) \quad \|\nabla \eta_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad R \rightarrow +\infty$$

iii. Let f be defined before and m such that verifies (2.1). Then there exists $0 < \underline{\lambda} < \infty$ such that if $\lambda > \underline{\lambda}$, $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $\eta \geq 0$, non identically 0 and

$$(2.8) \quad \underline{\lambda} \leq Q(\eta) \equiv \frac{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \{|\nabla \eta|^2 + \|c\|_{L^\infty} \eta^2\}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} m(y)F(\eta)} < \lambda$$

then there exists $r_n > 0 : \eta_R \in V_c^{1,2}(D_{R'}), \forall R', R: R' \geq Rr_n \geq r_n$ and for all non negative function τ .

a. $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R'}(\eta_R) < 0, \forall R', R: R' \geq Rr_n \geq r_n$.

b. $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,Rr_n}(\eta_R) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$

Proof.- This proof follows almost directly from lemma 6 in [8]. However, by completeness we present all the proof.

i. It is immediate from the definition of Θ_R .

ii. We observe

$$|\nabla\eta_R|^2(z, y) = \frac{1}{R^2}|\nabla\eta|_{\theta_R}^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{R^2}\right)|\partial_y\eta|_{\theta_R(z,y)}^2$$

thus, changing variables

$$(2.9) \quad \|\nabla\eta_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 = R^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla\eta|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{R^2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\partial_y\eta|^2 \right]$$

so, since $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\partial_y\eta|^2 > 0$, (2.9) implies (2.7).

iii. Set

$$(2.10) \quad \underline{\lambda} \equiv \inf\{Q(\eta) : \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \eta \geq 0, \eta \neq 0\}$$

by (f-3) and since F is bounded

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{b}{2} \equiv \sup_{s>0} \frac{F(s)}{s^2} < +\infty$$

so, by (2.2) and since $c(x) \geq 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} m(y)F(\eta) \leq \frac{bM}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla\eta|^2 + \|c\|_{L^\infty}\eta^2$$

hence

$$0 < \frac{1}{bM} \leq \underline{\lambda} < \infty$$

Let $\lambda > Q(\eta)$ be, since $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, there exists $r_n > 0$ such that $\text{supp } \eta \subset D_{Rr_n}$, for all $R \geq 1$. Then by i. and (2.3) $\eta_R \in V_c^{1,2}(\theta_R^{-1}D_{r_n}) \subset V_c^{1,2}(D_{Rr_n}) \subset V_c^{1,2}(D_{R'})$ for all $R' \geq Rr_n \geq r_n$.

For simplicity from now on we call $Rr_n \equiv R_n$, where $R \geq 1$.

Then, by remark 1

$$(2.12) \quad \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R'}(\eta_R) \leq \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R_n}(\eta_R) \leq \Psi_{\lambda,0,R_n}(\eta_R)$$

On the other hand, if we define the function $\xi : R_+ \rightarrow R$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(R) \equiv \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \|\nabla\eta_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 &= \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla\eta|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{R^2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\partial_y\eta|^2 \\ &= \left[\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla_z\eta|^2}{R^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\partial_y\eta|^2} + 1 \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\partial_y\eta|^2 \end{aligned}$$

is non increasing. So applying $\xi(R) \leq \xi(1)$ to (2.9)

$$\int_{D_{R_n}} |\nabla\eta_R|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla\eta_R|^2 \leq R^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla\eta|^2$$

furthermore

$$\int_{D_{R_n}} c(x)\eta_R^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} c(x)\eta_R^2 \leq R^{n-1}\|c\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \eta^2$$

and

$$\int_{D_{R_n}} m(y)F(\eta_R) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} m(y)F(\eta_R) = R^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} m(y)F(\eta)$$

so

$$\Psi_{\lambda,0,R_n} \leq R^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla\eta|^2 + \|c\|_{L^\infty}\eta^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} m(y)F(\eta) \right]$$

then

$$(2.13) \quad \Psi_{\lambda,0,R_n} \leq \frac{R^{n-1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla\eta|^2 + \|c\|_{L^\infty}\eta^2 \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{Q(\eta)} \right)$$

thus, from (2.12) and (2.13) we obtain immediately a and b. □

Remark 3. i. Let $m : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a bounded C^1 function and let $\widehat{m} \equiv m^{\frac{2}{\sigma+1}}$. It is easy to prove that m verifies (2.4) if and only if \widehat{m} does it. Furthermore, given a positive constant k , $|(\log m)'| \leq k$ if and only if $|(\log \widehat{m})'| \leq \frac{2}{\sigma+1}k$.

ii. If there exists a non negative value $m_1 \geq 0$ such that $\{m > 1\} \subset [0, m_1]$ and

$$0 < \widehat{M} \equiv \int_0^{+\infty} y\widehat{m}(y)dy < +\infty$$

then

$$0 < M \equiv \int_0^{+\infty} ym(y)dy < +\infty$$

Indeed, since $\widehat{m} > 1$ if and only if $m > 1$ and $0 < \frac{2}{\sigma+1} < 1$

$$M = \int_{\widehat{m}>1} ym(y)dy + \int_{\widehat{m}\leq 1} ym(y)dy \leq \left(\sup m \frac{m_1}{2} \right) + \widehat{M} < +\infty$$

Lemma 4. *There exists a positive constant $C = C(a, \sigma, k, \sup m, \widehat{M})$ such that for all $\lambda < \bar{\lambda}(\|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)})$ and for all $u : \|u\|_{V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$, $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau}(u) > 0$ where $\bar{\lambda}(\|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}) \equiv C\|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\sigma}$.*

Moreover $\bar{\lambda}(\|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \rightarrow 0$

Proof.- Let $u \in V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ be, using (f-3) and Minkowsky inequality with respect to measure $m(y)dxdy$ and (2.2), (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} mF(u + \tau) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} m \int_0^{u+\tau} f(t)dt \leq \frac{a}{\sigma+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} m(u + \tau)^{\sigma+1} \\ &\leq \frac{a}{\sigma+1} (\|u\|_{L_m^{\frac{\sigma+1}{\hat{m}}}} + \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}})^{\sigma+1} \\ &\leq \frac{a}{\sigma+1} (C_s K(1 + \widehat{M})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}})^{\sigma+1} \\ &\leq \frac{a}{\sigma+1} (C_s K(1 + \widehat{M})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}})^{\sigma+1} \end{aligned}$$

then

(2.14)

$$\Psi_{\lambda,\tau}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 - \lambda \frac{a}{\sigma+1} (C_s K(1 + \widehat{M})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}})^{\sigma+1}$$

then, if we define

$$C \equiv \frac{\sigma+1}{2a} (C_s K(k, \sup m)(1 + \widehat{M})^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1)^{-\sigma-1}$$

then $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau}(u) > 0$ for all $\lambda < \bar{\lambda} \equiv C \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\sigma}$, and since $\sigma > 1$. The lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 5. Let us assume (f-1-2-3-4), let $m: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a C^1 function such that m and $\hat{m} \equiv m^{\frac{2}{\sigma+1}}$ verify (2.1) and (2.4), and let $\tau: \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a C^1 function, non identically 0. So there exists positive constants $C = C(a, \sigma, k, \sup m, \widehat{M})$ and $\underline{\lambda}$ such that if

$$(2.15) \quad \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} < \left(\frac{c}{\underline{\lambda}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$$

then

$$\forall \lambda: \underline{\lambda} < \lambda < \bar{\lambda} \equiv C \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\sigma}$$

there exists a positive $R_0 = R_0(\lambda)$ such that for all $R \geq R_0$, (1.5) has at least three strictly positive solutions.

Proof.- Let $C = C(a, \sigma, k, \sup m, \widehat{M})$ and $\underline{\lambda}$ be the positive constant defined in lemmas 4 and 2 respectively. Since τ verifies (2.15), by lemma 4 and remark 1, for all $\lambda \in]\underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}[$ and for all $R \geq 1$

$$(2.16) \quad \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u) > 0 \quad \forall u \in V_c^{1,2}(D_R) : \|u\|_{V_c^{1,2}(D_R)} = \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(D_R)}$$

On the other hand, fixed $\lambda \in]\underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}[$, $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, and letting $r_n > 0$, the radius of any semidisk D_{r_n} such that $\text{supp } \eta \subset D_{r_n}$, by lemma 2 there

exists $R_1 \geq 1$ such that for all $R \geq R_1 r_n$, we have $\eta_{R_1} \in V_c^{1,2}(D_R)$, furthermore

$$(2.17) \quad \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} < \|\nabla \eta_{R_1}\|_{L^2(D_R)} = \|\nabla \eta_{R_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} < \|\eta_{R_1}\|_{V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$$

and

$$(2.18) \quad \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(\eta_{R_1}) < \mu < 0$$

where $\mu \in R$ defined as

$$\mu \equiv \min_{0 \leq t \leq \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}} \frac{1}{2}t^2 - \lambda \frac{a}{\sigma+1} (C_s K (1 + \widehat{M})^{\frac{1}{2}} t + \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}})^{\sigma+1}$$

Let $R \geq R_1$, we divide the proof in three steps.

1. *Local minimum.*- Let

$$\nu_R \equiv \inf_{B_\Gamma} \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u)$$

where $B_\Gamma = \{u \in V_c^{1,2}(D_R) : \|u\|_{V_c^{1,2}(D_R)} < \Gamma \equiv \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}\}$.

Since $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(0) < 0$, $\nu_R < 0$. Furthermore $\mu \leq \nu_R < 0$, by (2.14) and remark 1. Therefore $\inf_{\partial B_\Gamma} \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R} > \nu_R$.

Now we will prove that ν_R is achieved in B_Γ . Using a modification in the proof of proposition 5 and corollaries 6 and 7 in [3], we can obtain a sequence $(u_n)_n$ in B_Γ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u_n) &\rightarrow \nu_R \\ \Psi'_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u_n) &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}$ verifies Palais-Smale condition, there exists a subsequence $(u_{n_k})_k$ such that $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u_{1,R}$ in $V_c^{1,2}(D_R)$ and $u_{1,R} \neq 0$ because 0 it is not a critical point of $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}$.

2. *Absolute minimum.*- Let

$$u_R \equiv \inf_{V_c^{1,2}(D_R)} \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}$$

Then $u_R < \mu$, by (2.17). Now using similar arguments to *local minimum*, but without any modification, we have that u_R is achieved in $V_c^{1,2}(D_R)$ at a function $u_{2,R}$.

3. *Mountain pass.*- Let

$$c_R \equiv \inf_{\delta \in \Lambda_R} \sup_{u \in \delta} \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u)$$

where Λ_R is the set of paths

$$\Lambda_R = \{\gamma : \gamma \in C([0, 1], V_c^{1,2}(D_R)), \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = \eta_{R_1}\}$$

Since $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(0) < 0$, by (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), $c_R > 0$.

Then by the mountain pass theorem, see [4], c_R is achieved in $V_c^{1,2}(D_R)$ at a function $u_{3,R}$.

On the other hand it is clear that $u_{1,R}$, $u_{2,R}$ and $u_{3,R}$ are different, indeed

$$\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u_{2,R}) = u_R < \mu \leq \nu_R = \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u_{1,R}) < 0 < c_R = \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u_{3,R}) \quad \square$$

Remark 6. When λ is small enough it is easy to prove uniqueness for (1.5), so $u_{1,R} = u_{2,R}$, and the local minimum in B_Γ of $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}$ is the absolute in $V_c^{1,2}(D_R)$.

3. PROBLEM IN \mathbb{R}_+^n

$\Psi_{\lambda,\tau}$ does not verify Palais-Smale condition, furthermore by lemma 2 and remark 1 $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau}$ is not coercive and not bounded from below. However for λ small enough:

Proposition 7. *Let f be as above, let b be given by (2.11) and suppose m verifies (2.1). Then*

- i. *For all $\lambda < \frac{1}{bM}$, $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau}$ is coercive and bounded from below.*
- ii. *For all $\lambda < \frac{1}{LM}$, (1.3) has at most one solution in $V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. $\lambda < \underline{\lambda}$ holds in both cases.*

Proof.- i. By (2.11), (2.2) and Cauchy-Schwartz for the measure $m dx dy$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\lambda,\tau}(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 - \frac{\lambda b}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} m(u + \tau)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 - \frac{\lambda b}{2} (M^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|\tau\|_{L_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)})^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda b M) \|u\|_{V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 - (\lambda b M^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tau\|_{L_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}) \|u\|_{V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} - \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\lambda b}{2} \|\tau\|_{L_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

so, i. is proved. □

ii. Uniqueness is proved as in [1] using the inequality (2.2) and (f-4). Indeed: if u_1 and u_2 are two solutions of (1.3) then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (u_1 - u_2)^2 m \leq M \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2 + c(x)(u_1 - u_2)^2 \leq M l \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (u_1 - u_2)^2 m \quad \square$$

Now we will prove a sufficient condition to approximate solutions of (1.3) with solutions of (1.5) with R large enough.

Lemma 8. *Let f and τ be as above and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Suppose $(R_n)_n$ is a sequence \mathbb{R}_+ such that $R_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $(u_n)_n$ is a sequence of positive solutions of (1.5) with R_n instead of R , such that for all n , $u_n \in V_c^{1,2}(D_{R_n})$ and $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, i.e. there exists $\Gamma' > 0$ such that for all n , $\|u_n\|_{V_c^{1,2}(D_{R_n})} < \Gamma'$. Then, there exists a subsequence (called again $(u_n)_n$) and a function $u \in V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in $V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and u is a classical solution (1.3).*

Proof.- By the Calderón-Zygmund¹ inequality for all n , $u_n \in H_0^1(D_{R_n}) \cap H^{2,p}(D_{R_n})$ and fixed $R' > 0$, for any $\Omega' \subset\subset D_{R'}$

$$(3.1) \quad \|u_n\|_{H^{2,p}(\Omega')} \leq C(\|u_n\|_{L^p(D_{R'})} + \|\lambda m(y)f(u_n + \tau)\|_{L^p(D_{R'})})$$

for all n such that $R_n > R'$. The constant C depends on $D_{R'}$, n , p and Ω' . Since m is decreasing and strictly positive, and $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, by (2.2), (2.5), (3.1) and the hypothesis of f and m , we obtain

$$\|u_n\|_{H^{2,p}(\Omega')} \leq C(m(R')^{-\frac{1}{2}}C_sK(1+M)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma' + \lambda \sup m \sup f |D_{R'}|^{\frac{1}{p}})$$

for p such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < p < \frac{2n}{n-2} & \text{ if } n \geq 3 \\ 1 < p & \text{ if } n=2 \end{aligned}$$

and for all n such that $R_n > R'$.

For this and the Sobolev embedding theorem for Ω' , there exists a subsequence $(u_n)_n$ such that if $n=2,3$ $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega'})$ and if $n \geq 4$ and $1 < p < \min\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{2n}{n-2}\right)$ is fixed, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q(\Omega')$, $1 \leq q < \frac{np}{n-2p}$.

Since Ω' is an arbitrary and relatively compact such that $\Omega' \subset\subset D_{R_n}$ and $R_n \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain that the above convergences are in $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and $L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ respectively. In particular

$$(3.2) \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{en} \quad L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$$

On the other hand, since $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, by (2.3), (2.5) and reflexivity

$$(3.3) \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly} \quad \text{in} \quad V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$$

$$(3.4) \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly} \quad \text{in} \quad L_{\frac{m}{2}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < p < \frac{2n}{n-2} & \text{ if } n \geq 3 \\ 1 < p & \text{ if } n=2 \end{aligned}$$

¹see theorems 9.9 y 9.11 in [9]

So, if we prove that for all $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} mf(u_n + \tau)v \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} mf(u + \tau)v$$

our lemma will follow. Based on this and for a fixed $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ we consider the function

$$w = v \frac{f(u + \tau)}{u + \tau} m^{\frac{2-p}{2}}$$

It is easy to prove that $w \in L_{m^{\frac{p}{2}}}^{\frac{p'}{2}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} mf(u_n + \tau)v &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} m \left[f(u_n + \tau) - (u_n + \tau) \frac{f(u + \tau)}{u + \tau} \right] v + \\ (3.5) \quad &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} m^{\frac{p}{2}}(u_n + \tau)w \end{aligned}$$

by (3.4), the last term of right hand side of (3.5) tends to $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} mf(u + \tau)v$. On the other hand, by (f-4)

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} m \left[f(u_n + \tau) - (u_n + \tau) \frac{f(u + \tau)}{u + \tau} \right] v \right| \leq 2l \int_{\text{supp}(v)} m|u - u_n||v|$$

So, by (3.2) the last term of the right hand side of (3.5) tends to 0.

□

Theorem 9. *Let f , m , and τ as in lemma 8 and let $\Gamma \equiv \|\tau\|_{L_m^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$. Then for all λ , $0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}$ the local minima $u_{1,R}$ of $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}$, approximate the local minima of $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau}$ on the ball B_Γ of center 0 and radius Γ in $V_c^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.*

As a consequence $\nu_\infty \equiv \inf_{B_\Gamma} \Psi_{\lambda,\tau}$, is a minimum and by proposition 7 it is the unique critical point of $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau}$, if λ small enough (i.e. $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{lM}$).

Proof.- We only need to prove that $\nu_R \rightarrow \nu_\infty$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. With this aim we consider $(u_R)_R$ in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ such that $u_R \in V_c^{1,2}(D_R)$ and $\Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u_R) \rightarrow \nu_\infty$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. By remark 1 $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n - D_R} mF(\tau)dx \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, because $\Gamma < +\infty$.

Since

$$\nu_\infty \leq \nu_R = \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u_{1,R}) \leq \Psi_{\lambda,\tau,R}(u_R) = \Psi_{\lambda,\tau}(u_R) - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n - D_R} mF(\tau)$$

then $\nu_R \rightarrow \nu_\infty$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] J.J. Aly, T. Amari, *Two-dimensional Isothermal Magnetostatic Equilibria in a Gravitational Field I, Unsheared Equilibria*, Astron & Astrophys. 208, pp. 361-373.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, *Critical Points and Nonlinear Variational Problems*, Cours de la Chaire Lagrange, Mémoire (nouvelle série) N 49, Supplément au Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, Tome 120, 1992.
- [3] J.P. Aubin, I. Ekeland, *Applied Nonlinear Analysis* (Pure and Applied Mathematics) J.Wiley & Sons, 1984.
- [4] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, *Dual Variational Methods in Critical Point Theory and Applications*, J. Funct Anal. 14, pp. 349-381, 1973.
- [5] M. Calahorrano, F. Dobarro, *Multiple solutions for Inhomogeneous Elliptic Problems Arising in Astrophysics*, Math. Mod. and Methods in Applied Sciences, 3, pp. 217-230, 1993.
- [6] M. Calahorrano, H. Mena, *Multiple solutions for inhomogeneous nonlinear elliptic problems arising in astrophysics*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2004, No. 49, pp. 1-10.
- [7] A. Castro, *Métodos de reducción via minimax*, Notas del primer simposio colombiano de análisis funcional, Colciencias-ICFES, 1981.
- [8] F. Dobarro, E. Lami Dozo, *Variational Solutions in Solar Flares With Gravity*, Partial Differential Equations (Han-Sur-Lesse, 1993), pp. 120-143, Math. Res; 82, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [9] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Second Edition, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [10] J. Heyvaerts, J. M. Lasry, M. Schatzman and P. Witomski, *Solar Flares: A Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problem in an Unbounded Domain*. In Bifurcation and Nonlinear Eigenvalue problems, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 782, Springer, pp. 160-191, 1980.
- [11] J. Heyvaerts, J. M. Lasry, M. Schatzman and P. Witomski, *Blowing up of Two-dimensional Magnetohydrostatic Equilibria by an Increase of Electric Current or Pressure*, Astron & Astroph. 111, pp. 104-112, 1982.
- [12] J. Heyvaerts, J. M. Lasry, M. Schatzman, and P. Witomski, Quart. Appl. Math. XLI, 1, 1983.
- [13] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory With Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 65, vii, 100 p. (1986).

(Received October 23, 2003)

MARCO CALAHORRANO
 ESCUELA POLITÉCNICA NACIONAL, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, APARTADO
 17-01-2759, QUITO, ECUADOR

E-mail address: calahor@server.epn.edu.ec

URL: www.math.epn.edu.ec/miembros/calahorrano.htm

HERMANN MENA
 ESCUELA POLITÉCNICA NACIONAL, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, APARTADO
 17-01-2759, QUITO, ECUADOR

E-mail address: hmena@server.epn.edu.ec

URL: www.math.epn.edu.ec/~ hmena