

On the p -biharmonic equation involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function

Chao Ji^{a,*} Weihua Wang^b

a. Department of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology,
Shanghai 20037, P.R. China

b. Department of Mathematics, Putian University, Fujian 351100, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we study the combined effect of concave and convex nonlinearities on the number of nontrivial solutions for the p -biharmonic equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p^2 u = |u|^{q-2}u + \lambda f(x)|u|^{r-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \nabla u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in R^N , $f \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ be a sign-changing weight function. By means of the Nehari manifold, we prove that there are at least two nontrivial solutions for the problem.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20, 35J65, 35J70

Keywords: p -biharmonic equations; Nehari manifold; Concave-convex nonlinearities; Sign-changing weight function

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the multiple solutions of the following p -biharmonic equation:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p^2 u = |u|^{q-2}u + \lambda f(x)|u|^{r-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \nabla u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in R^N , $1 < r < p < q < p_2^*(p_2^* = \frac{Np}{N-2p}$ if $p < \frac{N}{2}$, $p_2^* = \infty$ if $p \geq \frac{N}{2}$), $\lambda > 0$ and $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function which changes sign in $\overline{\Omega}$.

During the last ten years, several authors used the Nehari manifold and fibering maps to solve the problems involving sign-changing weight function, we refer the

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: jichao@ecust.edu.cn (C. Ji)

reader to [1, 2] for the semilinear elliptic equations, to [3, 4] for the elliptic problems with nonlinear boundary condition, to [5] for the problems in R^N , to [6] for the Kirchhoff type problems, and to [3, 4, 7] for the elliptic systems. Meanwhile, the positive solutions of semilinear biharmonic equations with Navier boundary on bounded domain in R^N are extensively studied, for example [8, 9], and so on. Although there are a lot of papers about the nontrivial solutions of biharmonic or p -biharmonic equations [10, 11, 12, 13] and references therein, there are less results about existence and multiplicity of solutions of p -biharmonic equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions on bounded domains. In [14], apart from the Kirchhoff function which can be taken identically 1, has been proved the existence of infinitely many solutions for an equation governed by the $p(x)$ -polyharmonic operator, under Dirichlet boundary conditions, via variational methods. The main purpose of this paper is concerned with multiple solutions of the p -biharmonic equation involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function and the combined effect of concave and convex nonlinearities on the number of nontrivial solutions.

We know that the corresponding energy functional of problem (0.1) is

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q dx - \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^r dx,$$

where $u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|u\| = (\int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, and J_λ is a C^1 functional and the critical points of J_λ are the weak solutions of problem (0.1).

The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *There exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for each $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, problem (0.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminary lemmas. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this section, we denote by S the best Sobolev constant for the embedding of $W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$ in $L^q(\Omega)$. We consider the Nehari minimization problem: for $\lambda > 0$,

$$\alpha_\lambda(\Omega) = \inf \{ J_\lambda(u) \mid u \in M_\lambda(\Omega) \},$$

where $M_\lambda(\Omega) = \{ u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \mid \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0 \}$. Define

$$\psi_\lambda(u) = \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = \|u\|^p - \int_\Omega |u|^q dx - \lambda \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^r dx.$$

Then for $u \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$,

$$\langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = p \|u\|^p - q \int_\Omega |u|^q dx - \lambda r \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^r dx.$$

We may split $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ into three parts:

$$M_\lambda^+(\Omega) = \{u \in M_\lambda(\Omega) \mid \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle > 0\},$$

$$M_\lambda^0(\Omega) = \{u \in M_\lambda(\Omega) \mid \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0\},$$

$$M_\lambda^-(\Omega) = \{u \in M_\lambda(\Omega) \mid \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle < 0\}.$$

Now, we give the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that for each $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, $M_\lambda^0(\Omega) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. We consider the following two cases.

Case (I). $u \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ and $\int_\Omega f(x)|u|^r dx = 0$. We have

$$\|u\|^p - \int_\Omega |u|^q dx = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = p\|u\|^p - q \int_\Omega |u|^q dx = (p - q)\|u\|^p < 0$$

and so $u \notin M_\lambda^0(\Omega)$.

Case (II). $u \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ and $\int_\Omega f(x)|u|^r dx \neq 0$.

Suppose that $M_\lambda^0(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda > 0$. If $u \in M_\lambda^0(\Omega)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle &= p\|u\|^p - q \int_\Omega |u|^q dx - \lambda r \int_\Omega f(x)|u|^r dx \\ &= (p - r)\|u\|^p - (q - r) \int_\Omega |u|^q dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|u\|^p = \frac{q - r}{p - r} \int_\Omega |u|^q dx \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\lambda \int_\Omega f(x)|u|^r dx = \|u\|^p - \int_\Omega |u|^q dx = \frac{q - p}{p - r} \int_\Omega |u|^q dx. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q - p}{q - r} \|u\|^p &= \|u\|^p - \int_\Omega |u|^q dx = \lambda \int_\Omega f(x)|u|^r dx \\ &\leq \lambda \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} \|u\|_{L^q}^r \leq \lambda \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} S^r \|u\|^r, \end{aligned}$$

where $q^* = \frac{q}{q-r}$. This implies

$$\|u\| \leq \left(\lambda \left(\frac{q - r}{q - p} \right) \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} S^r \right)^{\frac{1}{p-r}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $I_\lambda : M_\lambda(\Omega) \rightarrow R$ be given by

$$I_\lambda(u) = K(q, r) \left(\frac{\|u\|^q}{\int_\Omega |u|^q dx} \right)^{\frac{p}{q-p}} - \lambda \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^r dx,$$

where $K(q, r) = \left(\frac{q-p}{q-r} \right) \left(\frac{p-r}{q-r} \right)^{\frac{p}{q-p}}$. Then $I_\lambda(u) = 0$ for all $u \in M_\lambda^0(\Omega)$. Indeed, from (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that for $u \in M_\lambda^0(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(u) &= K(q, r) \left(\frac{\|u\|^q}{\int_\Omega |u|^q dx} \right)^{\frac{p}{q-p}} - \lambda \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^r dx \\ &= \left(K(q, r) \left(\frac{q-r}{p-r} \right)^{\frac{q}{q-p}} - \frac{q-p}{p-r} \right) \int_\Omega |u|^q dx \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

However, by (2.3), the Hölder and Sobolev inequality, for $u \in M_\lambda^0(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(u) &\geq K(q, r) \left(\frac{\|u\|^q}{\int_\Omega |u|^q dx} \right)^{\frac{p}{q-p}} - \lambda \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} \|u\|_{L^q}^r \\ &\geq \|u\|_{L^q}^r \left(K(q, r) \left(\frac{\|u\|^q}{S^{\frac{r(q-p)+pq}{p}} \|u\|^{\frac{r(q-p)+pq}{p}}} \right)^{\frac{p}{q-p}} - \lambda \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} \right) \\ &= \|u\|_{L^q}^r \left(K(q, r) \frac{1}{S^{\frac{r(q-p)+pq}{q-p}}} \|u\|^{-r} - \lambda \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} \right) \\ &\geq \|u\|_{L^q}^r \left\{ K(q, r) \frac{1}{S^{\frac{r(q-p)+pq}{q-p}}} \lambda^{\frac{-r}{p-r}} \left[\left(\frac{q-r}{q-p} \right) \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} S^r \right]^{\frac{-r}{p-r}} - \lambda \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for λ sufficiently small we have $I_\lambda(u) > 0$ for all $u \in M_\lambda^0(\Omega)$, this contradicts (2.4). Thus, we can conclude that there exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, $M_\lambda^0(\Omega) = \emptyset$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *If $u \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$, then $\int_\Omega f(x) |u|^r dx > 0$.*

Proof. For $u \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$, we have

$$\|u\|^p - \int_\Omega |u|^q dx - \lambda \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^r dx = 0$$

and

$$\|u\|^p > \frac{q-r}{p-r} \int_\Omega |u|^q dx.$$

Thus,

$$\lambda \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^r dx = \|u\|^p - \int_\Omega |u|^q dx > \frac{q-p}{p-r} \int_\Omega |u|^q dx > 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 2.1, for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, we write $M_\lambda(\Omega) = M_\lambda^+(\Omega) \cup M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ and define

$$\alpha_\lambda^+(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u), \quad \alpha_\lambda^-(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u).$$

The following lemma shows that the minimizers on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ are the critical points for J_λ . We write $(W_0^{2,p}(\Omega))^*$ is the dual space of $W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.3. For $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, if u_0 is a local minimizer for J_λ on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$, then $J'_\lambda(u_0) = 0$ in $(W_0^{2,p}(\Omega))^*$.

Proof. If u_0 is a local minimizer for J_λ on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$, then u_0 is a solution of the optimization problem

$$\text{minimize } J_\lambda(u) \quad \text{subject to } \psi_\lambda(u) = 0.$$

Hence, by the theory of Lagrange multipliers, there exists $\theta \in R$ such that

$$J'_\lambda(u_0) = \theta \psi'_\lambda(u_0) \quad \text{in } (W_0^{2,p}(\Omega))^*.$$

Thus,

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_0), u_0 \rangle = \theta \langle \psi'_\lambda(u_0), u_0 \rangle. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $u_0 \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, so $\langle J'_\lambda(u_0), u_0 \rangle = 0$. Moreover, since $M_\lambda^0(\Omega) = \emptyset$, so $\langle \psi'_\lambda(u_0), u_0 \rangle \neq 0$ and by (2.5) $\theta = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

For $u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$, we write

$$t_{\max} = \left(\frac{(p-r)\|u\|^p}{(q-r) \int_\Omega |u|^q dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-p}}.$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Let $q^* = \frac{q}{q-r}$ and $\lambda_2 = \left(\frac{p-r}{q-r}\right)^{\frac{p-r}{q-p}} \left(\frac{q-p}{q-r}\right) S^{\frac{p(r-q)}{q-p}} \|f\|_{L^{q^*}}^{-1}$. Then for each $u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_2)$, we have

- (i) There is a unique $t^- = t^-(u) > t_{\max} > 0$ such that $t^-u \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ and $J_\lambda(t^-u) = \max_{t \geq t_{\max}} J_\lambda(tu)$;
- (ii) $t^-(u)$ is a continuous function for nonzero u ;
- (iii) $M_\lambda^-(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \mid \frac{1}{\|u\|} t^-\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right) = 1 \right\}$;
- (iv) If $\int_\Omega f(x)|u|^r dx > 0$, then there is a unique $0 < t^+ = t^+(u) < t_{\max}$ such that $t^+u \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ and $J_\lambda(t^+u) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq t^-} J_\lambda(tu)$.

Proof. (i) Fix $u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, let

$$s(t) = t^{p-r}\|u\|^p - t^{q-r} \int_\Omega |u|^q dx \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

We have $s(0) = 0$, $s(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $s(t)$ achieves its maximum at t_{\max} . Moreover,

$$s(t_{\max}) = \left(\frac{(p-r)\|u\|^p}{(q-r) \int_\Omega |u|^q dx} \right)^{\frac{p-r}{q-p}} \|u\|^p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left(\frac{(p-r)\|u\|^p}{(q-r)\int_{\Omega}|u|^q dx} \right)^{\frac{q-r}{q-p}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^q dx \\
& = \|u\|^r \left[\left(\frac{(p-r)\|u\|^q}{(q-r)\int_{\Omega}|u|^q dx} \right)^{\frac{p-r}{q-p}} - \left(\frac{(p-r)\|u\|^{\frac{q(p-r)}{q-r}}}{(q-r)(\int_{\Omega}|u|^q dx)^{\frac{p-r}{q-r}}} \right)^{\frac{q-r}{q-p}} \right] \\
& = \|u\|^r \left[\left(\frac{p-r}{q-r} \right)^{\frac{p-r}{q-p}} - \left(\frac{p-r}{q-r} \right)^{\frac{q-r}{q-p}} \right] \left(\frac{\|u\|^q}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^q dx} \right)^{\frac{p-r}{q-p}} \\
& \geq \|u\|^r \left(\frac{p-r}{q-r} \right)^{\frac{p-r}{q-p}} \left(\frac{q-p}{q-r} \right) \left(\frac{1}{S^q} \right)^{\frac{p-r}{q-p}}. \tag{2.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Case (I). $\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^r dx \leq 0$.

There is a unique $t^- > t_{\max}$ such that $s(t^-) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^r dx$ and $s'(t^-) < 0$.
Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& (p-r)\|t^-u\|^p - (q-r) \int_{\Omega}|t^-u|^q dx \\
& = (t^-)^{r+1} \left((p-r)(t^-)^{p-r-1}\|u\|^p - (q-r)(t^-)^{q-r-1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^q dx \right) \\
& = (t^-)^{r+1} s'(t^-) < 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle J'_\lambda(t^-u), t^-u \rangle \\
& = (t^-)^p \|u\|^p - (t^-)^q \int_{\Omega}|u|^q dx - (t^-)^r \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^r dx \\
& = (t^-)^r \left(s(t^-) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^r dx \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $t^-u \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. Moreover, since for $t > t_{\max}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(tu) = t^{p-1}\|u\|^p - t^{q-1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^q dx - t^{r-1} \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^r dx = 0 \quad \text{for only } t = t^-,$$

and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_\lambda(tu) < 0 \quad \text{for } t = t^-.$$

Therefore, $J_\lambda(t^-u) = \max_{t \geq t_{\max}} J_\lambda(tu)$.

Case (II). $\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^r dx > 0$.

By (2.6) and

$$\begin{aligned}
s(0) = 0 < \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^r dx & \leq \lambda \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} S^r \|u\|^r \\
& \leq \|u\|^r \left(\frac{p-r}{q-r} \right)^{\frac{p-r}{q-p}} \left(\frac{q-p}{q-r} \right) \left(\frac{1}{S^q} \right)^{\frac{p-r}{q-p}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq s(t_{\max}) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (0, \lambda_2),$$

there are unique t^+ and t^- such that $0 < t^+ < t_{\max} < t^-$,

$$s(t^+) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^r dx = s(t^-)$$

and

$$s'(t^+) > 0 > s'(t^-).$$

We have $t^+u \in M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$, $t^-u \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$, and $J_{\lambda}(t^-u) \geq J_{\lambda}(tu) \geq J_{\lambda}(t^+u)$ for each $t \in [t^+, t^-]$ and $J_{\lambda}(t^+u) \leq J_{\lambda}(tu)$ for each $t \in [0, t^+]$. Thus

$$J_{\lambda}(t^-u) = \max_{t \geq t_{\max}} J_{\lambda}(tu), \quad J_{\lambda}(t^+u) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq t^-} J_{\lambda}(tu).$$

(ii) By the uniqueness of $t^-(u)$ and the external property of $t^-(u)$, we have that $t^-(u)$ is a continuous function of $u \neq 0$.

(iii) For $u \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$, let $v = \frac{u}{\|u\|}$. By part (i), there is unique $t^-(v) > 0$ such that $t^-(v)v \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$, that is $t^-(\frac{u}{\|u\|})\frac{1}{\|u\|}u \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$. Since $u \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$, we have $t^-(\frac{u}{\|u\|})\frac{1}{\|u\|} = 1$, which implies

$$M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega) \subset \left\{ u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \mid t^-\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right)\frac{1}{\|u\|} = 1 \right\}.$$

Conversely, let $u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $t^-(\frac{u}{\|u\|})\frac{1}{\|u\|} = 1$, then

$$t^-\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right)\frac{u}{\|u\|} \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega).$$

Thus,

$$M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \mid t^-\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right)\frac{1}{\|u\|} = 1 \right\}.$$

(iv) By Case (II) of part (i). □

By $f : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow R$ is continuous function which changes sign in Ω , we have $\Theta = \{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) > 0\}$ is a open set in R^N . Consider the following p -biharmonic equation:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p^2 u = |u|^{q-2}u & \text{in } \Theta, \\ u = \nabla u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Theta. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Associated with (2.7), we consider the energy functional

$$K(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx$$

and the minimization problem

$$\beta(\Theta) = \inf \left\{ K(u) \mid u \in N(\Theta) \right\},$$

where $N(\Theta) = \left\{ u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Theta) \setminus \{0\} \mid \langle K'(u), u \rangle = 0 \right\}$. Now we prove that problem (2.7) has a nontrivial solution ω_0 such that $K(\omega_0) = \beta(\Theta) > 0$.

Lemma 2.5. *For any $u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Theta) \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a unique $t(u) > 0$ such that $t(u)u \in N(\Theta)$. The maximum of $K(tu)$ for $t \geq 0$ is achieved at $t = t(u)$, The function*

$$W_0^{2,p}(\Theta) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, +\infty) : u \rightarrow t(u)$$

is continuous and the map $u \rightarrow t(u)u$ defines a homeomorphism of the unit sphere of $W_0^{2,p}(\Theta)$ with $N(\Theta)$.

Proof. Let $u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Theta) \setminus \{0\}$ be fixed and define the function $g(t) := K(tu)$ on $[0, \infty)$. Clearly we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(t) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow tu \in N(\Theta) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \|u\|^p = t^{q-p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

It is easy to verify that $g(0) = 0$, $g(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ small and $g(t) < 0$ for $t > 0$ large. Therefore $\max_{[0, \infty)} g(t)$ is achieved at a unique $t = t(u)$ such that $g'(t(u)) = 0$ and $t(u)u \in N(\Theta)$. To prove the continuity of $t(u)$, assume that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{2,p}(\Theta) \setminus \{0\}$. It is easy to verify that $\{t(u_n)\}$ is bounded. If a subsequence of $\{t(u_n)\}$ converges to t_0 , it follows from (2.8) that $t_0 = t(u)$, But then $t(u_n) \rightarrow t(u)$. Finally the continuous map from the unit sphere of $W_0^{2,p}(\Theta)$ to $N(\Theta)$, $u \rightarrow t(u)u$, is inverse to the retraction $u \rightarrow \frac{u}{\|u\|}$. \square

Define

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &:= \inf_{u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Theta) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} K(tu), \\ c &:= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} K(\gamma(tu)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma := \left\{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], W_0^{2,p}(\Theta)) : \gamma(0) = 0, K(\gamma(1)) < 0 \right\}$.

Lemma 2.6. $\beta(\Theta) = c_1 = c > 0$ and c is a critical value of K .

Proof. The lemma 2.5 implies that $\beta(\Theta) = c_1$. Since $K(tu) < 0$ for $u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Theta) \setminus \{0\}$ and t large, we obtain $c \leq c_1$. The manifold $N(\Theta)$ separates $W_0^{2,p}(\Theta)$ into two components. The component containing the origin also contains a small ball around the origin. Moreover $K(u) \geq 0$ for all u in this component, because $\langle K'(tu), u \rangle \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq t \leq t(u)$. Thus every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has to cross $N(\Theta)$ and $\beta(\Theta) \leq c$. Since the embedding $W_0^{2,p}(\Theta) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Theta)$ is compact, it is easy to prove that $c > 0$ is a critical value of K and ω_0 a nontrivial solution corresponding to c . \square

With the help of Lemma 2.6, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.7.

(i) There exists $\tilde{t} > 0$ such that

$$\alpha_\lambda(\Omega) \leq \alpha_\lambda^+(\Omega) < \frac{r-p}{r} \tilde{t}^p \beta(\Theta) < 0;$$

(ii) J_λ is coercive and bounded below on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q-p}{q-r}]$.

Proof. (i) Let ω_0 be a nontrivial solution of problem (2.7) such that $K(\omega_0) = \beta(\Theta) > 0$. Then

$$\int_\Omega f(x)|\omega_0|^r dx = \int_\Theta f(x)|\omega_0|^r dx > 0.$$

Set $\tilde{t} = t^+(\omega_0)$ as defined by Lemma 2.4(iv). Hence $\tilde{t}\omega_0 \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(\tilde{t}\omega_0) &= \frac{\tilde{t}^p}{p} \int_\Omega |\Delta\omega_0|^p dx - \frac{\tilde{t}^q}{q} \int_\Omega |\omega_0|^q dx - \frac{\lambda\tilde{t}^r}{r} \int_\Omega f(x)|\omega_0|^r dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right)\tilde{t}^p \int_\Omega |\Delta\omega_0|^p dx + \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\tilde{t}^q \int_\Omega |\omega_0|^q dx \\ &< \frac{r-p}{r} \tilde{t}^p \beta(\Theta) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\alpha_\lambda(\Omega) \leq \alpha_\lambda^+(\Omega) < \frac{r-p}{r} \tilde{t}^p \beta(\Theta) < 0.$$

(ii) For $u \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, we have $\int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx = \int_\Omega |u|^q dx + \int_\Omega f(x)|u|^r dx$. Then by the Hölder and Young inequality

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \frac{q-p}{pq} \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx - \lambda \frac{q-r}{qr} \int_\Omega f(x)|u|^r dx \\ &\geq \frac{q-p}{pq} \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx - \lambda \frac{q-r}{qr} \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} S^r \|u\|^r \\ &\geq \frac{1}{qp} \left[(q-p) - \lambda(q-r) \right] \|u\|^p - \lambda \frac{(q-r)(p-r)}{qpr} (\|f\|_{L^{q^*}} S^r)^{\frac{p}{p-r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus J_λ is coercive on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ and

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq -\lambda \frac{(q-r)(p-r)}{qpr} (\|f\|_{L^{q^*}} S^r)^{\frac{p}{p-r}}$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, \frac{q-p}{q-r}]$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1

For the proof of theorem, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. For $u \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a differentiable function

$\xi : B(0; \epsilon) \subset W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow R^+$ such that $\xi(0) = 1$, the function $\xi(v)(u - v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ and

$$\langle \xi'(0), v \rangle = \frac{p \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta v dx - q \int_\Omega |u|^{q-2} u v dx - r \lambda \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{r-2} u v dx}{(p-r) \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx - (q-r) \int_\Omega |u|^q dx} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $v \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. For $u \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, define a function $F : R \times W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow R$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F_u(\xi, \omega) &= \langle J'_\lambda(\xi(u - \omega)), \xi(u - \omega) \rangle \\ &= \xi^p \int_\Omega |\Delta(u - \omega)|^p dx - \xi^q \int_\Omega |u - \omega|^q dx - \xi^r \lambda \int_\Omega f(x) |u - \omega|^r dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then $F_u(1, 0) = \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F_u(1, 0) &= p \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx - q \int_\Omega |u|^q dx - r \lambda \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^r dx \\ &= (p-r) \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx - (q-r) \int_\Omega |u|^q dx \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

According to the implicit function theorem, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a differentiable function $\xi : B(0; \epsilon) \subset W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow R^+$ such that $\xi(0) = 1$ and

$$\langle \xi'(0), v \rangle = \frac{p \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta v dx - q \int_\Omega |u|^{q-2} u v dx - r \lambda \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{r-2} u v dx}{(p-r) \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx - (q-r) \int_\Omega |u|^q dx}$$

and

$$F_u(\xi(v), v) = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in B(0; \epsilon),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\langle J'_\lambda(\xi(v)(u - v)), \xi(v)(u - v) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in B(0; \epsilon),$$

that is $\xi(v)(u - v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$. □

Similarity, we have

Lemma 3.2. For each $u \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a differentiable function $\xi^- : B(0; \epsilon) \subset W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow R^+$ such that $\xi^-(0) = 1$, the function $\xi^-(v)(u - v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ and

$$\langle (\xi^-)'(0), v \rangle = \frac{p \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta v dx - q \int_\Omega |u|^{q-2} u v dx - r \lambda \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{r-2} u v dx}{(p-r) \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^p dx - (q-r) \int_\Omega |u|^q dx} \quad (3.2)$$

for all $v \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Similar to the proof in Lemma 3.1, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a differentiable function $\xi^- : B(0; \epsilon) \subset W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow R^+$ such that $\xi^-(0) = 1$ and $\xi^-(v)(u - v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ for all $v \in B(0; \epsilon)$. Since

$$\langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = (p-r) \|u\|^p - (q-r) \int_\Omega |u|^q dx < 0.$$

Thus, by the continuity of the function ψ'_λ and ξ^- , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \psi'_\lambda(\xi^-(v)(u-v)), \xi^-(v)(u-v) \right\rangle \\ &= (p-r)\|\xi^-(v)(u-v)\|^p - (q-r) \int_{\Omega} |\xi^-(v)(u-v)|^q dx < 0. \end{aligned}$$

If ϵ sufficiently small, this implies that $\xi^-(v)(u-v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. □

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\lambda_0 = \inf\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \frac{q-p}{q-r}\}$, for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$.*

(i) *There exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) &= \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) + o(1), \\ J'_\lambda(u_n) &= o(1), \quad \text{for } (W_0^{2,p}(\Omega))^*; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *There exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) &= \alpha_\lambda^-(\Omega) + o(1), \\ J'_\lambda(u_n) &= o(1), \quad \text{for } (W_0^{2,p}(\Omega))^*. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.7(ii) and the Ekeland variational principle[15], there exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda(\Omega)$ such that

$$J_\lambda(u_n) < \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) + \frac{1}{n}, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$J_\lambda(u_n) < J_\lambda(\omega) + \frac{1}{n}\|\omega - u_n\| \text{ for each } \omega \in M_\lambda(\Omega). \tag{3.4}$$

By taking n enough large, from Lemma 2.7(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\|u_n\|^p - \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u_n|^r dx \\ &< \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) + \frac{1}{n} < \frac{r-p}{r}\tilde{t}^p\beta(\Theta) < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

This implies

$$\|f\|_{L^{q^*}S^r}\|u_n\|^r \geq \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u_n|^r dx > \frac{q(p-r)}{\lambda(q-r)}\tilde{t}^p\beta(\Theta). \tag{3.6}$$

Consequently $u_n \neq 0$ and putting together (3.5), (3.6) and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\|u_n\| \geq \left[\frac{q(p-r)}{\lambda(q-r)} \frac{\tilde{t}^p}{\|f\|_{L^{q^*}S^r}} \beta(\Theta) \right]^{\frac{1}{r}}, \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\|u_n\| \leq \left[\frac{\lambda p(q-r)}{r(q-p)} \|f\|_{L^{q^*}S^r} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-r}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Now we show that

$$\|J'_\lambda(u_n)\|_{(W_0^{2,p}(\Omega))^*} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 with u_n to obtain the function $\xi_n : B(0; \epsilon_n) \subset W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow R^+$ for some $\epsilon_n > 0$, such that $\xi_n(\omega)(u_n - \omega) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$. Choose $0 < \rho < \epsilon_n$. Let $u \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$ with $u \neq 0$ and let $\omega_\rho = \frac{\rho u}{\|u\|}$. We set $\eta_\rho = \xi_n(\omega_\rho)(u_n - \omega_\rho)$. Since $\eta_\rho \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, we deduce from (3.4) that

$$J_\lambda(\eta_\rho) - J_\lambda(u_n) \geq -\frac{1}{n}\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|,$$

and by the mean value theorem, we have

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \eta_\rho - u_n \rangle + o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|) \geq -\frac{1}{n}\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), -\omega_\rho \rangle + (\xi_n(\omega_\rho) - 1)\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), (u_n - \omega_\rho) \rangle \\ & \geq -\frac{1}{n}\|\eta_\rho - u_n\| + o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

From $\xi_n(\omega_\rho)(u_n - \omega_\rho) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ and (3.9) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\rho \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|} \rangle + (\xi_n(\omega_\rho) - 1)\langle J'_\lambda(u_n) - J'_\lambda(\eta_\rho), (u_n - \omega_\rho) \rangle \\ & \geq -\frac{1}{n}\|\eta_\rho - u_n\| + o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|} \rangle & \leq \frac{(\xi_n(\omega_\rho) - 1)}{\rho} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n) - J'_\lambda(\eta_\rho), (u_n - \omega_\rho) \rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{n\rho} \|\eta_\rho - u_n\| + \frac{o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|)}{\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Since

$$\|\eta_\rho - u_n\| \leq |\xi_n(\omega_\rho) - 1|\|u_n\| + \rho|\xi_n(\omega_\rho)|$$

and

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\xi_n(\omega_\rho) - 1|}{\rho} \leq \|\xi'_n(0)\|.$$

If we let $\rho \rightarrow 0$ in (3.10) for a fixed n , then by (3.8) we can find a constant $C > 0$, independent of ρ , such that

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|} \rangle \leq \frac{C}{n}(1 + \|\xi'_n(0)\|).$$

We are done once we show that $\|\xi'_n(0)\|$ is uniformly bounded in n . By (3.1), (3.8) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\langle \xi'_n(0), v \rangle \leq \frac{b\|v\|}{|(p-r) \int_\Omega |\Delta u_n|^p dx - (q-r) \int_\Omega |u_n|^q dx|} \text{ for some } b > 0.$$

We only need to show that

$$\left| (p-r) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^p dx - (q-r) \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx \right| > c \quad (3.11)$$

for some $c > 0$ and n large enough. We argue by contradiction. Assume that there exists a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$(p-r) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^p dx - (q-r) \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx = o(1). \quad (3.12)$$

Combining (3.12) with (3.7), we can find a suitable constant $d > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx \geq d \quad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently large.} \quad (3.13)$$

In addition (3.12), and the fact $\{u_n\} \subset M_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ also give

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x) |u_n|^r dx = \|u_n\|^p - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx > \|u_n\|^p > \frac{q-p}{p-r} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx > 0$$

and

$$\|u_n\| \leq \left(\lambda \left(\frac{q-r}{q-p} \right) \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} S^r \right)^{\frac{1}{p-r}} + o(1). \quad (3.14)$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda}(u_n) &= K(q, r) \left(\frac{\|u_n\|^q}{\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx} \right)^{\frac{p}{q-p}} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x) |u_n|^r dx \\ &= \left(K(q, r) \left(\frac{q-r}{p-r} \right)^{\frac{q}{q-p}} - \frac{q-p}{p-r} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx + o(1) \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

However, by (3.13), (3.14) and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda}(u_n) &\geq K(q, r) \left(\frac{\|u_n\|^q}{\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx} \right)^{\frac{p}{q-p}} - \lambda \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} \|u_n\|_{L^q}^r \\ &\geq \|u_n\|_{L^q}^r \left(K(q, r) \left(\frac{\|u_n\|^q}{S^{\frac{r(q-p)+pq}{p}} \|u_n\|^{\frac{r(q-p)+pq}{p}}} \right)^{\frac{p}{q-p}} - \lambda \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} \right) \\ &= \|u_n\|_{L^q}^r \left(K(q, r) \frac{1}{S^{\frac{r(q-p)+pq}{q-p}}} \|u_n\|^{-r} - \lambda \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} \right) \\ &\geq \|u_n\|_{L^q}^r \left\{ K(q, r) \frac{1}{S^{\frac{r(q-p)+pq}{q-p}}} \lambda^{\frac{-r}{p-r}} \left[\left(\frac{q-r}{q-p} \right) \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} S^r \right]^{\frac{-r}{p-r}} - \lambda \|f\|_{L^{q^*}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (3.15). We get

$$\left\langle J'_{\lambda}(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right\rangle \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

The proof is complete.

(ii) Similar to the proof of (i), we may prove (ii). □

Now, we establish the existence of a local minimum for J_λ on $M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let λ_0 as in Proposition 3.1, then for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, the functional J_λ has a minimizer $u_0^+ \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ and it satisfies*

- (i) $J_\lambda(u_0^+) = \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) = \alpha_\lambda^+(\Omega)$;
- (ii) u_0^+ is a nontrivial solution of problem (0.1);
- (iii) $J_\lambda(u_0^+) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda(\Omega)$ is a minimizing sequence for J_λ on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) &= \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) + o(1), \\ J'_\lambda(u_n) &= o(1), \quad \text{for } (W_0^{2,p}(\Omega))^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.7 and the compact imbedding theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ and $u_0^+ \in W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u_0^+ && \text{weakly in } W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0^+ && \text{strongly in } L^q(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0^+ \quad \text{strongly in } L^r(\Omega). \quad (3.16)$$

We firstly show that $\int_\Omega f(x)|u_0^+|^r dx \neq 0$. If not, by (3.16) we can conclude that

$$\int_\Omega f(x)|u_0^+|^r dx = 0$$

and

$$\int_\Omega f(x)|u_n|^r dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\Delta u_n|^p dx &= \int_\Omega |u_n|^q dx + o(1) \\ J_\lambda(u_n) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega |\Delta u_n|^p dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega |u_n|^q dx - \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_\Omega f(x)|u_n|^r dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int_\Omega |u_n|^q dx + o(1) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int_\Omega |u_0^+|^q dx \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

this contradicts $J_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) < 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, $u_0^+ \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ is a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1) and $J_\lambda(u_0^+) \geq \alpha_\lambda(\Omega)$. We now prove that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0^+$ strongly in $W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$. Supposing the contrary, then $\|u_0^+\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|$ and so

$$\|u_0^+\|^p - \int_\Omega |u_0^+|^q dx - \lambda \int_\Omega f(x)|u_0^+|^r dx$$

$$< \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|u_n\|^p - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x) |u_n|^r dx \right) = 0,$$

this contradicts $u_0^+ \in M_{\lambda}(\Omega)$. In fact, if $u_0^+ \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$, by Lemma 2.4, there are unique t_0^+ and t_0^- such that $t_0^+ u_0^+ \in M_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$ and $t_0^- u_0^+ \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$, we have $t_0^+ < t_0^- = 1$. Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_{\lambda}(t_0^+ u_0^+) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_{\lambda}(t_0^+ u_0^+) > 0,$$

there exists $t_0^+ < \bar{t} \leq t_0^-$ such that $J_{\lambda}(t_0^+ u_0^+) < J_{\lambda}(\bar{t} u_0^+)$. By

$$J_{\lambda}(t_0^+ u_0^+) < J_{\lambda}(\bar{t} u_0^+) \leq J_{\lambda}(t_0^- u_0^+) = J_{\lambda}(u_0^+),$$

which is a contradiction. By Lemma 2.3, we know that u_0^+ is a nontrivial solution. Moreover, by Lemma 2.7,

$$0 > J_{\lambda}(u_0^+) \geq -\lambda \frac{(q-r)(p-r)}{qpr} (\|f\|_{L^{q^*}} S^r)^{\frac{p}{p-r}},$$

it is clear that $J_{\lambda}(u_0^+) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. □

Next, we establish the existence of a local minimum for J_{λ} on $M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let λ_0 as in Proposition 3.1, then for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, the functional J_{λ} has a minimizer $u_0^- \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$ and it satisfies*

- (i) $J_{\lambda}(u_0^-) = \alpha_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$;
- (ii) u_0^- is a nontrivial solution of problem (0.1).

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence for J_{λ} on $M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda}(u_n) &= \alpha_{\lambda}^-(\Omega) + o(1), \\ J'_{\lambda}(u_n) &= o(1), \quad \text{for } (W_0^{2,p}(\Omega))^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Proposition 3.1(ii) and the compact imbedding theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ and $u_0^- \in M_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u_0^- && \text{weakly in } W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0^- && \text{strongly in } L^q(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0^- \quad \text{strongly in } L^r(\Omega). \tag{3.17}$$

We now prove that $u_n \rightarrow u_0^-$ strongly in $W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$. Supposing the contrary, then $\|u_0^-\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_0^-\|^p - \int_{\Omega} |u_0^-|^q dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x) |u_0^-|^r dx \\ &< \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|u_n\|^p - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x) |u_n|^r dx \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

this contradicts $u_0^- \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. Hence $u_n \rightarrow u_0^-$ strongly in $W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$. This implies

$$J_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow J_\lambda(u_0^-) = \alpha_\lambda^-(\Omega) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we know that u_0^- is a nontrivial solution. □

Combing with Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, for problem (0.1) there exist two nontrivial solution u_0^+ and u_0^- such that $u_0^+ \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$, $u_0^- \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. Since $M_\lambda^+(\Omega) \cap M_\lambda^-(\Omega) = \emptyset$, this shows that u_0^+ and u_0^- are different.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to professor Patrizia Pucci for their helpful suggestions, which greatly improve the paper. The first author is supported by NSFC (Grant No. 10971087, 11126083) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. The second author is supported by the Foundation of Fujian Provincial Department of Education, China, (Grant No.JA09202).

References

- [1] K.J. Brown, Y.P. Zhang, The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function, *J. Differential Equations* 193 (2003) 481-499.
- [2] T.F. Wu, On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 318 (2006) 253-270.
- [3] K.J. Brown, T.F. Wu, A semilinear elliptic system involving nonlinear boundary condition and sign-changing weight function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008) 1326-1336.
- [4] T.F. Wu, Multiple positive solutions for semilinear elliptic systems with nonlinear boundary condition, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 189 (2007) 1712-1722.
- [5] T.F. Wu, Multiple positive solutions for a class of concave-convex elliptic problems in R^N involving sign-changing weight, *J. Funct. Anal.* 258 (2010) 99-131.
- [6] C.Y. Chen, Y.C. Kuo, T.F. Wu, The Nehari manifold for a Kirchhoff type problem involving sign-changing weight functions, *J. Differential Equations* 250 (2011) 1876-1908.

- [7] T.F. Wu, The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic system involving sign-changing weight functions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 68 (2008) 1733-1745.
- [8] F. Berinis, J. Garcia-Azorero, I. Peral, Existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions in semilinear critical problems of fourth order, *Adv. Differential Equations* 1 (1996) 219-240.
- [9] Y. Zhu, G. Gu, S. Guo, Existence of positive solutions for the nonhomogeneous nonlinear biharmonic equation, *Journal of Hunan University (Natural Sciences)*, 34 (2007) 78-80.
- [10] J. Chabrowski, J.M. do Ó, On some fourth-order semilinear elliptic problems in R^N , *Nonlinear Anal.* 49 (2002) 861-884.
- [11] W. Wang, A. Zang, P Zhao, Multiplicity of solutions for a class of fourth elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 70 (2009) 4377-4385.
- [12] W. Wang, P. Zhao, Nonuniformly nonlinear elliptic equations of p-biharmonic type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 348 (2008) 730-738.
- [13] X. Zheng, Y. Deng, Existence of multiple solutions for a semilinear biharmonic equation with critical exponent, *Acta Math. Sci.* 20 (2000) 547-554.
- [14] F. Colasuonno, P. Pucci, Multiplicity of solutions for $p(x)$ -polyharmonic elliptic Kirchhoff equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011) 5962-5974.
- [15] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 17 (1974) 324-353.

(Received October 11, 2011)