

Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations

2016, No. 11, 1–16; doi:10.14232/ejqtde.2016.1.11 http://www.math.u-szeged.hu/ejqtde/

Mezocontinuous operators and solutions of difference equations

Janusz Migda[™]

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, A. Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 87, 61-614 Poznań, Poland

> Received 16 January 2016, appeared 15 March 2016 Communicated by Stevo Stević

Abstract. We attempt to unify and extend the theory of asymptotic properties of solutions to difference equations of various types. Usually in difference equations some functions are used which generate transformations of sequences. We replace these functions by abstract operators and investigate some properties of such operators. We are interested in properties of operators which correspond to continuity or boundedness or local boundedness of functions. Next we investigate asymptotic properties of the set of all solutions to 'abstract' and 'functional' difference equations. Our approach is based on using the iterated remainder operator and the asymptotic difference pair. Moreover, we use the regional topology on the space of all real sequences and the 'regional' version of the Schauder fixed point theorem.

Keywords: difference equation, asymptotic difference pair, prescribed asymptotic behavior, paracontinuous operator, mezocontinuous operator.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39A05, 39A10, 39A70.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} denote the set of positive integers and the set of real numbers, respectively. Moreover, let $SQ = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ denote the space of all real sequences $x : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$. In the paper we assume that

$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $F: SQ \to SQ$

and consider difference equations of the form

$$\Delta^m x_n = a_n F(x)(n) + b_n, \tag{E}$$

where $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that (E) is an abstract difference equation of order m.

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a sequence $x \in SQ$ is a p-solution of equation (E) if equality (E) is satisfied for any $n \geq p$. We say that x is a solution if it is a p-solution for certain $p \in \mathbb{N}$. If x is a p-solution for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then we say that x is a full solution.

[™]Email: migda@amu.edu.pl

As a special case of (E) we get equations of type:

$$\Delta^m x_n = a_n f(n, x_{\sigma_1(n)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_k(n)}) + b_n, \qquad f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R},$$
 (E1)

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, or

$$\Delta^m x_n = a_n f(n, x_n, \Delta x_n, \Delta^2 x_n, \dots, \Delta^k x_n) + b_n, \qquad f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R},$$
 (E2)

where k is an arbitrary natural number (the case k > m is not excluded).

In the series of papers [15–23] a new method in the study of asymptotic properties of solutions to difference equations is presented. This method, based on using the iterated remainder operator, and the regional topology on the space of all real sequences, allows us to control the degree of approximation. In the paper [22], summarizing some earlier results, the notion of a difference asymptotic pair was introduced and the theory of such pairs was used to study the asymptotic properties of solutions to autonomous difference equations of the form

$$\Delta^m x_n = a_n f(x_{\sigma(n)}) + b_n.$$

In this paper we extend the results from [22] to more general classes of equations. Our approach to the study of asymptotic properties of solutions were inspired by the papers [1–14] and [24–33].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce notation and terminology. In Section 3 we introduce the notion of mezocontinuous operator and we give some examples to show that the mezocontinuity of the operator F in equation (E) corresponds to the continuity of the function f in equations (E1) and (E2). Main results are obtained in Section 4. In Section 5 we apply our results to 'functional' equations (E1) and (E2).

2 Notation and terminology

If $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \le k$, then $\mathbb{N}(p)$, $\mathbb{N}(p, k)$ denote the sets defined by

$$\mathbb{N}(p) = \{p, p+1, \dots\}, \qquad \mathbb{N}(p, k) = \{p, p+1, \dots, k\}.$$

We use the symbols

$$Sol(E)$$
, $Sol_n(E)$, $Sol_{\infty}(E)$

to denote the set of all full solutions of (E), the set of all p-solutions of (E), and the set of all solutions of (E) respectively. If x, y in SQ, then xy and |x| denote the sequences defined by $xy(n) = x_ny_n$ and $|x|(n) = |x_n|$ respectively. Let $a, b \in SQ$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. We will use the following notations

Fin(
$$p$$
) = { $x \in SQ : x_n = 0$ for $n \ge p$ }, Fin = $\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} Fin(p)$,
o(1) = { $x \in SQ : x$ is convergent to zero}, O(1) = { $x \in SQ : x$ is bounded},
o(a) = { $ax : x \in o(1)$ } + Fin, O(a) = { $ax : x \in O(1)$ } + Fin,
 $\Delta^{-m}b = \{y \in SQ : \Delta^m y = b\}$, Pol($m - 1$) = Ker Δ^m .

For a subset Y of a metric space X and c > 0 let

$$B^*(Y,c) = \bigcup_{y \in Y} B^*(y,c),$$

where $B^*(y,c)$ denotes the closed ball of radius c centered at y. For $y, \rho \in SQ$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$B^*(y, \rho, p) = \{x \in SQ : |x - y| \le |\rho| \text{ and } x_n = y_n \text{ for } n < p\}.$$

Assume that Z is a linear subspace of a linear space X. We say that a subset W of X is Z-invariant if $W + Z \subset W$.

2.1 Regional topology

Let *X* be a real vector space. We say that a function $\|\cdot\|: X \to [0, \infty]$ is a *regional norm* if the condition $\|x\| = 0$ is equivalent to x = 0 and for any $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|, \qquad \|x + y\| \le \|x\| + \|y\|.$$

Hence, the notion of a regional norm generalizes the notion of a usual norm. Note that a regional norm may take the value ∞ . If a regional norm on X is given, then we say that X is a regional normed space, if there exists a vector $x \in X$ such that $||x|| = \infty$, then we say that X is extraordinary.

Assume *X* is a regional normed space. We say that a subset *Z* of *X* is *ordinary* if $||x - y|| < \infty$ for any $x, y \in Z$. We regard any ordinary subset *Z* of *X* as a metric space with metric defined by

$$d(x,y) = ||x - y||.$$

We say that a subset U of X is regionally open if $U \cap Z$ is open in Z for any ordinary subset Z of X. The family of all regionally open subsets is a topology on X which we call the regional topology. We regard any subset of X as a topological space with topology induced by the regional topology. Let

$$Reg(0) = \{x \in X : ||x|| < \infty\}.$$

Obviously Reg(0) is a linear subspace of X. Moreover, the regional norm induces a usual norm on Reg(0). We say that X is a Banach regional space if Reg(0) is complete. Let $x \in X$. We say that the set

$$Reg(x) = x + Reg(0)$$

is a *region* of x. If $y \in X$ and $||x - y|| < \infty$, then $\operatorname{Reg}(x) = \operatorname{Reg}(y)$. Any region is ordinary and open in X. Moreover, any region is connected and is metrically equivalent to the normed space $\operatorname{Reg}(0)$. From a topological point of view, the space X is a disjoint union of all regions. Note that if $x \in X$, then the region $\operatorname{Reg}(x)$ is the ordinary component of x and the connected component of x. Hence any ordinary subset of X is a subset of a certain region, and any connected subset of X is ordinary. Moreover, if X is continuous and X is ordinary.

$$H(\operatorname{Reg}(x)) \subset \operatorname{Reg}(H(x)).$$

We say that a subset Y of X is regional if $Reg(y) \subset Y$ for any $y \in Y$. The basic properties of the regional topology are presented in [21]. We will use the following theorem (see [21, Theorem 3.1]).

Theorem 2.1 (Generalized Schauder theorem). Assume Q is a closed and convex subset of a regional Banach space X, a map $H:Q\to Q$ is continuous and the set HQ is ordinary and totally bounded. Then there exists a point $x\in Q$ such that Hx=x.

We will use the standard regional norm on SQ defined by

$$||x|| = \sup\{|x_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Moreover, we will use the following fixed point theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Assume $y \in SQ$, $\rho \in o(1)$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and $B = B^*(y, \rho, p)$. Then any continuous map $H : B \to B$ has a fixed point.

Proof. By [21, Theorem 3.3], B is ordinary, convex and compact. Hence the assertion is a consequence of Theorem 2.1.

2.2 Remainder operator

For $t \in [1, \infty)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$A(t) := \left\{ a \in SQ : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{t-1} |a_n| < \infty \right\},\,$$

$$r^m : A(m) \to o(1), \qquad r^m(a)(n) = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} {m-1+j-n \choose m-1} a_j.$$

Then r^m is a linear operator which we call the iterated remainder operator of order m. The value $r^m(a)(n)$ we denote also by $r_n^m(a)$ or simply $r_n^m a$. The following lemma is a consequence of [20, Lemma 3.1], [20, Lemma 4.2], and [20, Lemma 4.8].

Lemma 2.3. Assume $a \in A(m)$, $u \in O(1)$, $k \in \{0, 1, ..., m\}$, and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

(a)
$$O(a) \subset A(m) \subset O(n^{1-m}), |r^m(ua)| \le ||u||r^m|a|, |\Delta r^m|a| \le 0,$$

(b)
$$|r_p^m a| \le r_p^m |a| \le \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n^{m-1} |a_n|, r^k a \in A(m-k),$$

(c)
$$\Delta^m r^m a = (-1)^m a$$
, $r^m \operatorname{Fin}(p) = \operatorname{Fin}(p) = \Delta^m \operatorname{Fin}(p)$.

For more information about the remainder operator see [20].

2.3 Asymptotic difference pairs

We say that a pair (A, Z) of linear subspaces of SQ is an *asymptotic difference pair* of order m or, simply, m-pair if

Fin +
$$Z \subset Z$$
, $O(1)A \subset A$, $A \subset \Delta^m Z$.

We say that an m-pair (A, Z) is *evanescent* if $Z \subset o(1)$. The following lemma is a consequence of [22, Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.7].

Lemma 2.4. Assume (A, Z) is an m-pair, $a, b, x \in SQ$. Then

(a) if
$$a - b \in A$$
, then $\Delta^{-m}a + Z = \Delta^{-m}b + Z$,

(b) if
$$a \in A$$
 and $\Delta^m x \in O(a) + b$, then $x \in \Delta^{-m}b + Z$,

(c) if
$$Z \subset o(1)$$
, then $A \subset A(m)$ and $r^m A \subset Z$.

Example 2.5. Assume $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in (-\infty, m-1]$, $\lambda \in (1, \infty)$, and

$$(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+m)\neq 0.$$

Then

$$(o(n^s), o(n^{s+m})), (O(n^s), O(n^{s+m})), (A(m-t), o(n^t)),$$

 $(o(\lambda^n), o(\lambda^n)), (O(\lambda^n), O(\lambda^n))$

are *m*-pairs.

Example 2.6. Assume $s \in (-\infty, -m)$, $t \in (-\infty, 0]$, $u \in [1, \infty)$, and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$(o(n^s), o(n^{s+m})), (O(n^s), O(n^{s+m})), (A(m-t), o(n^t)),$$

 $(A(m+u), A(u)), (o(\lambda^n), o(\lambda^n)), (O(\lambda^n), O(\lambda^n))$

are evanescent *m*-pairs.

For more information about difference pairs see [22].

3 Mezocontinuous operators

Assume $W \subset X \subset SQ$ and $H: X \to SQ$. We define $||H|| \in [0, \infty]$ by

$$||H|| = \sup\{|H(x)(n)| : x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We say, that H is bounded if $||H|| < \infty$. Let P be a property of operators. We say that H has the property P on W if the restriction H|W has the property P. Recall that we regard any subset of SQ as a topological space with topology induced by the regional topology. Hence, the continuity of H is defined by a standard way. We say, that H is:

paracontinuous if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a $\delta = \delta(n, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $x, z \in X$, and $||x - z|| < \delta$, then $|H(x)(n) - H(z)(n)| < \varepsilon$,

mezocontinuous if it is paracontinuous on any bounded subset of *X*,

regionally bounded if it is bounded on $X \cap \text{Reg}(x)$ for any $x \in X$.

We say that a map $G: X \to Y$ from a subset X of SQ to a metric space Y is *uniformly continuous* if it is uniformly continuous on any ordinary subset Z of X.

Remark 3.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let ev_n denote the evaluation (projection operator) defined by

$$\operatorname{ev}_n : \operatorname{SQ} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \operatorname{ev}_n(x) = x_n.$$

If $X \subset SQ$, then an operator $H : X \to SQ$ is paracontinuous if and only if for any n the function $ev_n \circ H : SQ \to \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous.

Remark 3.2. If $X \subset SQ$, $H_1, H_2, ..., H_k : X \to SQ$ are paracontinuous, and a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous, then the operator $H : X \to SQ$ defined by

$$H(x)(n) = \varphi(H_1(x)(n), \dots, H_k(x)(n))$$

is paracontinuous.

Example 3.3. Assume $\varphi_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence of uniformly continuous functions. Then the operator $H : SQ \to SQ$ defined by $H(x)(n) = \varphi_n(x_n)$ is paracontinuous.

Example 3.4. Assume $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$. Then the operator

$$H: SQ \rightarrow SQ$$
, $H(x)(n) = f(n, x_{\sigma_1(n)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_k(n)})$

is mezocontinuous.

Justification. Assume S is a bounded subset of SQ. Choose a positive ε and an index n. Let

$$S_n = \{(x_{\sigma_1(n)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_k(n)}) : x \in S\}.$$

Since *S* is bounded, the set S_n is a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^k . Choose a compact interval *I* such that $S_n \subset I^k$. The function

$$g: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$$
, $g(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = f(n, t_1, \ldots, t_k)$

is uniformly continuous on I^k . Choose $\delta > 0$ such that if $\alpha, \beta \in I^k$, and $\|\alpha - \beta\| < \delta$, then $|g(\alpha) - g(\beta)| < \varepsilon$. Now, assume $x, z \in S$ and $\|x - z\| < \delta$. Then

$$x^* := (x_{\sigma_1(n)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_k(n)}) \in S_n, \qquad y^* := (y_{\sigma_1(n)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_k(n)}) \in S_n$$

and $||x^* - y^*|| \le ||x - y|| < \delta$. Hence $|g(x^*) - g(y^*)| < \varepsilon$. This means that

$$|H(x)(n) - H(y)(n)| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore H is paracontinuous on S.

Example 3.5. If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then the operator

$$H: SQ \to SQ$$
, $H(x)(n) = f(n, x_n, \Delta x_n, \Delta^2 x_n, \dots, \Delta^k x_n)$

is mezocontinuous.

Justification. Assume S is a bounded subset of SQ. Choose a positive ε and an index n. Let

$$S_n = \{(x_n, \Delta x_n, \Delta^2 x_n, \dots, \Delta^k x_n) : x \in S\}.$$

Since *S* is bounded, the set S_n is a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^{k+1} . Choose a compact interval *I* such that $S_n \subset I^{k+1}$. The function

$$g: \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad g(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k) = f(n, t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k)$$

is uniformly continuous on I^{k+1} . Note that if $x, y \in SQ$, then

$$\|\Delta x - \Delta y\| \le 2\|x - y\|, \dots, \|\Delta^k x - \Delta^k y\| \le 2^k \|x - y\|.$$

Choose $\delta > 0$ such that if $\alpha, \beta \in I^{k+1}$, and $\|\alpha - \beta\| < 2^k \delta$, then $|g(\alpha) - g(\beta)| < \varepsilon$. Now, assume $x, z \in S$ and $\|x - z\| < \delta$. Then

$$x^* := (x_n, \Delta x_n, \Delta^2 x_n, \dots, \Delta^k x_n) \in S_n, \qquad y^* := (y_n, \Delta y_n, \Delta^2 y_n, \dots, \Delta^k y_n) \in S_n$$

and $||x^* - y^*|| < 2^k \delta$. Hence $|g(x^*) - g(y^*)| < \varepsilon$. This means that

$$|H(x)(n) - H(y)(n)| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore *H* is paracontinuous on *S*.

Example 3.6. Assume *B* is a bounded subset of \mathbb{R} , $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, the restriction f|B is continuous but not uniformly continuous, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $W = \{x \in SQ : x(\mathbb{N}) \subset B\}$, and

$$H: W \to SQ$$
, $H(x)(n) := \begin{cases} f(x_p) & \text{for } n = p \\ x_n & \text{for } n \neq p. \end{cases}$

Then *H* is continuous but not mezocontinuous.

Justification. Let $x \in W$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta \in (0,\varepsilon)$ such that if $t \in X$ and $|t - x_p| < \delta$, then $|f(t) - f(x_p)| < \varepsilon$. Now, if $z \in B$ and $||z - x|| < \delta$, then $||Hz - Hx|| < \varepsilon$. Hence H is continuous. Choose positive ε and δ . Since f|B is not uniformly continuous, there exist $s, t \in B$ such that $|s - t| < \delta$ and $|f(s) - f(t)| \ge \varepsilon$. Define sequences x, z by: $x_n = z_n = s$ for $n \ne p$, $x_p = s$, $z_p = t$. Then $x, z \in W$, $||z - x|| = |z_p - x_p| = |t - s| < \delta$, and

$$|H(z)(p) - H(x)(p)| = |f(t) - f(s)| \ge \varepsilon.$$

Hence H is not paracontinuous. Since W is bounded, H is not mezocontinuous.

Example 3.7. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $H : SQ \to SQ$ is given by $H(x)(n) = f(x_n)$. Then:

- (a) if f is uniformly continuous then H is uniformly continuous,
- (b) if f is continuous then H is mezocontinuous,
- (c) if f is not uniformly continuous then H is discontinuous.

Justification. The assertion (a) is obvious, and (b) is a consequence of Example 3.4. Assume f is not uniformly continuous. Then there exists a positive ε such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $x_n, z_n \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|x_n - z_n| < 1/n$ and $|f(x_n) - f(z_n)| \ge \varepsilon$. Let $\delta > 0$. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta < 1/k$ and define $y \in SQ$ by

$$y_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{for } n \le k, \\ z_n & \text{for } n > k. \end{cases}$$

Then $||x - y|| < \delta$ and $||Hx - Hy|| \ge \varepsilon$. Hence H is discontinuous at x.

4 Solutions of abstract equations

Let $W \subset SQ$, $a \in A(m)$, and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that W is

(F, a, p)-regular if for any $y \in W$ there exists a positive constant M such that F is paracontinuous on $B = B^*(y, Mr^m|a|, p)$ and $||F|B|| \leq M$,

F-regular if for any $y \in W$ there exist a positive constant c and an index q such that $F|B^*(y,c,q)$ is paracontinuous and bounded,

F-optimal if W is o(1)-invariant and F|W is mezocontinuous and regionally bounded,

F-ordinary if $F(W) \subset O(1)$.

Theorem 4.1. Assume (A, Z) is an evanescent m-pair, $a \in A$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, M > 0,

$$y \in \Delta^{-m}b$$
, $\rho = Mr^m|a|$, $B = B^*(y, \rho, p)$, $||F|B|| \leq M$,

and F is paracontinuous or continuous on B. Then $y \in Sol_{v}(E) + Z$.

Proof. If $x \in B$, then the sequence Fx is bounded. Hence $aFx \in O(a) \subset A(m)$. Define

$$H: S \to SQ$$
, $H(x)(n) = \begin{cases} y_n & \text{for } n < p, \\ y_n + (-1)^m r_n^m (aFx) & \text{for } n \ge p. \end{cases}$

If $n \ge p$, then using Lemma 2.3 we have

$$|H(x)(n) - y_n| = |r_n^m(aFx)| \le r_n^m|aFx| \le Mr_n^m|a| = \rho_n.$$

Hence $HB \subset B$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume that F is paracontinuous on B. There exist $q \ge p$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$M\sum_{n=q}^{\infty}n^{k-1}|a_n|<\varepsilon$$
 and $\alpha\sum_{n=p}^{q}n^{k-1}|a_n|<\varepsilon.$

For any $n \in \{p, ..., q\}$ there exists $\delta_n > 0$ such that if $x, z \in B$ and $||x - z|| < \delta_n$, then

$$|F(x)(n) - F(z)(n)| < \alpha.$$

Let $\delta = \min(\delta_p, \delta_{p+1}, \dots, \delta_q)$. If $x, z \in B$ and $||x - z|| < \delta$, then using Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$||Hx - Hz|| = \sup_{n \ge 1} |H(x)(n) - H(z)(n)| = \sup_{n \ge p} |r_n^m(aFx) - r_n^m(aFz)|$$

$$= \sup_{n \ge p} |r_n^m(aFx - aFz)| \le \sup_{n \ge p} r_n^m |aFx - aFz|$$

$$= r_p^m |aFx - aFz| \le \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n^{m-1} |a_nF(x)(n) - a_nF(z)(n)|$$

$$\le \sum_{n=p}^{q} n^{m-1} |a_nF(x)(n) - a_nF(z)(n)| + \sum_{n=q}^{\infty} n^{m-1} |a_nF(x)(n) - a_nF(z)(n)|$$

$$\le \alpha \sum_{n=p}^{q} n^{m-1} |a_n| + \sum_{n=q}^{\infty} n^{m-1} |a_nF(x)(n)| + \sum_{n=q}^{\infty} n^{m-1} |a_nF(z)(n)| < 3\varepsilon.$$

Hence H is continuous. Now assume that F is continuous on B and $x \in B$. There exists a $\delta(x,\varepsilon)>0$ such that the condition $\|z-x\|<\delta(x,\varepsilon)$ implies $|Fx-Fz|<\varepsilon$. If $z\in B$, $\|z-x\|<\delta(x,\varepsilon)$, and $n\geq p$, then, we obtain

$$|H(x)(n) - H(z)(n)| = |r_n^m(aF(x)) - r_n^m(aF(z))|$$

$$< r_n^m(|a||Fx - Fz|) < r_n^m(\varepsilon|a|) < \varepsilon r_1^m|a| = M^{-1}\rho_1\varepsilon.$$

Hence $||Hx - Hz|| \le M^{-1}\rho_1\varepsilon$. Therefore H is continuous. By Theorem 2.2, there exists an $x \in B$ such that Hx = x. Then $x_n = y_n + (-1)^m r_n^m a F(x)$ for $n \ge p$. Hence

$$x - y - (-1)^m r^m a F x \in \operatorname{Fin}(p). \tag{4.1}$$

Using Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\Delta^{m}((-1)^{m}r^{m}aF(x)) = aF(x), \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^{m}\text{Fin}(p) = \text{Fin}(p). \tag{4.2}$$

Using (4.1), (4.2), and the equality $\Delta^m y = b$, we get

$$\Delta^m x - aF(x) - b \in \operatorname{Fin}(p).$$

Hence $x \in Sol_p(E)$. Moreover, we have $(-1)^m a F x \in O(a)$. Hence, by (4.1),

$$y \in x + r^m O(a) + Fin(p)$$
.

By Lemma 2.3 we get

$$r^{m}O(a) + \operatorname{Fin}(p) = r^{m}O(a) + r^{m}\operatorname{Fin}(p) = r^{m}(O(a) + \operatorname{Fin}(p))$$
$$= r^{m}O(a) \subset r^{m}A \subset Z.$$

Corollary 4.2. Assume (A, Z) is an evanescent m-pair, $a \in A$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and W is an (F, a, p)-regular subset of SQ. Then

$$W \cap \Delta^{-m}b \subset \operatorname{Sol}_{p}(E) + Z.$$

Proof. The assertion is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.3. Assume (A, Z) is an evanescent m-pair, $a \in A$, and W is an F-regular subset of SQ. Then

$$W \cap \Delta^{-m}b \subset \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E) + Z.$$
 (4.3)

Proof. Let $y \in W \cap \Delta^{-m}b$. Choose a positive constant c and an index q such that F is paracontinuous and bounded on $B^*(y, c, q)$. Let

$$M = ||F|B^*(y, c, q)||.$$

Since $r^m|a| \in o(1)$, there exists an index $k \ge q$ such that $Mr_k^m|a| \le c$. Since the sequence $r^m|a|$ is nonincreasing, we have

$$B^*(y, Mr^m|a|, k) \subset B^*(y, c, q).$$

By Theorem 4.1, $y \in Sol_k(E) + Z \subset Sol_{\infty}(E) + Z$ and we obtain (4.3).

Example 4.4. Assume $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is positive, continuous, and nondecreasing,

$$f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
, $f(n,t) = g(t)$, $F: SQ \to SQ$, $F(x)(n) = f(n,x_n)$.

Moreover, assume $a \in A(m)$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $M, \alpha, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $g(\lambda) = M, \lambda > \alpha$, and

$$\sum_{n=n}^{\infty} n^{m-1} |a_n| \le \frac{\lambda - \alpha}{M}$$

Then the set $W = \{y \in SQ : y(\mathbb{N}) \subset (-\infty, \alpha)\}$ is (F, a, p)-regular.

Justification. By Lemma 2.3

$$|r_n^m|a| \le r_p^m|a| \le \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n^{m-1}|a_n| \le \frac{\lambda - \alpha}{M}$$

for any $n \ge p$. Let $y \in W$ and let

$$B = B^*(y, Mr^m|a|, p).$$

If $x \in B$, then $|x_n - y_n| \le Mr_p^m |a|$ for any n. Hence $x_n \le y_n + Mr_p^m |a|$ and

$$|F(x)(n)| = |f(n,x_n)| = g(x_n) \le g(y_n + Mr_n^m|a|) \le g(\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) = g(\lambda) = M$$

for any $x \in B$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $||F|B|| \le M$. By Example 3.4, F is paracontinuous on B. Therefore W is (F, a, p)-regular.

The following theorem is a consequence of Lemma 2.4. Note that in this theorem we do not assume that a pair (A, Z) is evanescent.

Theorem 4.5. Assume (A, Z) is an m-pair, $a \in A$, and W is an F-ordinary subset of SQ. Then

$$W \cap \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E) \subset \Delta^{-m}b + Z.$$
 (4.4)

Proof. If $x \in Sol_{\infty}(E)$ and $Fx \in O(1)$, then

$$\Delta^m x \in aF(x) + b + Fin \subset O(a) + b + Fin = O(a) + b.$$

Hence, using Lemma 2.4, we obtain (4.4).

Lemma 4.6. Assume X is a linear space, $W, S, Y \subset X$, Z is a linear subspace of X, W is Z-invariant and $W \cap S \subset Y + Z$. Then $W \cap S \subset W \cap Y + Z$.

Proof. Let $w \in W \cap S$. By assumption, w = y + z for some $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$. Since W is Z-invariant, we have $y = w - z \in W$. Hence $y \in W \cap Y$ and we obtain

$$w = y + z \in W \cap Y + Z.$$

Theorem 4.7. Assume (A, Z) is an evanescent m-pair, $a \in A$, and W is a Z-invariant subset of SQ. Then

(a) if W is F-regular, then

$$W \cap \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E) + Z = W \cap \Delta^{-m}b + Z$$

(b) if W is F-optimal, then

$$W \cap \operatorname{Sol}(E) + Z = W \cap \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E) + Z = W \cap \Delta^{-m}b + Z.$$

Proof. Assume W is F-regular. Then W is F-ordinary and, by Theorem 4.5,

$$W \cap \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E) \subset \Delta^{-m}b + Z.$$
 (4.5)

By Corollary 4.3, we have

$$W \cap \Delta^{-m}b \subset \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E) + Z.$$
 (4.6)

Using (4.6), (4.5), and Lemma 4.6 we obtain (a).

Assume *W* is *F*-optimal. Then, *W* is *F*-ordinary and, using Theorem 4.5,

$$W \cap \operatorname{Sol}(E) \subset W \cap \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E) \subset \Delta^{-m}b + Z.$$
 (4.7)

Assume $y \in W \cap \Delta^{-m}b$. Let $M = ||F|\operatorname{Reg}(y)||$. Since $a \in A \subset A(m)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{m-1} |a_n| < \infty.$$

Choose a positive *c* such that

$$M\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{m-1}|a_n|< c.$$

Then

$$B^*(y, Mr^m|a|, 1) \subset B^*(y, c, 1) \subset y + O(1) = Reg(y).$$

By Theorem 4.1,

$$y \in Sol_1(E) + Z = Sol(E) + Z.$$

Hence

$$W \cap \Delta^{-m}b \subset \operatorname{Sol}(E) + Z. \tag{4.8}$$

Using (4.8), (4.7), and Lemma 4.6 we obtain (b).

Let $X \subset SQ$. We say that an operator $H: X \to SQ$ is *unbounded* at a point $p \in [-\infty, \infty]$ if there exists a sequence $x \in X$ and an increasing sequence $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x(\alpha(n)) = p$$
 and $\lim_{n\to\infty} |H(x)(\alpha(n))| = \infty$.

Let

$$U(H) = \{ p \in [-\infty, \infty] : H \text{ is unbounded at } p \}.$$

For $x \in SQ$ let

$$L(x) = \{ p \in [-\infty, \infty] : p \text{ is a limit point of } x \}.$$

The following theorem extends [22, Theorem 4.2].

Theorem 4.8. Assume (A, Z) is an m-pair, $a \in A$, and $x \in Sol_{\infty}(E)$. Then

$$x \in \Delta^{-m}b + Z$$
 or $L(x) \cap U(F) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Assume $L(x) \cap U(F) = \emptyset$. If the sequence F(x) is unbounded from above, then there exists an increasing sequence $\beta : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x)(\beta(n)) = \infty. \tag{4.9}$$

Let $y = x \circ \beta$ and let $p \in L(y)$. There exists an increasing sequence $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y(\gamma(n)) = p. \tag{4.10}$$

Let $\alpha = \beta \circ \gamma$. Then

$$x(\alpha(n)) = (x \circ \beta \circ \gamma)(n) = (x \circ \beta)(\gamma(n)) = y(\gamma(n)).$$

Hence, by (4.10), $\lim_{n\to\infty} x(\alpha(n)) = p$. Moreover, using (4.9), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x)(\alpha(n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(x)(\beta(\gamma(n))) = \infty.$$

Therefore $p \in U(F)$. Since y is a subsequence of x, we have $p \in L(x)$. Thus

$$p \in L(x) \cap U(F)$$
.

Analogously, if the sequence F(x) is unbounded from below, then $L(x) \cap U(F) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore F(x) is bounded. Since $x \in Sol_{\infty}(E)$, we have

$$\Delta^m x \in aF(x) + b + \text{Fin} \subset aO(1) + \text{Fin} + b = O(a) + b.$$

By Lemma 2.4 (b) we get $x \in \Delta^{-m}b + Z$.

5 Solutions of functional equations

For a subset V of \mathbb{R} we denote by \overline{V} the closure of V in the extended line $[-\infty, \infty]$.

Theorem 5.1. Assume (A, Z) is an evanescent m-pair, $a \in A$, $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$, c > 0,

$$f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$$
, $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma_i(n) \to \infty$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$,

$$V \subset \mathbb{R}$$
, $W = \{x \in SQ : L(x) \subset \overline{V}\}$, $U = \mathbb{N}(p) \times B^*(V,c)^k$,

and f is continuous. Then W is o(1)-invariant and

(a) if f is bounded on U, then for any Z-invariant subset Q of W we have

$$Q \cap \Delta^{-m}b + Z = Q \cap \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E1) + Z; \tag{5.1}$$

(b) if f is bounded, then for any Z-invariant subset Q of SQ we have

$$Q \cap \operatorname{Sol}(E1) + Z = Q \cap \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E1) + Z = Q \cap \Delta^{-m}b + Z. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. If $y \in SQ$ and $z \in o(1)$, then L(y+z) = L(y). Hence the set W is o(1)-invariant. Let

$$F: SQ \rightarrow SQ$$
, $F(x)(n) = f(n, x_{\sigma_1(n)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_k(n)}).$

Assume f is bounded on U. Choose $y \in W$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, c/2)$. It is easy to see that the set

$$y(\mathbb{N}) \setminus B^*(V, \varepsilon)$$

is finite. Hence there exists an index $p_1 \ge p$ such that $y_n \in B^*(V, \varepsilon)$ for any $n \ge p_1$. Choose $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma_i(n) \ge p_1$ for any $n \ge q$ and any $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$. Let

$$q_1 = \max \bigcup_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(\mathbb{N}(1,q)), \qquad Y = y(\mathbb{N}(1,q_1)), \qquad C = \mathbb{N}(1,q) \times \mathrm{B}^*(Y,\varepsilon)^k.$$

Then *C* is compact and *f* is bounded on *C*. Define

$$M_1 = ||f|U||$$
 and $M_2 = ||f|C||$.

Let $x \in B^*(y, \varepsilon, q)$. Then $|x_n - y_n| \le \varepsilon$ for any n. If $n \ge q$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}(1, k)$, then $\sigma_i(n) \ge p_1$ and

$$y_{\sigma_i(n)} \in \mathrm{B}^*(V,\varepsilon).$$

Hence there exists $u \in V$ such that $|u - y_{\sigma_i(n)}| \le \varepsilon$. Then

$$|x_{\sigma_i(n)} - u| \leq |x_{\sigma_i(n)} - y_{\sigma_i(n)}| + |y_{\sigma_i(n)} - u| \leq 2\varepsilon < c.$$

Hence $(x_{\sigma_1(n)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_k(n)}) \in B^*(V, c)^k$ and for $n \ge q$ we get

$$|F(x)(n)|=|f(n,x_{\sigma_1(n)},\ldots,x_{\sigma_k(n)})|\leq M_1.$$

If $n \leq q$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}(1, k)$, then

$$x_{\sigma_i(n)} \in B^*(y_{\sigma_i(n)}, \varepsilon) \subset B^*(Y, \varepsilon)$$

Hence

$$(n, x_{\sigma_1(n)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma_k(n)}) \in C$$
 and $|F(x)(n)| \leq M_2$.

Therefore F is bounded on $B^*(y, \varepsilon, q)$. By Example 3.4, F is paracontinuous on $B^*(y, \varepsilon, q)$. Thus W is F-regular. Hence any subset Q of W is F-regular. If, moreover Q is Z-invariant, then, by Theorem 4.7 (a), we obtain (5.1). Now, assume f is bounded on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and Q is a Z-invariant subset of SQ. Then we can take $V = \mathbb{R}$, W = SQ, and by (5.1), we obtain

$$Q \cap \operatorname{Sol}(E1) + Z \subset Q \cap \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E1) + Z = Q \cap \Delta^{-m}b + Z. \tag{5.3}$$

Assume $y \in Q \cap \Delta^{-m}b$. Let M = ||f||. Then $||F|| \le M$ and

$$||F|B^*(y, Mr^m|a|, 1)|| \le M.$$

By Example 3.4, F is paracontinuous on $B^*(y, Mr^m|a|, 1)$. Hence, by Theorem 4.1,

$$y \in Sol_1(E1) + Z = Sol(E1) + Z.$$

Therefore

$$Q \cap \Delta^{-m}b \subset Sol(E1) + Z. \tag{5.4}$$

Using (5.3), (5.4) and Lemma 4.6 we obtain (5.2).

Theorem 5.2. Assume (A, Z) is an evanescent m-pair, $a \in A$, $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$, c > 0,

$$V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_k \subset \mathbb{R}, \qquad U = \mathbb{N}(p) \times \mathrm{B}^*(V_0, c) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{B}^*(V_k, c),$$

$$W = \{x \in SQ : L(\Delta^i x) \subset \overline{V_i} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, k\},$$

and $f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Then W is o(1)-invariant and

(a) if f is bounded on U, then for any Z-invariant subset Q of W we have

$$Q \cap \Delta^{-m}b + Z = Q \cap \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E2) + Z; \tag{5.5}$$

(b) if f is bounded, then for any Z-invariant subset Q of SQ we have

$$Q \cap \operatorname{Sol}(E2) + Z = Q \cap \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E2) + Z = Q \cap \Delta^{-m}b + Z. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Obviously W is o(1)-invariant. Let

$$F: SQ \to SQ$$
, $F(x)(n) = f(n, x_n, \Delta x_n, \Delta^2 x_n, \dots, \Delta^k x_n)$.

Assume f is bounded on U. Choose $y \in W$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, c/2^{k+1})$. It is easy to see that, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}(0, k)$, the set

$$\Delta^i y(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \mathrm{B}^*(V_i, \varepsilon)$$

is finite. Hence there exists an index p such that $\Delta^i y_n \in B^*(V_i, \varepsilon)$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}(0, k)$ and any $n \geq p$. Let M = ||F|U||, $x \in B^*(y, \varepsilon, p)$ and $n \geq p$. If $i \in \mathbb{N}(0, k)$, then

$$|\Delta^i x_n - \Delta^i y_n| \le 2^i \varepsilon$$

and there exists a point $u_i \in V_i$ such that $|\Delta^i y_n - u_i| < \varepsilon$. Hence

$$\Delta^i x_n \in \mathrm{B}^*(V_i, 2^{i+1}\varepsilon) \subset \mathrm{B}^*(V_i, c).$$

Therefore $|F(x)(n)| \le M$ for any $x \in B^*(y, \varepsilon, p)$ and any $n \ge p$. Thus F is bounded on $B^*(y, \varepsilon, p)$. By Example 3.5, F is paracontinuous on $B^*(y, \varepsilon, p)$. Hence W is F-regular. Assume Q is a Z-invariant subset of W. Then Q is F-regular and, by Theorem 4.7 (a), we obtain (5.5). Now, assume f is bounded and Q is a Z-invariant subset of SQ. Then we can take

$$V_0 = V_1 = \cdots = V_k = \mathbb{R}, \qquad W = SQ,$$

and, by (5.5) we obtain

$$Q \cap \operatorname{Sol}(E2) + Z \subset Q \cap \operatorname{Sol}_{\infty}(E2) + Z = Q \cap \Delta^{-m}b + Z. \tag{5.7}$$

Assume $y \in Q \cap \Delta^{-m}b$. Let M = ||f||. Then $||F|| \le M$ and

$$||F|B^*(y, Mr^m|a|, 1)|| \le M.$$

By Example 3.4, F is paracontinuous on $B^*(y, Mr^m|a|, 1)$. Hence, by Theorem 4.1,

$$y \in Sol_1(E2) + Z = Sol(E2) + Z$$
.

Therefore

$$Q \cap \Delta^{-m}b \subset \text{Sol}(E2) + Z. \tag{5.8}$$

Using (5.7), (5.8) and Lemma 4.6 we obtain (5.6).

References

- [1] C. Avramescu, Evanescent solutions for linear ordinary differential equations, *Electron*. *J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2002**, No. 9, 1–12. MR1906597
- [2] M. Bohner, S. Stević, Asymptotic behavior of second-order dynamic equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **188**(2007), No. 2, 1503–1512. MR2335647
- [3] M. Bohner, S. Stević, Trench's perturbation theorem for dynamics equations, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2007**, Article ID 75672, 11 pp. MR2375475; url
- [4] S. S. CHENG, W. T. PATULA, An existence theorem for a nonlinear difference equation, *Nonlinear Anal.* **20**(1993), No. 3, 193–203. MR1202198
- [5] J. Diblík, A criterion of asymptotic convergence for a class of nonlinear differential equations with delay, *Nonlinear Anal.* **47**(2001), No. 6, 4095–4106. MR1972350
- [6] A. Drozdowicz, J. Popenda, Asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the second order difference equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **99**(1987), No. 1, 135–140. MR0866443
- [7] M. Ehrnström, Linear asymptotic behaviour of second order ordinary differential equations, *Glasgow Math. J.* **49**(2007), 105–120. MR2337871
- [8] A. GLESKA, J. WERBOWSKI, Comparison theorems for the asymptotic behavior of solutions of nonlinear difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **226**(1998), No. 2, 456–465. MR1650201
- [9] T. G. Hallam, Asymptotic behavior of the solutions of an nth order nonhomogeneous ordinary differential equation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122**(1966), 177–194. MR0188562

- [10] M. Hasanbulli, Y. V. Rogovchenko, Asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions to *n*-th order nonlinear neutral differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**(2008), 1208–1218. MR2426686
- [11] J. W. HOOKER, W. T. PATULA, A second-order nonlinear difference equation: oscillation and asymptotic behavior, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **91**(1983), No. 1, 9–29. MR0688528
- [12] Q. Kong, Asymptotic behavior of a class of nonlinear differential equations of *n*th order, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103**(1988), 831–838. MR0947667
- [13] T. Kusano, W. F. Trench, Global existence theorems for solutions of nonlinear differential equations with prescribed asymptotic behavior, *J. London Math. Soc.* **31**(1985), 478–486. MR812777
- [14] O. LIPOVAN, On the asymptotic behavior of the solutions to a class of second order non-linear differential equations, *Glasgow Math. J.* **45**(2003), 179–187. MR1973349
- [15] M. MIGDA, J. MIGDA, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of higher order nonlinear difference equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **47**(2001), 4687–4695. MR1975862
- [16] J. MIGDA, Asymptotic properties of solutions of nonautonomous difference equations, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* **46**(2010), 1–11. MR2644450
- [17] J. MIGDA, Asymptotically polynomial solutions of difference equations, *Adv. Difference Equ.* **92**(2013), 16 pp. MR3053806; url
- [18] J. MIGDA, Approximative solutions of difference equations, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2014**, No. 13, 1–26. MR3183611
- [19] J. MIGDA, Approximative full solutions of difference equations, *Int. J. Difference Equ.* **9**(2014), 111–121.
- [20] J. MIGDA, Iterated remainder operator, tests for multiple convergence of series and solutions of difference equations, *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2014**, 2014:189, 18 pp. MR3357342
- [21] J. MIGDA, Regional topology and approximative solutions of difference and differential equations, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* **63**(2015), 183–203. MR3411445
- [22] J. MIGDA, Qualitative approximation of solutions to difference equations, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2015**, No. 32, 1–26. MR3353203
- [23] J. MIGDA, Approximative solutions to difference equations of neutral type, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **268**(2015), 763–774. MR3399460
- [24] A. B. MINGARELLI, K. SADARANGANI, Asymptotic solutions of forced nonlinear second order differential equations and their extensions, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2007**, No. 40, 1–40. MR2299594
- [25] O. G. Mustafa, Y. V. Rogovchenko, Asymptotic integration of a class of nonlinear differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **19**(2006), 849–853. MR2240473
- [26] CH. G. PHILOS, I. K. PURNARAS, P. CH. TSAMATOS, Asymptotic to polynomials solutions for nonlinear differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **59**(2004), 1157–1179. MR2098511

[27] C. G. Philos, P. C. Tsamatosa, Solutions approaching polynomials at infinity to non-linear ordinary differential equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2005**, No. 79, 1–25. MR2162240

- [28] J. POPENDA, Asymptotic properties of solutions of difference equations, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **95**(1986), No. 2, 141–153. MR0913886
- [29] S. Stević, Asymptotic behaviour of second-order difference equation, ANZIAM J. 46(2004), No. 1, 157–170. MR2075520
- [30] S. Stević, Growth estimates for solutions of nonlinear second-order difference equations, *ANZIAM J.* **46**(2005), No. 3, 439–448. MR2124934
- [31] E. THANDAPANI, R. ARUL, J. R. GRAEF, P. W. SPIKES, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order difference equations with summable coefficients, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **27**(1999), 1–22. MR1681601
- [32] W. F. Trench, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a linear second order difference equation, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **41**(1992), 95–103. MR1181711
- [33] A. ZAFER, Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of higher order difference equations, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **21**(1995), No. 4, 43–50. MR1317929