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#### Abstract

In this paper, by a monotone iterative method and the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, we obtain the existence of entire positive radial solutions to the following quasilinear elliptic equations


$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{1}(|\nabla u|) \nabla u\right)+a_{1}(|x|) \phi_{1}(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|=b_{1}(|x|) f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

and systems

$$
\begin{cases}\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{1}(|\nabla u|) \nabla u\right)+a_{1}(|x|) \phi_{1}(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|=b_{1}(|x|) f_{1}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ \operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{2}(|\nabla v|) \nabla v\right)+a_{2}(|x|) \phi_{2}(|\nabla v|)|\nabla v|=b_{2}(|x|) f_{2}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},\end{cases}
$$

under simple conditions on $f, f_{i}, a_{i}$ and $b_{i}(i=1,2)$.
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## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the existence of entire positive radial solutions to the following quasilinear elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{1}(|\nabla u|) \nabla u\right)+a_{1}(|x|) \phi_{1}(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|=b_{1}(|x|) f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and system

$$
\begin{cases}\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{1}(|\nabla u|) \nabla u\right)+a_{1}(|x|) \phi_{1}(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|=b_{1}(|x|) f_{1}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.2}\\ \operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{2}(|\nabla v|) \nabla v\right)+a_{2}(|x|) \phi_{2}(|\nabla v|)|\nabla v|=b_{2}(|x|) f_{2}(u, v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},\end{cases}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}, f, f_{i}(i=1,2)$ satisfy
$\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right) a_{i}, b_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ are continuous;

[^0]$\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous and increasing, $f_{i}:[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ are continuous and increasing (i.e., $f_{i}\left(s_{2}, t_{2}\right) \geq f_{i}\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right), \forall s_{2} \geq s_{1} \geq 0$ and $t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq 0$ ),
and $\phi_{i} \in C^{1}((0, \infty),(0, \infty))$ satisfy:
$\left(\mathbf{S}_{3}\right)\left(t \phi_{i}(t)\right)^{\prime}>0, \forall t>0$;
$\left(\mathbf{S}_{4}\right)$ there exist $p_{i}, q_{i}>1$ such that
$$
p_{i} \leq \frac{t \Psi_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{\Psi_{i}(t)} \leq q_{i}, \quad \forall t>0,
$$
where $\Psi_{i}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} s \phi_{i}(s) d s, t>0 ;$
$\left(\mathbf{S}_{5}\right)$ there exist $k_{i}, l_{i}>0$ such that
$$
k_{i} \leq \frac{t \Psi_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\Psi_{i}^{\prime}(t)} \leq l_{i}, \quad \forall t>0 .
$$
$\Delta_{\phi_{1}} u=\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{1}(|\nabla u|) \nabla u\right)$ is called the $\phi_{1}$-Laplacian operator, which includes special cases appearing in mathematical models in nonlinear elasticity, plasticity, generalized Newtonian fluids, and in quantum physics, see e.g., Benci, Fortunato and Pisani [5], Cencelj, Repovš and Virk [6], Fuchs and Li [9], Fuchs and Osmolovski [10], Fukagai and Narukawa [11] and [12] and the references therein.

Some basic examples of $\phi_{1}$-Laplacian operators are
(1) when $\phi_{1}(t) \equiv 2, \Psi_{1}(t)=t^{2}, t>0, \Delta_{\phi_{1}} u=\Delta u$ is the Laplacian operator. In this case, $p_{1}=q_{1}=2$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{4}\right)$, and $k_{1}=l_{1}=1$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{5}\right)$;
(2) when $\phi_{1}(t)=p t^{p-2}, \Psi_{1}(t)=t^{p}, t>0, p>1, \Delta_{\phi_{1}} u=\Delta_{p} u$ is the $p$-Laplacian operator. In this case, $p_{1}=q_{1}=p$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{4}\right)$, and $k_{1}=l_{1}=p-1$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{5}\right)$;
(3) when $\phi_{1}(t)=p t^{p-2}+q t^{q-2}, \Psi_{1}(t)=t^{p}+t^{q}, t>0,1<p<q, \Delta_{\phi_{1}} u=\Delta_{p} u+\Delta_{q} u$ is called as the $(p+q)$-Laplacian operator, $p_{1}=p, q_{1}=q$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{4}\right)$, and $k_{1}=p-1, l_{1}=q-1$ in ( $\mathbf{S}_{5}$ );
(4) when $\phi_{1}(t)=2 p\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{p-1}, \Psi_{1}(t)=\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{p}-1, t>0, p>1 / 2, p_{1}=\min \{2,2 p\}$, $q_{1}=\max \{2,2 p\}$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{4}\right)$, and $k_{1}=\min \{1,2 p-1\}, l_{1}=\max \{1,2 p-1\}$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{5}\right)$;
(5) when $\phi_{1}(t)=\frac{p\left(\sqrt{1+t^{2}}-1\right)^{p-1}}{\sqrt{1+t^{2}}}, \Psi_{1}(t)=\left(\sqrt{1+t^{2}}-1\right)^{p}, t>0, p>1, p_{1}=p, q_{1}=2 p$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{4}\right)$, and $k_{1}=p-1, l_{1}=2 p-1$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{5}\right)$;
(6) when $\phi_{1}(t)=p t^{p-2}(\ln (1+t))^{q}+\frac{q t^{p-1}(\ln (1+t))^{q-1}}{1+t}, \Psi_{1}(t)=t^{p}(\ln (1+t))^{q}, t>0, p>1$, $q>0, p_{1}=p, q_{1}=p+q$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{4}\right)$, and $k_{1}=p-1, l_{1}=p+q-1$ in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{5}\right)$.
We say that $u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a solution to equation (1.1) if for each $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, it holds

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi_{1}(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \nabla \psi d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a_{1}(x)\left(\phi_{1}(|\nabla u|) \nabla u\right) \psi d x=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b_{1}(x) f(u) \psi d x .
$$

Moreover, when $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x)=+\infty$, we say that $u$ is a large solution to equation (1.1).
For convenience, for $i=1,2$, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}^{-1} \quad \text { the inverses of } h_{i}(t)=t \phi_{i}(t), \quad t>0 ; \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i, p, g}(\infty):=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} I_{i, p, g}(r), \quad I_{i, p, g}(r):=\int_{0}^{r} h_{i}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{\rho, g}(t)\right) d t, \quad r \geq 0, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho, g \in C([0, \infty),[0, \infty))$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda_{\rho, g}(t):=\frac{1}{\Phi_{g}(t)} \int_{0}^{t} \Phi_{g}(s) \rho(s) d s, \quad t>0 ;  \tag{1.5}\\
& \Phi_{g}(t):=t^{N-1} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right), \quad t>0 ;  \tag{1.6}\\
& \theta_{i}(t):=\min \left\{t^{p_{i}}, t^{q_{i}}\right\}, \quad \Theta_{i}(t):=\max \left\{t^{p_{i}}, t^{q_{i}}\right\}, \quad t \geq 0 ;  \tag{1.7}\\
& \theta_{i}^{-1}(t):=\min \left\{t^{1 / p_{i}}, t^{1 / q_{i}}\right\}, \quad \Theta_{i}^{-1}(t):=\max \left\{t^{1 / p_{i}}, t^{1 / q_{i}}\right\}, \quad t \geq 0 ; \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and, for an arbitrary $\alpha>0$ and $t \geq \alpha$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(\infty):=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(t), & \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(t):=\int_{\alpha}^{t} \frac{d \tau}{\Theta_{1}^{-1}(f(\tau))} ; \\
\mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}(\infty):=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}(t), & \mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}(t):=\int_{\alpha}^{t} \frac{d \tau}{\Theta_{1}^{-1}\left(f_{1}(\tau, \tau)\right)+\Theta_{2}^{-1}\left(f_{2}(\tau, \tau)\right)} . \tag{1.10}
\end{array}
$$

We see that for $t>\alpha$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{1}{\Theta_{1}^{-1}(f(t))}>0 \\
\mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{1}{\Theta_{1}^{-1}\left(f_{1}(t, t)\right)+\Theta_{2}^{-1}\left(f_{2}(t, t)\right)}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $Y_{1, \alpha}, Y_{2, \alpha}$ have the inverse functions $Y_{1, \alpha}^{-1}$ and $Y_{2, \alpha}^{-1}$ on $\left[0, \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(\infty)\right)$ and $\left[0, \mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}(\infty)\right)$, respectively.

First, let us review the following model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=b_{1}(|x|) f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $b_{1}(x) \equiv 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ : when $f$ satisfies ( $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ ), Keller [14] and Osserman [19] first supplied a necessary and sufficient condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{2 F(t)}}=\infty, \quad F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s, \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the existence of entire positive radial large solutions to equation (1.11).
For $N \geq 3, f(u)=u^{\gamma}, \gamma \in(0,1]$, and $b_{1}$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ with $b_{1}(x)=b_{1}(|x|)$, Lair and Wood [16] first showed that equation (1.11) has infinitely many entire positive radial large solutions if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} r b_{1}(r) d r=\infty \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above results have been extended by many authors and in many contexts, see, for instance, [ $1-3,8,21-23]$ and the references therein.

Next let us review the system

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta u=b_{1}(|x|) v^{\gamma_{1}}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.14}\\ \Delta v=b_{2}(|x|) u^{\gamma_{2}}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .\end{cases}
$$

When $N \geq 3$ and $0<\gamma_{1} \leq \gamma_{2}$, Lair and Wood [17] have considered the existence and nonexistence of entire positive radial solutions to system (1.14).

For the further results, see, for instance, $[4,7,13,15,18,24]$ and the references therein.
Now let us return to equation (1.1). Recently, C. A. Santos, J. Zhou, J. A. Santos [20] considered the existence of entire positive radial and nonradial large solutions to equation

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{1}(|\nabla u|) \nabla u\right)=b_{1}(x) f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

A basic result in [20] is the following.
Lemma 1.1 ([20, Corollary 1.2]). Let $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)$ hold, $f$ satisfy $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$, and $b_{1}$ satisfy $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ with $b_{1}(x)=b_{1}(|x|), x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. If

$$
I_{1, b_{1}, 0}(\infty)=\infty,
$$

then equation (1.1) admits a sequence of symmetric radial large solutions $u_{m}(|x|) \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $u_{m}(0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $f$ satisfies

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{\Psi_{1}^{-1}(F(t))}=\infty,
$$

where $\Psi_{1}^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\Psi_{1}$ which is given as in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)$, and $F$ is given as in (1.12).
Recently, when $a_{i} \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, f_{1}(u, v)=f(v), f_{2}(u, v)=g(u)$, and $g$ satisfies ( $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ), Zhang [25] showed existence of entire positive radial solutions to (1.1) and system (1.2).

In this paper, we extend the results of [25] and show existence of entire positive radial solutions to (1.1) and (1.2) for more general $a_{i}$ and $f_{i}$.

Our main results for equation (1.1) are as follows.
Theorem 1.2. Let the hypotheses $\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{S}_{5}\right)$ hold. If
( $\left.\mathbf{S}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(\infty)=\infty$,
then equation (1.1) has one entire positive radial solution $u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Moreover, when $I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)<\infty$, $u$ is bounded, and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r)=\infty$ provided $I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)=\infty$, where $I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}$ is given as in (1.4).

Theorem 1.3. Under the hypotheses $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)$ and
(S $\left.\mathbf{S}_{7}\right) I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)<Y_{1, \alpha}(\infty)<\infty$,
equation (1.1) has one entire positive radial bounded solution $u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\alpha+\theta_{1}^{-1}(f(\alpha)) I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(r) \leq u(r) \leq \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}^{-1}\left(I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(r)\right), \quad \forall r \geq 0,
$$

where $\theta_{1}^{-1}$ is given as in (1.8).
Remark 1.4. When $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \tau}{\Theta_{1}^{-1}(f(\tau))}=\infty$, one can see that there is $\alpha>0$ sufficiently small such that $\left(\mathbf{S}_{7}\right)$ holds provided $I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)<\infty$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(\infty)<\infty$.
Remark 1.5. For $f(s)=s^{\gamma_{1}}, s \geq 0, \gamma_{1}>0$, since $\Theta_{1}^{-1}(t)=t^{1 / p_{1}}, t \geq 1$, one can see that when $\gamma_{1}>p_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(\infty)<\infty$, and $\mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(\infty)=\infty$ provided $\gamma_{1} \leq p_{1}$, where $p_{1}$ is given as in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{4}\right)$.

Remark 1.6. For $f(s)=(1+s)^{\gamma_{1}}(\ln (1+s))^{\mu_{1}}, s \geq 0, \mu_{1}, \gamma_{1}>0$, one can see that when $\gamma_{1}>p_{1}$ or $\gamma_{1}=p_{1}$ and $\mu_{1}>p_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(\infty)<\infty$, and $\mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(\infty)=\infty$ provided $\gamma_{1}<p_{1}$ or $\gamma_{1}=p_{1}$ and $\mu_{1} \leq p_{1}$.

Remark 1.7. For $f(s)=\exp \left(c_{1} s\right), s \geq 0, c_{1}>0$, one can see that $\mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(\infty)<\infty$.
Our main results for system (1.2) are as follows.
Theorem 1.8. Let the hypotheses $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)$ hold. If
( $\left.\mathbf{S}_{8}\right) \mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}(\infty)=\infty$,
then system (1.2) has one entire positive radial solution $(u, v)$ in $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Moreover, when $I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)+I_{2, a_{2}, b_{2}}(\infty)<\infty, u$ and $v$ are bounded; when $I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)=I_{2, a_{2}, b_{2}}(\infty)=\infty$, $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r)=\infty$.

Theorem 1.9. Under the hypotheses $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)+I_{2, a_{2}, b_{2}}(\infty)<Y_{2, \alpha}(\infty)<\infty, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

system (1.2) has one entire positive radial bounded solution $(u, v)$ in $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha / 2+\theta_{1}^{-1}\left(f_{1}(\alpha / 2, \alpha / 2)\right) I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(r) \leq u(r) \leq \mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}^{-1}\left(I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(r)+I_{2, a_{2}, b_{2}}(r)\right), & \forall r \geq 0 ; \\
\alpha / 2+\theta_{2}^{-1}\left(f_{2}(\alpha / 2, \alpha / 2)\right) I_{2, a_{2}, b_{2}}(r) \leq v(r) \leq \mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}^{-1}\left(I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(r)+I_{2, a_{2}, b_{2}}(r)\right), & \forall r \geq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

Remark 1.10. For $f_{1}(s, s)=s^{\gamma_{1}}, f_{2}(s, s)=s^{\gamma_{2}}, s \geq 0, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}>0$, when $\gamma_{1}>p_{1}$ or $\gamma_{2}>p_{2}$, $\mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}(\infty)<\infty$, and $\mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}(\infty)=\infty$ provided $\gamma_{1} \leq p_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2} \leq p_{2}$, where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are given as in $\left(\mathbf{S}_{4}\right)$.

Remark 1.11. For $f_{1}(s, s)=(1+s)^{\gamma_{1}}(\ln (1+s))^{\mu_{1}}, f_{2}(s, s)=(1+s)^{\gamma_{2}}(\ln (1+s))^{\mu_{2}}, s \geq 0$, $\gamma_{i}, \mu_{i}>0(i=1,2)$, when $\gamma_{1}>p_{1}$ or $\gamma_{2}>p_{2}$; or $\gamma_{1}=p_{1}$ and $\mu_{1}>p_{1}$; or $\gamma_{2}=p_{2}$ and $\mu_{2}>p_{2}$, $\mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}(\infty)<\infty$, and $\mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}(\infty)=\infty$ provided $\gamma_{1}<p_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}<p_{2}$; or $\gamma_{1}=p_{1}, \mu_{1} \leq p_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}=p_{2}, \mu_{2} \leq p_{2}$.

Remark 1.12. For $f_{1}(s, s)=\exp \left(c_{1} s\right)$ or $f_{2}(s, s)=\exp \left(c_{2} s\right), s \geq 0, c_{1}, c_{2}>0$, one can see that $\mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}(\infty)<\infty$.

Remark 1.13. We note that the paper [26] by X. Zhang et al. studied the nonexistence and existence of positive radial large solutions to system (1.2). But, since their basic assumption is that $\phi_{i} \in C^{1}((0, \infty),[0, \infty))(i=1,2)$ are nondecreasing and for any $c \in(0,1)$, there exist constants $\sigma_{i} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(c s) \leq c^{\sigma_{i}} \phi_{i}(s), \quad \forall s>0 \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is $c^{\sigma_{i}}<1$, hence (1.15) can not be set up when $\phi_{i} \equiv 1$ on $(0, \infty)$ (in this case, $\Delta_{\phi_{1}} u=\Delta u$ is the Laplacian operator).

## 2 Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

In this section we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.
Lemma 2.1 ([20, Lemma 2.2]). Let $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{S}_{5}\right)$ hold, $\theta_{i}, \Theta_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}^{-1}, \Theta_{i}^{-1}(i=1,2)$ be given as in (1.7) and (1.8). We have
(i) $\theta_{i}, \Theta_{i}, \theta_{i}^{-1}$ and $\Theta_{i}^{-1}$ are strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$;
(ii) $\theta_{i}^{-1}(\beta) h_{i}^{-1}(t) \leq h_{i}^{-1}(\beta t) \leq \Theta_{i}^{-1}(\beta) h_{i}^{-1}(t), \forall \beta, t>0$.

Let us consider the following initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi_{a_{1}}(r) \phi_{1}\left(u^{\prime}(r)\right) u^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=b_{1}(r) \Phi_{a_{1}}(r) f(u), \quad r>0, \quad u(0)=\alpha, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{a_{1}}(r)$ is given as in (1.6).
By a simple calculation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(r)=h_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(r)} \int_{0}^{r} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) f(u(s)) d s\right), \quad r>0, \quad u(0)=\alpha \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r)=\alpha+\int_{0}^{r} h_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(t)} \int_{0}^{t} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) f(u(s)) d s\right) d t, \quad r \geq 0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that solutions in $C[0, \infty)$ to problem (2.3) are solutions in $C^{1}[0, \infty)$ to problem (2.1).
Let $\left\{u_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ be the sequence of positive continuous functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0}(r)=\alpha,  \tag{2.4}\\
u_{m}(r)=\alpha+\int_{0}^{r} h_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(t)} \int_{0}^{t} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) f\left(u_{m-1}(s)\right) d s\right) d t, \quad r \geq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}^{\prime}(r)=h_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(r)} \int_{0}^{r} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) f\left(u_{m-1}(s)\right) d s\right), \quad r>0, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for all $r \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}, u_{m}(r) \geq \alpha$, and $u_{0} \leq u_{1}$. Then $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ and Lemma 2.1 yield $u_{1}(r) \leq u_{2}(r), \forall r \geq 0$. Continuing this line of reasoning, we obtain that the sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is non-decreasing on $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, we obtain by $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ and Lemma 2.1 that for each $r>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{m}^{\prime}(r) & =h_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(r)} \int_{0}^{r} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) f\left(u_{m-1}(s)\right) d s\right) \\
& \leq h_{1}^{-1}\left(f\left(u_{m}(r)\right) \frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(r)} \int_{0}^{r} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) d s\right) \\
& \leq \Theta_{1}^{-1}\left(f\left(u_{m}(r)\right)\right) h_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(r)} \int_{0}^{r} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{a}^{u_{m}(r)} \frac{d \tau}{\Theta_{1}^{-1}(f(\tau))} \leq I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(r) .
$$

Consequently, for an arbitrary $R>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{1 \alpha}\left(u_{m}(r)\right) \leq I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(r) \leq I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(R), \quad \forall r \in[0, R] . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) When $\left(\mathbf{S}_{6}\right)$ holds, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}^{-1}(\infty)=\infty \quad \text { and } \quad u_{m}(r) \leq \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}^{-1}\left(I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(r)\right) \leq \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}^{-1}\left(I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(R)\right), \quad \forall r \in[0, R], \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is bounded on $[0, R]$ for an arbitrary $R>0$.

It follows by (2.5) that $\left\{u_{m}^{\prime}\right\}$ is bounded on $[0, R]$. By the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ has a subsequence converging uniformly to $u$ on $[0, R]$. Since $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is non-decreasing on $[0, \infty)$, we see that $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ itself converges uniformly to $u$ on $[0, R]$. By the arbitrariness of $R$, we see
that $u$ is an entire positive radial solution to equation (1.1). Moreover, when $I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)<\infty$, we see by (2.7) that

$$
u(r) \leq \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}^{-1}\left(I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)\right), \quad \forall r \geq 0
$$

Moreover, when $I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)=\infty$, we see by $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ and Lemma 2.1 that

$$
u(r) \geq \alpha+\theta_{1}^{-1}(f(\alpha)) I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(r), \quad \forall r \geq 0
$$

Thus $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r)=\infty$.
(ii) When $\left(\mathbf{S}_{7}\right)$ holds, we see by (2.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}\left(u_{m}(r)\right) \leq I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)<\mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(\infty)<\infty . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}^{-1}$ is strictly increasing on $\left[0, \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}(\infty)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(r) \leq \mathrm{Y}_{1, \alpha}^{-1}\left(I_{1, a_{1}, b_{1}}(\infty)\right)<\infty, \forall r \geq 0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest part of the proof follows from (i). The proof is finished.

## 3 Proof of Theorems 1.8 and 1.9

In this section we prove Theorems 1.8 and 1.9.
Let us consider the following initial value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\Phi_{a_{1}}(r) \phi_{1}\left(u^{\prime}(r)\right) u^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=b_{1}(r) \Phi_{a_{1}}(r) f_{1}(u, v), & r>0, \\ \left(\Phi_{a_{2}}(r) \phi_{2}\left(v^{\prime}(r)\right) v^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=b_{2}(r) \Phi_{a_{2}}(r) f_{2}(u, v), & r>0, \\ u(0)=v(0)=\alpha / 2, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=v^{\prime}(0)=0\end{cases}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{cases}u(r)=\alpha / 2+\int_{0}^{r} h_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(t)} \int_{0}^{t} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) f_{1}(u(s), v(s)) d s\right) d t, & r \geq 0 \\ v(r)=\alpha / 2+\int_{0}^{r} h_{2}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{2}}(t)} \int_{0}^{t} b_{2}(s) \Phi_{a_{2}}(s) f_{2}(u(s), v(s)) d s\right) d t, \quad r \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

Let $\left\{u_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ and $\left\{v_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ be the sequences of positive continuous functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0}(r)=v_{0}(r)=\alpha / 2 \\
u_{m}(r)=\alpha / 2+\int_{0}^{r} h_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(t)} \int_{0}^{t} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) f_{1}\left(u_{m-1}(s), v_{m-1}(s)\right) d s\right) d t, \quad r \geq 0 \\
v_{m}(r)=\alpha / 2+\int_{0}^{r} h_{2}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{2}}(t)} \int_{0}^{t} b_{2}(s) \Phi_{a_{2}}(s) f_{2}\left(u_{m-1}(s), v_{m-1}(s)\right) d s\right) d t, \quad r \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously, for all $r \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}, u_{m}(r) \geq \alpha / 2, v_{m}(r) \geq \alpha / 2$ and $u_{0} \leq u_{1}, v_{0} \leq v_{1}$. $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ and Lemma 2.1 yield $u_{1}(r) \leq u_{2}(r)$ and $v_{1}(r) \leq v_{2}(r)$ on $[0, \infty)$. Continuing this
line of reasoning, we obtain that the sequences $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{m}\right\}$ are increasing on $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, we obtain by $\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ and Lemma 2.1 that for each $r>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{m}^{\prime}(r) & =h_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(r)} \int_{0}^{r} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) f_{1}\left(u_{m-1}(s), v_{m-1}(s)\right) d s\right) \\
& \leq h_{1}^{-1}\left(f_{1}\left(u_{m-1}(r), v_{m-1}(r)\right) \frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(t)} \int_{0}^{t} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) d s\right) \\
& \leq \Theta_{1}^{-1}\left(f_{1}\left(u_{m}(r), v_{m}(r)\right)\right) h_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{1}}(r)} \int_{0}^{r} b_{1}(s) \Phi_{a_{1}}(s) d s\right) \\
& \leq \Theta_{1}^{-1}\left(f_{1}\left(u_{m}(r)+v_{m}(r), u_{m}(r)+v_{m}(r)\right)\right)\left(h_{1}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{b_{1}, a_{1}}(r)\right)+h_{2}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{b_{2}, a_{2}}(r)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Lambda_{b_{1}, a_{1}}(r)$ and $\Lambda_{b_{2}, a_{2}}(r)$ are given as in (1.5).
In a similar way, we can show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{m}^{\prime}(r) & =h_{2}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{2}}(t)} \int_{0}^{t} b_{2}(s) \Phi_{a_{2}}(s) f_{2}\left(u_{m-1}(s), v_{m-1}(s)\right) d s\right) d t \\
& \leq \Theta_{2}^{-1}\left(f_{2}\left(u_{m}(r), v_{m}(r)\right)\right) h_{2}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{a_{2}}(t)} \int_{0}^{t} b_{2}(s) \Phi_{a_{2}}(s) d s\right) \\
& \leq \Theta_{2}^{-1}\left(f_{2}\left(u_{m}(r)+v_{m}(r), u_{m}(r)+v_{m}(r)\right)\right)\left(h_{1}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{b_{1}, a_{1}}(r)\right)+h_{2}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{b_{2}, a_{2}}(r)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{m}^{\prime}(r)+v_{m}^{\prime}(r) \leq & \left(\Theta_{1}^{-1}\left(f_{1}\left(v_{m}(r)+u_{m}(r), v_{m}(r)+u_{m}(r)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\Theta_{2}^{-1}\left(f_{2}\left(v_{m}(r)+u_{m}(r), v_{m}(r)+u_{m}(r)\right)\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(h_{1}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{b_{1}, a_{1}}(r)\right)+h_{2}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{b_{2}, a_{2}}(r)\right)\right), \quad r>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\int_{a}^{u_{m}(r)+v_{m}(r)} \frac{d \tau}{\Theta_{1}^{-1}\left(f_{1}(\tau, \tau)\right)+\Theta_{2}^{-1}\left(f_{2}(\tau, \tau)\right)} \leq I_{1, b_{1}, a_{1}}(r)+I_{2, b_{2}, a_{2}}(r), & r>0, \\
\mathrm{Y}_{2, \alpha}\left(u_{m}(r)+v_{m}(r)\right) \leq I_{1, b_{1}, a_{1}}(r)+I_{2, b_{2}, a_{2}}(r), & \forall r \geq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

The remaining proofs are similar to that for Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. Here we omit their proof.
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