# Global existence and uniqueness of solutions of integral equations with delay: progressive contractions 

Theodore A. Burton ${ }^{\boxtimes 1}$ and Ioannis K. Purnaras ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Northwest Research Institute, Port Angeles, WA, U.S.A.<br>${ }^{2}$ University of Ioannina, P. O. Box 1186, 451 10, Ioannina, Greece

Received 17 March 2017, appeared 1 June 2017
Communicated by Paul Eloe


#### Abstract

In the theory of progressive contractions an equation such as $$
x(t)=L(t)+\int_{0}^{t} A(t-s)[f(s, x(s))+g(s, x(s-r(s))] d s
$$ with initial function $\omega$ with $\omega(0)=L(0)$ defined by $t \leq 0 \Longrightarrow x(t)=\omega(t)$ is studied on an interval $[0, E]$ with $r(t) \geq \alpha>0$. The interval $[0, E]$ is divided into parts by $0=T_{0}<T_{1}<\cdots<T_{n}=E$ with $T_{i}-T_{i-1}<\alpha$. It is assumed that $f$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition, but there is no growth condition on $g$. When we try for a contraction on $\left[0, T_{1}\right]$ the terms with $g$ add to zero and we get a unique solution $\xi_{1}$ on $\left[0, T_{1}\right]$. Then we get a complete metric space on $\left[0, T_{2}\right]$ with all functions equal to $\xi_{1}$ on $\left[0, T_{1}\right]$ enabling us to get a contraction. In $n$ steps we have obtained a solution on $[0, E]$. When $r(t)>0$ on $[0, \infty)$ we obtain a unique solution on that interval as follows. As we let $E=1,2, \ldots$ we obtain a sequence of solutions on $[0, n]$ which we extend to $[0, \infty)$ by a horizontal line, thereby obtaining functions converging uniformly on compact sets to a solution on $[0, \infty)$. Lemma 2.1 extends progressive contractions to delay equations.


Keywords: progressive contractions, integral and differential equations with delay, global existence, fixed points.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A08, 34A12, 45D05, 45G05, 47H09, 47 H 10.

## 1 Introduction

In earlier papers [2-5] we studied variants of integral equations of the form

$$
x(t)=g(t, x(t))+\int_{0}^{t} A(t-s) f(s, x(s)) d s
$$

and introduced a technique which we called progressive contractions which allowed us to show:

1. Lipschitz maps become contractions;
2. continuous maps become compact maps;

[^0]3. Krasnoselskii's theorem on the sum of two operators can collapse into Schauder's theorem;
4. the sum of two contractions can be a contraction even when the sum of the contraction constants exceed one;
5. solve a classical conjecture [9, p. 39] that certain maps of the unit ball in a Banach space have a fixed point.

The purpose of this note is to broaden the application of progressive contractions to obtain global unique solutions of delay integral equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=L(t)+\int_{0}^{t} A(t-s)[f(s, x(s))+g(s, x(s-r(s))] d s \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a continuous initial function $\omega$ having several important properties given in (2.4) and (2.5) while satisfying $x(t-r(t))=\omega(t-r(t))$ when $t-r(t) \leq 0$ and $t \geq 0$. It is assumed that $f$ satisfies a global Lipschitz condition, $g$ and $r$ are continuous, and $r(t)>0$. The Lipschitz constant can grow with $t$. Our Lemma 2.1 is the key to the extension to delay equations.

The method is simple and it avoids classical arguments concerning problems which must be overcome if $r(t)$ tends to zero very quickly, while $g(t, x)$ increases at an arbitrarily large rate. Not only do progressive contractions get us past both difficulties but the questions do not even arise in the process. This is in sharp contrast to methods seen in the literature in which these problems force us to invoke, explicitly or implicitly, Zorn's lemma ([7, p. 42], [8, pp. 87-98]) to obtain a maximal solution.

We point out that (1.1) is general. It includes, for example standard delay differential equations

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=f(t, x(t))+g(t, x(t-r(t))
$$

and more delay terms are added without difficulty so long as the added terms are either Lipschitz or the delay does not vanish.

The kernel $A(t-s)$ plays a main role in progressive contractions as seen in (2.3) and (2.11). The kernel enters into the study of a typical problem

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=f(t, x(t))+g(t, x(t-r(t))
$$

by converting it to a form of (1.1). Write the equation as

$$
\left[x^{\prime}(t)+x(t)\right] e^{t}=e^{t}[x(t)+f(t, x(t))+g(t, x(t-r(t))]
$$

or

$$
\left(x(t) e^{t}\right)^{\prime}=e^{t}[x(t)+f(t, x(t))+g(t, x(t-r(t))]
$$

so that

$$
x(t)=x(0) e^{-t}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s)}[x(s)+f(s, x(s))+g(s, x(s-r(s))] d s .
$$

## 2 The setting

In (1.1) it is assumed that $r:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty), f, g: \Re \times \Re \rightarrow \Re$ are continuous and for each $E>0$ there is a $K>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that $0 \leq t \leq E$ and $x, y \in \Re$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, x)-f(t, y)| \leq K|x-y|, \quad r(t) \geq \alpha . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $K$ can grow with $E$ and, in fact, be unbounded. Also, $A:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \Re$ is continuous and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \downarrow 0} \int_{0}^{t}|A(s)| d s=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Select $\beta<1$ and find $T$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<T<\alpha \quad \& \quad K \int_{0}^{T}|A(s)| d s<\beta . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the $E>0$ there exists $H>0$ such that $0 \leq t \leq E$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-H \leq t-r(t) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is a continuous initial function

$$
\omega(t):[-H, 0] \rightarrow \Re, \omega(0)=L(0),
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t-r(t))=\omega(t-r(t)), \quad-H \leq t-r(t) \leq 0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Progressive contractions allow both $K$ and $H$ to grow with $E$ and be unbounded, while $\alpha$ can approach zero.

Now we are going to divide up the interval $[0, E]$ into $n$ equal segments of length $S$ on which our mapping derived from (1.1) will be a contraction yielding a unique segment of the solution of (1.1) and each of these segments will allow us to ignore $g(t, x(t-r(t))$ in the future contraction arguments.

For the $T$ of (2.3) choose $S$ with $0<S<T$ so that $n S=E$ and label points on $[0, E]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=T_{0}<T_{1}<\cdots<T_{n}=E, \quad T_{i}-T_{i-1}=S . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following simple result is a main theorem for delay equations to be treated by progressive contractions.

Lemma 2.1. If $T_{i-1} \leq t \leq T_{i}$ and if $\phi(t)=\psi(t)$ for $-H \leq t \leq T_{i-1}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t, \phi(t-r(t))-g(t, \psi(t-r(t)) \equiv 0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Now for $T_{i-1} \leq t \leq T_{i}$ we have

$$
t-r(t) \leq t-\alpha<T_{i}-T<T_{i}-S=T_{i-1} .
$$

Hence, the arguments in (2.7) are equal.
We turn now to our existence theorem and we name the type of proof a progressive contraction. The complete metric space used here is found in El'sgol'ts [6, p. 16] and repeated in Burton [1, p. 177].

Theorem 2.2. Let (2.1)-(2.6) hold for (1.1). For every $E>0$ there is a unique solution of (1.1) on $[0, E]$.

Proof. We have divided the interval $[0, E]$ into $n$ equal parts, each of length $S<T$, denoting the end points by

$$
T_{0}=0, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{n}=E .
$$

Step 1. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}_{1},\|\cdot\|_{1}\right)$ be the complete metric space of continuous functions $\phi:\left[-H, T_{1}\right] \rightarrow \Re$ with the supremum metric and with $\phi(t)=\omega(t)$ for $-H \leq t \leq 0$. Define a mapping $P_{1}: \mathcal{M}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1}$ by $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $-H \leq t \leq 0$ implies that $\left(P_{1} \phi\right)(t)=\omega(t)$, while $0<t \leq T_{1}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{1} \phi\right)(t)=L(t)+\int_{0}^{t} A(t-s)[f(s, \phi(s))+g(s, \phi(s-r(s))] d s \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\omega(0)=L(0)$ in (2.5), ( $\left.P_{1} \phi\right)$ is continuous.
For $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $-H \leq t \leq T_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(P_{1} \phi\right)(t)-\left(P_{1} \psi\right)(t)\right| \leq & \int_{0}^{t}|A(t-s)|[|f(s, \phi(s))-f(s, \psi(s))| \\
& +\mid g(s, \phi(s-r(s))-g(s, \psi(s-r(s)) \mid] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and by Lemma 2.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq K \int_{0}^{t}|A(t-s)||\phi(s)-\psi(s)| d s \\
& \leq K|\phi-\psi|_{1} \int_{0}^{T_{1}}|A(s)| d s \\
& \leq \beta|\phi-\psi|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contraction with a unique fixed point $\xi_{1}$ on $\left[-H, T_{1}\right]$ and for $0 \leq t \leq T_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{1} \xi_{1}\right)(t)=\xi_{1}(t)=L(t)+\int_{0}^{t} A(t-s)\left[f\left(s, \xi_{1}(s)\right)+g\left(s, \xi_{1}(s-r(s))\right] d s\right. \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\xi_{1}(0)=L(0)$ and $\xi_{1}(t)=\omega(t)$ for $-H \leq t \leq 0$.
Step 2. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}_{2},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$ be the complete metric space of continuous functions $\phi:\left[-H, T_{2}\right] \rightarrow \Re$ with the supremum metric and

$$
\phi(t)=\xi_{1}(t) \quad \text { on }\left[-H, T_{1}\right] .
$$

Define $P_{2}: \mathcal{M}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2}$ by $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ and $-H \leq t \leq T_{1}$ implies $\left(P_{2} \phi\right)(t)=\xi_{1}(t)$, while $T_{1}<t \leq T_{2}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{2} \phi\right)(t)=L(t)+\int_{0}^{t} A(t-s)[f(s, \phi(s))+g(s, \phi(s-r(s))] d s . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove that $P_{2} \phi$ is continuous on $\left[-H, T_{2}\right)$. Since $P_{2} \phi=\xi_{1}(t)$ on $\left[-H, T_{1}\right]$ then $P_{2}$ is continuous on $\left[-H, T_{1}\right)$ yet continuous from the left at the endpoint $T_{1}$. Also $P_{2} \phi$ is continuous on ( $T_{1}, T_{2}$ ] for $L$ is continuous and the integrand in (2.10) consists of continuous functions, yet it is continuous from the right at $T_{1}$. It remains to prove that $P_{2} \phi$ is continuous at $T_{1}$. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(P_{2} \phi\right)\left(T_{1}\right) & =\xi_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) \\
& =L\left(T_{1}\right)+\int_{0}^{T_{1}} A\left(T_{1}-s\right)[f(s, \phi(s))+g(s, \phi(s-r(s))] d s \\
& =\lim _{t \downarrow T_{1}}\left(P_{2} \phi\right)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $P_{2} \phi$ agrees with $\xi_{1}$ on $\left[-H, T_{1}\right]$ (by definition) and it is continuous on the whole interval $\left[-H, T_{2}\right]$, and this means that $P_{2}: \mathcal{M}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2}$.

We will need a change of variable to see that by (2.3) and $T_{1} \leq t \leq T_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \int_{T_{1}}^{t}|A(t-s)| d s<\beta . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(P_{2} \phi\right)(t)-\left(P_{2} \psi\right)(t)\right| \leq & \int_{0}^{t}|A(t-s)|[|f(s, \phi(s))-f(s, \psi(s))| \\
& +\mid g(s, \phi(s-r(s))-g(s, \psi(s-r(s))] d s \\
& (\text { by Lemma 2.1) } \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{t}|A(t-s)| K|\phi(s)-\psi(s)| d s \\
& \left(\text { since } \phi(t)=\psi(t)=\xi_{1}(t) \text { on }\left[-H, T_{1}\right], \text { now take } t>T_{1}\right) \\
\leq & \int_{T_{1}}^{t}|A(t-s)| K|\phi(s)-\psi(s)| d s \\
\leq & {\left[\int_{T_{1}}^{t} K|A(t-s)| d s\right]|\phi-\psi|_{2} } \\
\leq & \beta|\phi-\psi|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contraction on $\left[-H, T_{2}\right]$ with unique fixed point $\xi_{2}$ on that entire interval. It is a unique continuous solution of (1.1) on $\left[0, T_{2}\right]$ and it agrees with $\xi_{1}$ on $\left[-H, T_{1}\right]$ by construction.
Step 3. The next step is essentially the inductive hypothesis. Here is a sketch of what we are doing. We define the complete metric space $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3},\|\cdot\|_{3}\right)$ of continuous functions $\phi$ : $\left[-H, T_{3}\right] \rightarrow \Re$ with $\phi(t)=\xi_{2}$ on $\left[-H, T_{2}\right]$. But $\xi_{2}$ is a fixed point and so $P_{3}$ would be defined as in Step 2 and $\operatorname{map} \mathcal{M}_{3}$ into $\mathcal{M}_{3}$. Exactly as in Step 2 we obtain a continuous solution $\xi_{3}$ on $\left[0, T_{3}\right]$. By induction we then would obtain a unique continuous solution on $[0, E]$. While we feel this is sufficient for a complete understanding, here are the induction details.

For $2<i \leq n$ let $\xi_{i-1}$ be the unique solution of (1.1) on $\left[0, T_{i-1}\right]$. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}_{i},|\cdot| i\right)$ be the complete metric space of continuous functions $\phi:\left[-H, T_{i}\right] \rightarrow \Re$ with the supremum metric and $\phi=\xi_{i-1}$ on $\left[-H, T_{i-1}\right]$. Define $P_{i}: \mathcal{M}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{i}$ by $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{i}$ implies that $\left(P_{i} \phi(t)\right)=\xi_{i-1}$ on $\left[-H, T_{i-1}\right]$ and for $0 \leq t \leq T_{i}$ let

$$
\left(P_{i} \phi\right)(t)=L(t)+\int_{0}^{t} A(t-s)[f(s, \phi(s))+g(s, \phi(s-r(s))] d s .
$$

Continuity of the function $P_{i} \phi$ is justified as in Step 2.
To see that this is a contraction, let $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{i}$ and $-H \leq t \leq T_{i}$ and use Lemma 2.1 to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(P_{i} \phi\right)(t)-\left(P_{i} \psi\right)(t)\right| & \leq \int_{0}^{t}|A(t-s)||f(s, \phi(s))-f(s, \psi(s))| d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}|A(t-s)| K|\phi(s)-\psi(s)| d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, use $\phi=\psi$ on $\left[0, T_{i-1}\right]$ to see that $T_{i-1}$ is the lower limit. Next, take $T_{i-1}<t$ to see that the last quantity is

$$
\leq\left[\int_{T_{i-1}}^{t} K|A(t-s)| d s\right]|\phi-\psi|_{i} .
$$

Next use a change of variable to see that this quantity is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq\left[\int_{0}^{T_{1}} K|A(s)| d s\right]|\phi-\psi|_{i} \\
& \leq \beta|\phi-\psi|_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contraction with unique fixed point $\xi_{i}$ on $\left[-H, T_{i}\right]$. This completes the proof.
It is to be noted that as $E \rightarrow \infty$, the constant $K$ may also tend to infinity. Still, we determine $T$ from the same relation; as $K$ increases, $T$ decreases. The process works for any $E>0$. This is important for our next result in that we need to see that we can let $E \rightarrow \infty$ and always get a solution on $[0, E]$.

We will now show that we can select a well-defined function on $[0, \infty)$ which is a unique solution of (1.1) and it involves no translations or unfinished steps on the road to a solution on $[0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2.3. Under the conditions of Theorem 2.2 with $r(t)>0$ on $[0, \infty)$ there is a unique solution of $(1.1)$ on $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.2 we will obtain a sequence of uniformly continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ which converge uniformly on compact sets to a continuous function which is the unique solution of (1.1). Here are the details.

For each positive integer $n$ use Theorem 2.2 to obtain a solution of (1.1) on $[0, n]$. Then denote by $x_{n}(t)$ the solution on $[0, n]$ extended to a function on $[0, \infty)$ by $x_{n}(t)=x_{n}(n)$ for $t \geq n$. This sequence converges uniformly on compact sets to a continuous function, $x(t)$, a solution of (1.1) because at every $t$ the function $x(t)$ agrees with a solution $x_{n}(t)$ where $n>t$. This completes the proof.
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