



Stable solitary waves for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger system with quadratic interaction

Guoqing Zhang  and Tongmo Gu

College of Sciences, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, P. R. China.

Received 29 June 2018, appeared 4 December 2018

Communicated by Dimitri Mugnai

Abstract. We consider the existence and orbital stability of bound state solitary waves and ground state solitary waves for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger system with quadratic interaction in \mathbb{R}^n ($n = 2, 3$). The existence of bound state and ground state solitary waves are studied by variational arguments and Concentration-compactness Lemma. In addition, we also prove the orbital stability of bound state and ground state solitary waves.

Keywords: bound (ground) state solitary waves, quadratic interaction, variational arguments.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20, 35J60.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2m}\Delta u = \lambda v\bar{u}, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \\ i\partial_t v + \frac{1}{2M}\Delta v = \mu u^2, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where u and v are complex-valued wave fields, m and M are positive constants, λ and μ are complex constants, and \bar{u} is the complex conjugate of u .

Such systems have interesting applications in several branches of physics, such as in the study of interactions of waves with different polarizations [1, 11]. The Cauchy problem for System 1.1 has been studied from the point of view of small data scattering [6, 7]. In 2013, Hayashi, Ozawa and Tanaka [8] studied the well-posedness of Cauchy problem for System 1.1 with large data. In particular, System 1.1 is regarded as a non-relativistic limit of the system of nonlinear Klein–Gordon equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2c^2 m} \partial_t^2 u - \frac{1}{2m} \Delta u + \frac{mc^2}{2} u = -\lambda v\bar{u}, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \\ \frac{1}{2c^2 M} \partial_t^2 v - \frac{1}{2M} \Delta v + \frac{Mc^2}{2} v = -\mu u^2, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

 Corresponding author. Email: zgqw2001@usst.edu.cn

under the mass resonance condition $M = 2m$, where c is the speed of light.

Assume $\lambda = c\bar{\mu}$, $c > 0$, $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$, we introduce new functions (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) defined by

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{c}{2}}|\mu|u\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2m}}x, t\right), \quad \tilde{v}(x, t) = -\frac{\lambda}{2}v\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2m}}x, t\right),$$

and System (1.1) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \tilde{u} + \Delta \tilde{u} = -2\tilde{v}\tilde{u}, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \\ i\partial_t \tilde{v} + \frac{m}{M}\Delta \tilde{v} = -\tilde{u}^2, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Using the ansatz $(\tilde{u}(x, t), \tilde{v}(x, t)) = (e^{i\omega t}\phi(x), e^{i2\omega t}\psi(x))$, $\phi(x), \psi(x) \not\equiv 0$ with $\omega > 0$, System (1.3) becomes

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\phi + \omega\phi = 2\phi\psi, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ -\kappa\Delta\psi + 2\omega\psi = \phi^2, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $\kappa = \frac{m}{M}$.

Let $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the usual Lebesgue space with the norm $\|u\|_p = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. The space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ with the corresponding norm $\|u\| = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n); u \text{ is radially symmetric}\}$.

Recently, as $2 \leq n \leq 5$, Hayashi, Ozawa and Tanaka [8] obtained the existence of radially symmetric ground states for System (1.4) by using rearrangement method, Pohozaev identity and the Sobolev compact embedding $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In this paper, firstly, we prove the existence of bound states for System (1.4) by using the Concentration-compactness Lemma and direct methods in the critical points theory. Secondly, we discuss the general case for System (1.4), i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\phi + \lambda_1\phi = 2\phi\psi, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ -\kappa\Delta\psi + \lambda_2\psi = \phi^2, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. By using the Concentration-compactness Lemma, variational arguments and rearrangement result of Shibata [13], we obtain the existence of ground states for System (1.5). In particular, if $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2 > 0$, then System (1.5) can be reduced to System (1.4) and the existence of ground states for System (1.4) is obtained in [8]. Furthermore, we also prove the orbital stability of bound states and ground states.

Remark 1.1. In contrast to results in [8], we obtain the existence of bound states in the whole space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since the embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is only continuous, we apply the Concentration-compactness Lemma and variational arguments to obtain the existence of bound states.

2 Preliminaries and main results

In this section, we state our main results in this paper.

Now, we define the functionals I, J and $Q : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla\phi|^2 + \kappa|\nabla\psi|^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2\psi dx, \quad \forall (\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$J(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla\phi|^2 + \kappa|\nabla\psi|^2) dx, \quad \forall (\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and

$$Q(\phi, \psi) = \frac{\omega}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi|^2 dx \right), \quad \forall (\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

It is obvious that I, J and $Q \in C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbb{R})$. Hence, (ϕ, ψ) is a weak solution of System (1.4) if and only if (ϕ, ψ) is a critical point of the functional $S := I + Q$.

Let $M_N = \{(\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : Q(\phi, \psi) = N, |\phi|_2^2, |\psi|_2^2 > 0\}$ for some $N > 0$, and the minimizing problem

$$I_N = \inf\{I(\phi, \psi); (\phi, \psi) \in M_N\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Besides, for every $N > 0$, let P_N denote the set of bound states of System (1.4), that is,

$$P_N = \{(\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n); I(\phi, \psi) = I_N \text{ and } (\phi, \psi) \in M_N\},$$

which generates the solitary waves of System (1.1).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n = 2, 3$. Then we have:*

(1) *For all $N > 0$, there exists $(\phi_N, \psi_N) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a solution of*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi_N, \psi_N) \in M_N, \\ & I(\phi_N, \psi_N) = \min\{I(\phi, \psi); (\phi, \psi) \in M_N\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

(2) *If (ϕ_N, ψ_N) is a solution of the minimizing problem (2.2), then there exists a Lagrange multiplier $\sigma_N > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\phi + \sigma_N\omega\phi = 2\phi\psi, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ -\kappa\Delta\psi + 2\sigma_N\omega\psi = \phi^2, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where σ_N is given by

$$\sigma_N = \frac{\frac{2}{n}J(\phi_N, \psi_N) - I_N}{N}. \quad (2.4)$$

(3) *The set*

$$\Sigma := \{(N, \sigma_N); N > 0, \sigma_N \text{ is a Lagrange multiplier of the minimizing problem (2.2)}\}$$

is a closed graph in $(0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$. In particular, if Σ is a function, then it is continuous and there exists $N_0 > 0$ such that $\sigma_{N_0} = 1$. So, (ϕ_{N_0}, ψ_{N_0}) is a bound state of System (1.4).

Next, we define the set

$$M_{\alpha, \beta} = \{(\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : |\phi|_2^2 = \alpha, |\psi|_2^2 = \beta\}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$, and the minimizing problem

$$I_{\alpha, \beta} = \inf\{I(\phi, \psi); (\phi, \psi) \in M_{\alpha, \beta}\}.$$

Besides, for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$, let

$$G_{\alpha, \beta} = \{(\phi, \psi) \in M_{\alpha, \beta}; I(\phi, \psi) = I_{\alpha, \beta}\},$$

which denotes the set of ground states of System (1.5).

Theorem 2.2.

- (1) For any $\alpha, \beta > 0$, any minimizing sequence $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ is pre-compact. That is, taking a subsequence, there exist $(\phi, \psi) \in M_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\phi_n(\cdot - y_n) \rightarrow \phi$, $\psi_n(\cdot - y_n) \rightarrow \psi$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (2) Let (λ_1, λ_2) be the Lagrange multiplier associated with (ϕ, ψ) on $M_{\alpha, \beta}$, we have $\lambda_1 > 0$.
- (3) If $(\phi, \psi) \in G_{\alpha, \beta}$, we have $(|\phi|, |\psi|) \in G_{\alpha, \beta}$. One also has $(\phi^*, \psi^*) \in G_{\alpha, \beta}$ whenever $(\phi, \psi) \in G_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\phi^*, \psi^* > 0$, where f^* represents the symmetric decreasing rearrangement of the function f .

Definition 2.3. For any $N > 0$, the set P_N is stable if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $(\phi_0, \psi_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ verifies

$$\inf_{(\phi_N, \psi_N) \in P_N} \|(\phi_0, \psi_0) - (\phi_N, \psi_N)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \delta(\varepsilon),$$

then the solution $(\phi(t), \psi(t))$ of the System (1.1) with $\phi(0) = \phi_0$, $\psi(0) = \psi_0$ satisfies

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{(\phi_N, \psi_N) \in P_N} \|(\phi(t), \psi(t)) - (\phi_N, \psi_N)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \varepsilon.$$

Besides, we can also define the set $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ is stable in the same way.

Theorem 2.4. Let $n = 2, 3$, the sets P_N and $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ are stable.

Now, we recall the rearrangement results of Shibata [13] as presented in [9]. Let u be a Borel measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n . Then u is said to vanish at infinity if $|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |u(x)| > s\}| < \infty$ for every $s > 0$. Here $|\cdot|$ stands for the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure. Considering two Borel functions u, v which vanish at infinity in \mathbb{R}^n , we define for $s > 0$, set $A^*(u, v; s) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| < r\}$ where $r \geq 0$ is chosen so that

$$|B_r(0)| = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |u(x)| > s\}| + |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |v(x)| > s\}|,$$

and $\{u, v\}^*$ by

$$\{u, v\}^*(x) := \int_0^\infty \chi_{A^*(u, v; s)}(x) ds,$$

where $\chi_A(x)$ is a characteristic function of the set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 2.5 ([9, Lemma A.1]).

- (1) The function $\{u, v\}^*(x)$ is radially symmetric, non-increasing and lower semi-continuous. Moreover, for each $s > 0$ there holds $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \{u, v\}^* > s\} = A^*(u, v; s)$.
- (2) Let $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be non-decreasing, lower semi-continuous, continuous at 0 and $\Phi(0) = 0$. Then $\{\Phi(u), \Phi(v)\}^* = \Phi(\{u, v\}^*)$.
- (3) $|\{u, v\}^*|_p^p = |u|_p^p + |v|_p^p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
- (4) If $u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\{u, v\}^* \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\nabla \{u, v\}^*|_2^2 \leq |\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla v|_2^2$. In addition, if $u, v \in (H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \setminus \{0\}$ are radially symmetric, positive and non-increasing, then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \{u, v\}^*|^2 dx < \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla v|^2 dx.$$

- (5) Let $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \geq 0$ be Borel measurable functions which vanish at infinity, then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u_1 u_2 + v_1 v_2) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \{u_1, v_1\}^* \{u_2, v_2\}^* dx.$$

3 Bound states

Let $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a minimizing sequence for the minimizing problem (2.1), that is, the sequence $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $Q(\phi_n, \psi_n) \rightarrow N$ and $I(\phi_n, \psi_n) \rightarrow I_N$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we have

Lemma 3.1. *As $n = 2, 3$, there exists $B > 0$ such that $\|(\phi_n, \psi_n)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq B$ for all n , and the functional I is bounded below on M_N .*

Proof. By the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n}{12}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{12}}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 \psi dx &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\phi^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi|^3 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n}{6}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n}{12}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n = 2, 3$, we have $\frac{n}{6} + \frac{n}{12} < 1$. Thus, I is coercive and in particular $I_N > -\infty$. By the coerciveness of I on M_N , the sequence $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, there exists $B > 0$ such that $\|(\phi_n, \psi_n)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq B$ for all n . \square

Lemma 3.2. *For any $N > 0$, $I_N < 0$ and I_N is continuous with respect to N .*

Proof. Let $A(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx$, $B(\psi) = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx$, and $C(\phi, \psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 \psi dx$, hence,

$$I(\phi, \psi) = A(\phi) + B(\psi) - C(\phi, \psi).$$

Now let $(\phi(x), \psi(x)) \in M_N$ be fixed. For any $b > 0$, we define $\phi_\theta(x) = \theta^{\frac{bn}{2}} \phi(\theta^b x)$, $\psi_\theta(x) = \theta^{\frac{bn}{2}} \psi(\theta^b x)$, then $(\phi_\theta(x), \psi_\theta(x)) \in M_N$ as well. We have the following scaling laws:

$$\begin{aligned} A(\phi_\theta(x)) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\theta^{\frac{bn}{2}} \nabla \phi(\theta^b x)|^2 dx = \theta^{2b} A(\phi(x)), \\ B(\psi_\theta(x)) &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\theta^{\frac{bn}{2}} \nabla \psi(\theta^b x)|^2 dx = \theta^{2b} B(\psi(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C(\phi_\theta(x), \psi_\theta(x)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^{bn} \phi^2(\theta^b x) \theta^{\frac{bn}{2}} \psi(\theta^b x) dx = \theta^{\frac{bn}{2}} C(\phi(x), \psi(x)).$$

So, we get

$$I(\phi_\theta(x), \psi_\theta(x)) = \theta^{2b} A + \theta^{2b} B - \theta^{\frac{bn}{2}} C.$$

Since $n = 2, 3$, we have $\frac{bn}{2} < 2b$. Letting $\theta \rightarrow 0$, then $I(\phi_\theta(x), \psi_\theta(x)) \rightarrow 0^-$. Hence, we prove $I_N < 0$.

In order to prove that I_N is a continuous function, we assume $N_n = N + o(1)$. From the definition of I_{N_n} , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $(\phi_n, \psi_n) \in M_{N_n}$ such that

$$I(\phi_n, \psi_n) \leq I_{N_n} + \varepsilon. \quad (3.1)$$

Setting

$$(u_n, v_n) := \left(\sqrt{\frac{N}{N_n}} \phi_n, \sqrt{\frac{N}{N_n}} \psi_n \right),$$

we have that $(u_n, v_n) \in M_N$ and

$$I_N \leq I(u_n, v_n) = I(\phi_n, \psi_n) + o(1). \quad (3.2)$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$I_N \leq I_{N_n} + \varepsilon + o(1).$$

Reversing the argument, we obtain similarly that

$$I_{N_n} \leq I_N + \varepsilon + o(1).$$

Therefore, since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we deduce that $I_{N_n} = I_N + o(1)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. $\frac{I_N}{N}$ is decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$.

Proof. For $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define $(\phi_\theta(x), \psi_\theta(x)) := (\theta^b \phi(\theta^a x), \theta^b \psi(\theta^a x))$, $\forall \theta > 0$. Choosing $a, b > 0$, such that $2b - na = 1$, it follows that $Q(\phi_\theta(x), \psi_\theta(x)) = \theta Q(\phi, \psi)$ and we can write

$$I(\phi_\theta(x), \psi_\theta(x)) = \theta^{2a+1} I(\phi, \psi) + \theta^{2a+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 \psi dx - \theta^{b+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 \psi dx. \quad (3.3)$$

We can choose $a, b > 0$ such that $2b - na = 1$, $b > 2a$ and it follows from (3.3) that

$$I(\phi_\theta(x), \psi_\theta(x)) < \theta^{2a+1} I(\phi, \psi), \quad \forall \theta > 1.$$

Since $(\phi(x), \psi(x)) \in M_N \Leftrightarrow (\phi_\theta(x), \psi_\theta(x)) \in M_{\theta N}$, $\forall \theta, N > 0$, it follows that

$$I_{\theta N} < \theta^{2a+1} I_N < \theta I_N, \quad \forall \theta > 1.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{I_{\theta N}}{\theta N} < \frac{I_N}{N}, \quad \forall \theta > 1. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.4. For any $N > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, N)$, we have $I_N < I_\lambda + I_{N-\lambda}$.

Proof. Thanks to the following well-known inequality: $\forall a, b, A, B > 0$,

$$\min \left\{ \frac{a}{A}, \frac{b}{B} \right\} \leq \frac{a+b}{A+B} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{a}{A}, \frac{b}{B} \right\},$$

where the equalities hold if and only if $\frac{a}{A} = \frac{b}{B}$, we get

$$\frac{(-I_\lambda) + (-I_{N-\lambda})}{\lambda + N - \lambda} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{-I_\lambda}{\lambda}, \frac{-I_{N-\lambda}}{N-\lambda} \right\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\frac{-I_\lambda}{\lambda}$ is larger than $\frac{-I_{N-\lambda}}{N-\lambda}$, then

$$\frac{(-I_\lambda) + (-I_{N-\lambda})}{N} \leq \frac{-I_\lambda}{\lambda}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$I_\lambda + I_{N-\lambda} \geq \frac{N}{\lambda} I_\lambda > I_N. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Our proof is divided into five steps:

Step 1. The minimizing problem (2.2) has a solution. By Lemma 3.1, the sequence $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B_R(y)} (|\phi_n|^2 + |\psi_n|^2) dx = o(1),$$

for some $R > 0$, the $\phi_n \rightarrow 0$, $\psi_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $2 < p < 2^*$, see [11, 12]. This is incompatible with the fact that $I_N < 0$, see Lemma 3.2. Thus, the vanishing of minimizing sequence $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}$ does not exist. Besides, Lemma 3.4 prevents their dichotomy. According to Concentration-compactness Lemma, only concentration exists, and we get a solution (ϕ_N, ψ_N) of the minimizing problem (2.2).

Step 2. There exists a positive Lagrange multiplier σ_N . Let (ϕ_N, ψ_N) a solution of the minimizing problem (2.2). From the Lagrange Multiplier Theorem, there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $I'(\phi_N, \psi_N) = \theta Q'(\phi_N, \psi_N)$, that means

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta \phi_N - 2\phi_N \psi_N &= \theta \omega \phi_N, \\ -\kappa \Delta \psi_N - \phi_N^2 &= 2\theta \omega \psi_N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By multiply the above equations respectively by ϕ_N , ψ_N and integrating on \mathbb{R}^n , we get

$$I_N - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_N^2 \psi_N dx = \theta N. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $I_N < 0$, $\forall N > 0$, we obtain easily from (3.5) that $\theta < 0$.

For any $\lambda, c > 0$, we consider

$$(\phi_\lambda(x), \psi_\lambda(x)) := \left(\lambda^{\frac{cn}{2}} \phi_N(\lambda^c x), \lambda^{\frac{cn}{2}} \psi_N(\lambda^c x) \right),$$

then $(\phi_\lambda(x), \psi_\lambda(x)) \in M_N$ and $I(\phi_N, \psi_N) = \min_{\lambda > 0} I(\phi_\lambda(x), \psi_\lambda(x))$. In particular,

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\lambda} I(\phi_\lambda(x), \psi_\lambda(x)) \Big|_{\lambda=1} = 2cJ(\phi_N, \psi_N) - \frac{cn}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_N^2 \psi_N dx. \quad (3.6)$$

Merging (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$I_N - \frac{2}{n} J(\phi_N, \psi_N) = \theta N,$$

which implies that $\theta < 0$ and the Lagrange multiplier

$$\sigma_N = -\theta = \frac{\frac{2}{n} J(\phi_N, \psi_N) - I_N}{N} > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Step 3. There exist $\gamma(n) > 0$ such that

$$-\frac{I_N}{N} < \sigma_N < \gamma(n) - \frac{I_N}{N}. \quad (3.8)$$

Since $I(\phi_N, \psi_N) < 0$, we get from Hölder's inequality and the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} J(\phi_N, \psi_N) &< \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_N^2 \psi_N dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(|\phi_N|_3^4 + |\psi_N|_2^3 \right) \\ &\leq C \left(|\nabla \phi_N|_2^{\frac{2n}{3}} |\phi_N|_2^{4-\frac{2n}{3}} + |\nabla \psi_N|_2^{\frac{n}{3}} |\psi_N|_2^{2-\frac{n}{3}} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(J(\phi_N, \psi_N)^{\frac{n}{3}} + J(\phi_N, \psi_N)^{\frac{n}{6}} \right) \rho(N), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $C > 0$ and $\rho(N) := \max \left\{ N^{(2-\frac{n}{3})}, N^{(1-\frac{n}{6})} \right\}$.

Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function defined by

$$f(s) := \frac{s}{s^{\frac{n}{3}} + s^{\frac{n}{6}}},$$

and we know $f'(s) > 0, \forall s > 0$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} f(s) = 0$. So, we can rewrite (3.9) as

$$J(\phi_N, \psi_N) < f^{-1}(C\rho(N)). \quad (3.10)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(s) &= s^{(1-\frac{n}{6})} \quad \text{if } s \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad f(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}s^{(1-\frac{n}{6})} \quad \text{if } s \leq 1, \\ \rho(s) &= s^{(2-\frac{n}{3})} \quad \text{if } s \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad f(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}s^{(1-\frac{n}{3})} \quad \text{if } s \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By a straightforward calculation we see that there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(C\rho(N)) &\leq C_1 N \quad \text{if } N \leq 1, \\ f^{-1}(C\rho(N)) &\leq C_1 N^{\frac{6-n}{3-n}} \quad \text{if } N \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain from (3.10) that

$$J(\phi_N, \psi_N) < C_1 N, \quad \forall N > 0.$$

Let $\gamma(n) = \frac{2C_1}{n}$, (3.8) holds.

Step 4. Σ is closed in $(0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$. For all (ϕ_N, ψ_N) solution of the minimizing problem (2.2), we define

$$\sigma(\phi_N, \psi_N) := \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{2}{n} J(\phi_N, \psi_N) - I_N \right),$$

$$\Sigma_N := \{ \sigma(\phi_N, \psi_N); (\phi_N, \psi_N) \text{ solution of the minimizing problem (2.2)} \}.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\Sigma = \{(N, \sigma_N); N > 0, \sigma_N \in \Sigma_N\}$.

Let $(N_n, \sigma_n) \in \Sigma$ such that $(N_n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow (N, \sigma), N > 0$. By definition, there exists $(\phi_n, \psi_n) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $Q(\phi_n, \psi_n) = N_n, I(\phi_n, \psi_n) = I_{N_n}$ and

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{N_n} \left(\frac{2}{n} J(\phi_n, \psi_n) - I_{N_n} \right).$$

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If we define

$$(u_n, v_n) := \left(\sqrt{\frac{N}{N_n}} \phi_n, \sqrt{\frac{N}{N_n}} \psi_n \right),$$

then $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is also bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Q(u_n, v_n) = N$. By using the Concentration-compactness Lemma, there exists a subsequence satisfying only one of the following three cases: 1) concentration; 2) vanishing; 3) dichotomy.

By using the argument as in step 1, only concentration exists. Therefore, there exists $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(\cdot - y_n) &\rightharpoonup \phi, \quad \psi_n(\cdot - y_n) \rightharpoonup \psi \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \phi_n(\cdot - y_n) &\rightarrow \phi, \quad \psi_n(\cdot - y_n) \rightarrow \psi \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_n^2(\cdot - y_n) \psi_n(\cdot - y_n) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_n^2 \psi_n dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 \psi dx. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $Q(\phi, \psi) = N$ and $I(\phi, \psi) \geq I_N$. On the other hand,

$$I(\phi_N, \psi_N) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\phi_n(\cdot - y^n), \psi_n(\cdot - y^n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\phi_n, \psi_n) = I_N.$$

So, $I(\phi_N, \psi_N) = I_N$ and (ϕ_N, ψ_N) is a solution of the minimizing problem (2.2). Moreover, since

$$J(\phi_n, \psi_n) = I(\phi_n, \psi_n) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_n^2 \psi_n dx \rightarrow I(\phi_N, \psi_N) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_N^2 \psi_N = J(\phi_N, \psi_N),$$

we conclude that

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{2}{n} J(\phi_N, \psi_N) - I_N \right) \in \Sigma_N.$$

Step 5. If Σ is a function, then it is continuous and there exists $N_0 > 0$ such that $\sigma_{N_0} = 1$. In particular, (ϕ_{N_0}, ψ_{N_0}) is a bound state of System (1.4). This follows easily from Step 4, (3.8) and Lemma 3.3. \square

4 Ground states

Lemma 4.1. *The energy $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ satisfies that*

- (i) For any $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $-\infty < I_{\alpha, \beta} < 0$.
- (ii) $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ is continuous with respect to $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.
- (iii) $I_{\alpha+\alpha', \beta+\beta'} \leq I_{\alpha, \beta} + I_{\alpha', \beta'}$ for $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \geq 0$.

Proof. The proofs of (i) and (ii) use the same arguments as in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. Next, we prove (iii). Indeed, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $(u, v) \in M_{\alpha, \beta} \cap C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(\phi, \psi) \in M_{\alpha', \beta'} \cap C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By using parallel transformation, we can assume that $(\text{supp } u \cup \text{supp } v) \cap (\text{supp } \phi \cup \text{supp } \psi) = \emptyset$. Therefore $(u + \phi, v + \psi) \in M_{\alpha+\alpha', \beta+\beta'}$ and

$$I_{\alpha+\alpha', \beta+\beta'} \leq I(u + \phi, v + \psi) = I(u, v) + I(\phi, \psi) \leq I_{\alpha, \beta} + I_{\alpha', \beta'} + 2\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily, it asserts (iii). \square

Lemma 4.2. *For any minimizing sequence $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ of $I_{\alpha, \beta}$, if $(\phi_n, \psi_n) \rightharpoonup (\phi, \psi)$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_n^2 \psi_n - (\phi_n - \phi)^2 (\psi_n - \psi) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 \psi dx + o(1).$$

Proof. The idea of its proof comes from [5] (see also Lemma 2.3 of [4]). For any $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we deduce from the mean value theorem and Young's inequality that

$$|(a_1 + a_2)^2 (b_1 + b_2) - a_1^2 b_1| \leq C\varepsilon(|a_1|^3 + |a_2|^3 + |b_1|^3 + |b_2|^3) + C_\varepsilon(|a_2|^3 + |b_2|^3).$$

Denote $a_1 := \phi_n - \phi$, $b_1 := \psi_n - \psi$, $a_2 := \phi$, $b_2 := \psi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^\varepsilon &:= [|\phi_n^2 \psi_n - (\phi_n - \phi)^2 (\psi_n - \psi) - \phi^2 \psi| - C\varepsilon(|\phi_n - \phi|^3 + |\phi|^3 + |\psi_n - \psi|^3 + |\psi|^3)]_+ \\ &\leq |\phi^2 \psi| + C_\varepsilon(|\phi|^3 + |\psi|^3), \end{aligned}$$

and the dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_n^\varepsilon dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Since

$$|\phi_n^2 \psi_n - (\phi_n - \phi)^2 (\psi_n - \psi) - \phi^2 \psi| \leq f_n^\varepsilon + C\varepsilon(|\phi_n - \phi|^3 + |\psi_n - \psi|^3 + |\phi|^3 + |\psi|^3),$$

by the boundedness of $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and (4.1), it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_n^2 \psi_n - (\phi_n - \phi)^2 (\psi_n - \psi) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 \psi dx + o(1). \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.3. *Any minimizing sequence $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to $I_{\alpha, \beta}$ is, up to translation, strongly convergent in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $2 < p < 2^*$.*

Proof. Similar to the Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can know that there exists a $\beta_0 > 0$ and a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B_R(y_n)} (|\phi_n|^2 + |\psi_n|^2) dx \geq \beta_0 > 0,$$

and we deduce from the weak convergence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the local compactness in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that $(\phi_n(x - y_n), \psi_n(x - y_n)) \rightharpoonup (\phi, \psi) \neq (0, 0)$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In order to prove that $u_n(x) := \phi_n(x) - \phi(x + y_n) \rightarrow 0$, $v_n(x) := \psi_n(x) - \psi(x + y_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $2 < p < 2^*$, we suppose that there exists a $2 < q < 2^*$ such that $(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (0, 0)$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that under this assumption by contradiction there exists a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$(u_n(x - z_n), v_n(x - z_n)) \rightharpoonup (u, v) \neq (0, 0)$$

weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now, combining the Brézis–Lieb Lemma ([10]), Lemma 4.2 and the translational invariance, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} I(\phi_n, \psi_n) &= I(u_n(x - y_n), v_n(x - y_n)) + I(\phi, \psi) + o(1) \\ &= I(u_n(x - z_n) - u, v_n(x - z_n) - v) + I(u, v) + I(\phi, \psi) + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

$$|\phi_n(x - y_n)|_2^2 = |u_n(x - z_n) - u|_2^2 + |u|_2^2 + |\phi|_2^2 + o(1),$$

and

$$|\psi_n(x - y_n)|_2^2 = |v_n(x - z_n) - v|_2^2 + |v|_2^2 + |\psi|_2^2 + o(1).$$

Let $\alpha' := \alpha - |u|_2^2 - |\phi|_2^2$, $\beta' := \alpha - |v|_2^2 - |\psi|_2^2$, then

$$|u_n(x - z_n) - u|_2^2 = \alpha' + o(1), \quad |v_n(x - z_n) - v|_2^2 = \beta' + o(1). \quad (4.3)$$

Noting that

$$|u|_2^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n(x - z_n)|_2^2 = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_n(x - y_n) - \phi|_2^2 = \alpha - |\phi|_2^2,$$

then $\alpha' \geq 0$. Similarly, $\beta' \geq 0$. Recoding that $I(\phi_n, \psi_n) \rightarrow I_{\alpha, \beta}$, in consideration of (4.3), Lemma 4.1 (ii) and (4.2), we get

$$I_{\alpha, \beta} \geq I_{\alpha', \beta'} + I(u, v) + I(\phi, \psi). \quad (4.4)$$

We know from the front that $(\phi, \psi) \neq (0, 0)$ and $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$. As for ϕ, ψ, u, v , if one of them is identically zero, we have

$$I_{\alpha, \beta} \geq I_{\alpha', \beta'} + I(u, v) + I(\phi, \psi) > I_{\alpha', \beta'} + I_{|u|_2^2, |v|_2^2} + I_{|\phi|_2^2, |\psi|_2^2} \geq I_{\alpha, \beta},$$

which is impossible. So, $\phi, \psi, u, v \neq 0$. If $I(u, v) > I_{|u|_2^2, |v|_2^2}$ or $I(\phi, \psi) > I_{|\phi|_2^2, |\psi|_2^2}$, we also have a contradiction. Hence $I(u, v) = I_{|u|_2^2, |v|_2^2}$ and $I(\phi, \psi) = I_{|\phi|_2^2, |\psi|_2^2}$. We denote by ϕ^*, ψ^*, u^*, v^* the classical Schwarz symmetric-decreasing rearrangement of ϕ, ψ, u, v . Since

$$|\phi^*|_2^2 = |\phi|_2^2, \quad |\psi^*|_2^2 = |\psi|_2^2, \quad |u^*|_2^2 = |u|_2^2, \quad |v^*|_2^2 = |v|_2^2,$$

$$I(\phi^*, \psi^*) \leq I(\phi, \psi), \quad I(u^*, v^*) \leq I(u, v)$$

see [10], we conclude that

$$I(\phi^*, \psi^*) = I_{|\phi|_2^2, |\psi|_2^2}, \quad I(u^*, v^*) = I_{|u|_2^2, |v|_2^2}.$$

Therefore, $(\phi^*, \psi^*), (u^*, v^*)$ are solutions of the System (1.1) and from standard regularity results we have that $\phi^*, \psi^*, u^*, v^* \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \{\phi^*, u^*\}^*|^2 dx &< \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla \phi^*|^2 + |\nabla u^*|^2) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla \phi|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \{\psi^*, v^*\}^*|^2 dx &< \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla \psi^*|^2 + |\nabla v^*|^2) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla \psi|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\{\phi^*, u^*\}^*)^2 \{\psi^*, v^*\}^* dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((\phi^*)^2 \psi^* + (u^*)^2 v^*) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\phi^2 \psi + u^2 v) dx.$$

Thus,

$$I(\phi, \psi) + I(u, v) > I(\{\phi^*, u^*\}^*, \{\psi^*, v^*\}^*), \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\{\phi^*, u^*\}^*|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\phi^*|^2 + |u^*|^2) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\phi|^2 + |u|^2) dx = \alpha - \alpha', \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\{\psi^*, v^*\}^*|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\psi^*|^2 + |v^*|^2) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\psi|^2 + |v|^2) dx = \beta - \beta'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Taking (4.4)–(4.6) and Lemma 4.1 (iii) into consideration, one obtains the contradiction

$$I_{\alpha, \beta} > I_{\alpha', \beta'} + I_{\alpha - \alpha', \beta - \beta'} \geq I_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

The contradiction indicates that $u_n(x) := \phi_n(x) - \phi(x + y_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $v_n(x) := \psi_n(x) - \psi(x + y_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $2 < p < 2^*$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. (1) Let $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}$ be a minimizing sequence for the functional I on $M_{\alpha, \beta}$. In light of Lemma 4.3, we know that there exists $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\phi_n(x - y_n) \rightarrow \phi$, $\psi_n(x - y_n) \rightarrow \psi$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $2 < p < 2^*$. Hence, by weak convergence, we get

$$I(\phi, \psi) \leq I_{\alpha, \beta}. \quad (4.7)$$

Now, we let $|\phi|_2^2 = \alpha'$, $|\psi|_2^2 = \beta'$. To show that $|\phi|_2^2 = \alpha$ and $|\psi|_2^2 = \beta$, we assume by contradiction that $\alpha' < \alpha$ or $\beta' < \beta$. We consider the following three cases: (1) $0 \leq \alpha' < \alpha$, $0 \leq \beta' < \beta$ and $\alpha' + \beta' \neq 0$; (2) $0 \leq \alpha' < \alpha$, $\beta' = \beta$; and (3) $0 \leq \beta' < \beta$, $\alpha' = \alpha$.

Case 1. $0 \leq \alpha' < \alpha$, $0 \leq \beta' < \beta$ and $\alpha' + \beta' \neq 0$. By definition $I(\phi, \psi) \geq I_{\alpha', \beta'}$ and thus it results from (4.7) that $I_{\alpha', \beta'} \leq I_{\alpha, \beta}$. From Lemma 4.1 (iii), $I_{\alpha, \beta} \leq I_{\alpha', \beta'} + I_{\alpha - \alpha', \beta - \beta'}$ and by Lemma 4.1 (i), $I_{\alpha - \alpha', \beta - \beta'} < 0$, we obtain $I_{\alpha, \beta} < I_{\alpha', \beta'}$ and it is a contradiction.

Case 2. $0 \leq \alpha' < \alpha$, $\beta' = \beta$. By definition $I(\phi, \psi) \geq I_{\alpha', \beta}$, we get $I_{\alpha', \beta} \leq I_{\alpha, \beta}$. From Lemma 4.1 (iii) $I_{\alpha, \beta} \leq I_{\alpha', \beta} + I_{\alpha - \alpha', 0}$, we have $I_{\alpha', \beta} \leq I_{\alpha, \beta} \leq I_{\alpha', \beta}$. Thus $I_{\alpha', \beta} = I_{\alpha, \beta}$. Let $|\psi|_2^2 = \beta$, and β is fixed. From the above, we know that $N = \frac{\omega}{2}(|\phi|_2^2 + 2\beta)$, then N is only related to $|\phi|_2^2$. By Lemma 3.3, $\frac{I_{N(|\phi|_2^2)}}{N(|\phi|_2^2)}$ is decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$, when $|\phi|_2^2$ gradually increases. If $|\phi|_2^2 = \alpha'$, we have $I_{N(\alpha')} = I_{\alpha', \beta}$. Similarly, $I_{N(\alpha)} = I_{\alpha, \beta}$. Since $\frac{I_{N(\alpha')}}{N(\alpha')} > \frac{I_{N(\alpha)}}{N(\alpha)}$, we have $I_{N(\alpha')} > \frac{N(\alpha)}{N(\alpha')} I_{N(\alpha)} > I_{N(\alpha)}$. So, we obtain that $I_{\alpha', \beta} > I_{\alpha, \beta}$, and it is a contradiction. As for the case (3), we can prove by the same argument.

Now we have $u_n(x) = \phi_n(x) - \phi(x + y_n) \rightarrow 0$, $v_n(x) = \psi_n(x) - \psi(x + y_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By using the P.-L. Lions Lemma, $u_n(x), v_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$. According to Hölder inequality, we have $|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_n^2 v_n dx| \leq |u_n|_3^2 |v_n|_3$. Hence $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_n^2 v_n dx \rightarrow 0$. By the Brézis–Lieb Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\phi_n, \psi_n) &= I(\phi, \psi) + I(u_n, v_n) + o(1) \\ &= I_{\alpha, \beta} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \kappa |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \kappa |\nabla v_n|^2 dx = 0$. Thus we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(2) Let $(\phi, \psi) \in G_{\alpha, \beta}$ for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$. By the Lagrange multiplier method, there exists a pair $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \phi, \psi)$ satisfies System (1.5). By multiply the first equation of (1.5) by ϕ , we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 \psi dx = -\lambda_1 |\phi|_2^2.$$

Since $I(\phi, \psi) < 0$ (see Lemma 4.1 (i)), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 \psi dx < 2I(\phi, \psi) < 0.$$

Then $\lambda_1 > 0$.

(3) Using the fact

$$|\nabla |\phi||_2 \leq |\nabla \phi|_2, \quad |\nabla |\psi||_2 \leq |\nabla \psi|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi|^2 |\psi| dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 \psi dx$$

it follows that $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow (|\phi|, |\psi|) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $I(|\phi|, |\psi|) \leq I(\phi, \psi)$. Thus, $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ contains $(|\phi|, |\psi|)$ and hence, the minimizer (ϕ, ψ) can be chosen to be \mathbb{R} -valued.

To prove $(\phi^*, \psi^*) \in G_{\alpha, \beta}$, we need the following fact

$$|\nabla \phi^*|_2 \leq |\nabla \phi|_2, \quad |\nabla \psi^*|_2 \leq |\nabla \psi|_2 \quad (4.8)$$

see [10, Theorem 7.17]. Moreover, it is well-know that the symmetric decreasing rearrangement preserves the L^p norm, that is,

$$|\phi^*|_p = |\phi|_p, \quad |\psi^*|_p = |\psi|_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty. \quad (4.9)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\phi^*)^2 \psi^* dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^2 \psi dx \quad (4.10)$$

(see for example, Theorem 3.4 of [10]). Taking into account of (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10), it follows that

$$|\phi^*|_2^2 = |\phi|_2^2, \quad |\psi^*|_2^2 = |\psi|_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad I(\phi^*, \psi^*) \leq I(\phi, \psi), \quad \forall (\phi, \psi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

which shows that $G_{\alpha,\beta}$ contains (ϕ^*, ψ^*) whenever it does (ϕ, ψ) .

To show that $\phi^* > 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n , observe that $(|\phi|, |\psi|) \in G_{\alpha,\beta}$ satisfies the Euler–Lagrange differential equations

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta|\phi| + \lambda_1|\phi| = 2|\phi||\psi|, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ -\kappa\Delta|\psi| + \lambda_2|\psi| = |\phi|^2, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where (λ_1, λ_2) is the same pair of numbers as in System (1.5). Letting $f_1(|\phi|, |\psi|) = 2|\phi||\psi|$. Since $\lambda_1 > 0$, we have

$$|\phi| = G^{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}(x) * f_1(|\phi|, |\psi|) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G^{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}(x-y) f_1(|\phi|, |\psi|)(y) dy,$$

where $G^\mu(x)$ is defined by

$$G^\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty (4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{|x|^2}{4\tau} - \mu^2\tau\right\} d\tau,$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mu > 0$. Since the function f_1 is everywhere nonnegative and not identically zero, it follows that $|\phi| > 0$. So, we obtain $\phi^* > 0$. Besides, by the maximum principle, we get $\psi^* > 0$. This concludes the proof of statement (3). \square

5 Orbital stability

In this section, we proceed as in [3] to prove the orbital stability of bound state and ground state solitary waves.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. We assume that the set P_N is not stable, then there is a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\{(\phi_n(0), \psi_n(0))\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\inf_{(\phi_n, \psi_n) \in P_N} \|(\phi_n(0), \psi_n(0)) - (\phi_N, \psi_N)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\inf_{(\phi_n, \psi_n) \in P_N} \|(\phi_n(t_n), \psi_n(t_n)) - (\phi_N, \psi_N)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad (5.2)$$

Since by the conservation laws, we have

$$|\phi_n(t_n)|_2^2 = |\phi_n(0)|_2^2, \quad |\psi_n(t_n)|_2^2 = |\psi_n(0)|_2^2,$$

and

$$I(\phi_n(t_n), \psi_n(t_n)) = I(\phi_n(0), \psi_n(0)).$$

If we define

$$(\hat{\phi}_n, \hat{\psi}_n) = \left(\frac{\phi_n(t_n)}{|\phi_n(t_n)|_2} \sqrt{\eta}, \frac{\psi_n(t_n)}{|\psi_n(t_n)|_2} \sqrt{\frac{2N - \omega\eta}{2\omega}} \right),$$

where $0 < \eta < \frac{2N}{\omega}$, we get that

$$Q(\hat{\phi}_n, \hat{\psi}_n) = N \quad \text{and} \quad I(\hat{\phi}_n, \hat{\psi}_n) = I_N + o(1).$$

Namely $\{(\hat{\phi}_n, \hat{\psi}_n)\}$ is a minimizing sequence for the minimizing problem (2.1). From Theorem 2.1 (1), it follows that it is precompact in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ thus (5.2) fails.

The proof of the orbital stability of $G_{\alpha,\beta}$ is similar to the above proof. \square

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by NSF of China (No. 11771291).

References

- [1] A. L. BERKHOER, V. E. ZAKHAROV, Self excitation of waves with different polarizations in nonlinear media, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **31**(1970), 903–911. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00745002>;
- [2] H. BRÉZIS, E. LIEB, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **88**(1983), No. 3, 486–490. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2044999>; MR0699419;
- [3] T. CAZENAVE, P. L. LIONS, Orbital stability of standing waves for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **85**(1982), No. 4, 549–561. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01403504>; MR0677997; Zbl 0513.35007
- [4] Z. CHEN, W. ZOU, Existence and symmetry of positive ground states for a doubly critical Schrödinger system, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367**(2015), 3599–3646. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2014-06237-5>; MR3314818
- [5] T. GOU, L. JEANJEAN, Existence and orbital stability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* **144**(2016), 10–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2016.05.016>; MR3534090
- [6] N. HAYASHI, C. LI, P. I. NAUMKIN, On a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations in 2D, *Differential Integral Equations* **24**(2011), 417–434. MR2809614; Zbl 1249.35305
- [7] N. HAYASHI, C. LI, T. OZAWA, Small data scattering for a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Differ. Equ. Appl.* **3**(2011), No. 3, 415–426. <https://doi.org/10.7153/dea-03-26>; MR2856418
- [8] N. HAYASHI, T. OZAWA, K. TANAKA, On a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with quadratic interaction, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **30**(2013), No. 4, 661–690. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2012.10.007>; MR3082479
- [9] N. IKOMA, Compactness of minimizing sequences in nonlinear Schrödinger systems under multiconstraint conditions, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **14**(2014), No. 1, 115–136. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ans-2014-0104>; MR3158981
- [10] E. H. LIEB, M. LOSS, *Analysis, second ed.*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/014>; MR1817225
- [11] P. L. LIONS, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, part 1. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **1**(1984), No. 2, 109–145. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0294-1449\(16\)30422-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0294-1449(16)30422-X); MR0778970; Zbl 0541.49009
- [12] P. L. LIONS, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, part 2. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **1**(1984), No. 4, 223–283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0294-1449\(16\)30422-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0294-1449(16)30422-X); MR0778974; Zbl 0704.49004
- [13] M. SHIBATA, A new rearrangement inequality and its application for L^2 -constraint minimizing problems, *Math. Z.* **287**(2017), No. 1–2, 341–359. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-016-1828-1>; MR3694679