## GeLexi Project: Sentence Parsing Based on a GEnerative LEXIcon

Gábor Alberti, Judit Kleiber, and Anita Viszket

University of Pécs, Faculty of Humanities, Linguistics Department H7624 Pécs, Ifjúság útja 6., Hungary <a href="mailto:gelexi@btk.pte.hu">gelexi@btk.pte.hu</a>
http://lingua.btk.pte.hu/gelexi.asp

Keywords: parsing of (Hungarian and English) sentences, Prolog, lexicalist generative grammar, DRS (discourse representation structure)

The principal aim of our Pécs research team, called *GeLexi*, is to verify that computational linguistics is worth returning from the nowadays wide-spread attitude characterized by "shallow parsing" (which is held to save expenses) to the pure theoretical (generative) linguistic basis [15, 21].

Our crucial argument relies on a double (parallel computational and linguistic) chance: to use simultaneously, on one hand, a significantly greater number of huge patterns than earlier due to the immense increase in memory capacity [22], and to work out a formal grammar, on the other hand, showing the distribution of capacity advantageous in modern computer science (in harmony with the development mentioned above): "minimal processing – maximal database". This latter chance has something to do with the sweeping lexicalist turn [13, 14, 16, 18, 19] in generative linguistics, which used to be chiefly "process-oriented" (i.e. syntax-centered) in its first period; the current attitude can be characterized by two mottoes of Joshi's [18], the father of mildly context-sensitive grammars [21]: "Complicate Locally, Simplify Globally", and "Grammar ~ Lexicon".

What we propose is a new sort of generative grammar, GASG ("Generative/Generalized Argument Structure Grammar", defined in [6] and demonstrated in a wide range of papers [1-11]), which is more radically "lexicalist" [19] than any earlier one. It is a modified Unification Categorial Grammar [19, 17], from which even the principal syntactic "weapon" of CGs, Function Application, has been omitted. What has remained is lexical sign and the mere technique of unification as the engine of combining signs.

Our GASG-parser, in accordance with the basic task of every generative grammar [15, 21], decides whether a sentence is grammatical, and then provides a morphophonological analysis (based on a "Totally Lexicalist (approach to) Morphology" launched in [5]), a compilation of grammatical relations, and two kinds of semantic representations: a DRS [17] completed with information about its embedding in interpreters' information state also formulated as a DRS [2], and a network of copredications, useful in translation [7, 8].