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#### Abstract

A short overview is given of many recent results in algorithmic graph theory that deal with the notions treewidth, and pathwidth. We discuss algorithms that find tree-decompositions, algorithms that use tree-decompositions to solve hard problems efficiently, graph minor theory, and some applications. The paper contains an extensive bibliography.


## 1 Introduction

In recent years, the notions 'treewidth', 'pathwidth', 'tree-decomposition', and 'path-decomposition' have received a growing interest. These notions underly several important and sometimes very deep results in graph theory and graph algorithms, and are very useful for the analysis of several practical problems.

In this paper, we give an overview of a number of these applications, and algorithmic results. In section 2 we give the main definitions. Applications of the notions discussed in this paper are given in section 3. In section 4 we explain the basic idea behind linear time algorithms on graphs with constant bounded treewidth. In section 5 we review some results that deal with graph minors. In section 6 we discuss algorithms that find 'suitable' tree- or path-decompositions.

It should be noted that the constant factors, hidden in the ' $O$ '-notation can be quite large for several of the algorithms, discussed in this paper. In many cases, additional ideas will be required to turn the methods, described here, into really practical algorithms.

## 2 Definitions

In this section we give the most important definitions, with an example. The notions of treewidth and pathwidth were introduced by Robertson and Seymour [109, 115].
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Figure 1.
Example of a graph with tree- and path-decomposition
Definition. A tree-decomposition of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a pair $\left(\left\{X_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}, T=(I, F)\right)$ with $\left\{X_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ a family of subsets of $V$, one for each node of $T$, and $T$ a tree such that

- $U_{i \in I} X_{i}=V$.
- for all edges $(v, w) \in E$, there exists an $i \in I$ with $v \in X_{i}$ and $w \in X_{i}$.
- for all $i, j, k \in I$ : if $j$ is on the path from $i$ to $k$ in $T$, then $X_{i} \cap X_{k} \subseteq X_{j}$.

The treewidth of a tree-decomposition $\left(\left\{X_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}, T=(I, F)\right)$ is $\max _{i \in I}\left|X_{i}\right|-1$. The treewidth of a graph $G$ is the minimum treewidth over all possible treedecompositions of $G$.
The notion of pathwidth is defined similarly. Now $T$ must be a path.

Definition. A path-decomposition of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a sequence of subsets of vertices $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$, such that

- $U_{1 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}=V$.
- for all edges $(v, w) \in E$, there exists an $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$, with $v \in X_{i}$ and $w \in X_{i}$.
- for all $i, j, k \in I:$ if $i \leq j \leq k$, then $X_{i} \cap X_{k} \subseteq X_{j}$.

| $G_{1}$ | $G_{2}$ | $G_{3}$ | $G_{4}$ | $G_{5}$ | $G_{6}$ | $G_{7}$ | $G_{8}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $N_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $N_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $N_{4}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $N_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 2.
Example of gate matrix layout
The pathwidth of a path-decomposition $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$ is $\max _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left|X_{i}\right|-1$. The pathwidth of a graph $G$ is the minimum pathwidth over all possible pathdecompositions of $G$.
In figure 1, an example of a graph with treewidth and pathwidth 2 is given, together with a tree- and path-decomposition of it.

Clearly, the pathwidth of a graph is at least its treewidth. There are several equivalent characterizations of the notions of treewidth and pathwidth, see e.g. [3,15,18,99,143]. The (probably) most well known equivalent characterization of treewidth is by the notion 'partial $k$-tree', see [132,139]. Also, tree decompositions are reflected by graph expressions, where graphs are built by operations on graphs with some special vertices (the sources) like: parallel composition, forget sources, renaming of sources. The treewidth can be characterized in terms of the number of sources used in the operations. See [50].

## 3 Applications

Several well-studied graph classes have bounded treewidth or pathwidth, hence many results discussed here also apply for these classes. Examples are trees (treewidth 1), series-parallel graphs (treewidth 2), outerplanar graphs (treewidth 2), and Halin graphs (treewidth 3). See e.g. $[18,20,132,143]$. We mention some other applications.

### 3.1 VLSI layouts

A well studied problem in VLSI layout theory is the Gate Matrix Layout problem. This problem is stated in terms of a matrix $M=\left(m_{i j}\right)$, whose columns represent gates $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$, and whose rows represent nets $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{m}$. If $m_{i j}=1$, then net $N_{i}$. must be connected with gate $G_{j}$. An example is given in figure 2. The problem of finding a permutation of the gates, such that all nets can be made within the minimum number of tracks is equivalent to the pathwidth problem (see [63]). See [99] for an extensive overview. See also [53].

### 3.2 Cholesky factorization

There is also a close connection between treewidth, and Choleski factorization on sparse symmetric matrices.

In the multifrontal method for Choleski factorization, one step is of the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
d & v^{T} \\
v & B
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{d} & 0 \\
v / \sqrt{d} & I
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & B-v \cdot v^{T} / d
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{d} & v^{T} / \sqrt{d} \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $v$ is an $(n-1)$-vector, and $B$ is an $n-1$ by $n-1$ maxtrix. $I$ is the $n-1$ by $n-1$ identity matrix. The process is repeated with the matrix $B-v \cdot v^{T}$. Consider the graph with vertices $1,2, \ldots, n$, and edges between vertices $i$ and $j$, if the matrix entries on positions $(i, j)$ and $(j, i)$ are non-zero. One step as described above corresponds to removing a vertex and connecting all its neighbors. As the matrix is sparse, one wants to find an order of colums/rows to be eliminated for which all matrices $v \cdot \boldsymbol{v}^{T}$ are small, i.e. have a large number of columns and rows that are entirely 0 . One can show that to bound the maximum size of these matrices corresponds to bounding the treewidth of the graph, described above. For more details, see e.g. [29].

### 3.3 Expert systems

Graphs modelling certain type of expert systems have been observed to have small treewidth in practice. Tree-decompositions of small treewidth for these graphs can be used to perform efficiently certian otherwise time-consuming statistical computations needed for reasoning with uncertainity in these systems. See e.g. [92,138].

### 3.4 Evolution theory

Researchers in molecular biology are interested in the problem, given a set of species, a set of characteristics, and for each specie and each characteristic, the value that that characteristic has for that specie, to find a 'good' evolution tree for these species and their possibly extinct ancestors. One variant of this problem is called the Perfect Phylogeny problem. This problem can be shown to be equivalent with the following graph problem: given a graph $G=(V, E)$ with a coloring of the vertices, can we add edges to $G$ such that the resulting graph is chordal but has no edges between vertices of the same color? Equivalently, does there exist a tree-decomposition $\left(\left\{X_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}, T\right)$ of $G$ such that for all $i \in I$ : if $v, w \in X_{i}, v \neq w$, then $v$ and $w$ have different colors. So, a necessary condition is that the treewidth of $G$ is smaller than the number of colors. See $[2,28,33,79,80,98]$.

### 3.5 Nature language processing

Kornai and Tuza [88] have observed that dependency graphs of sentences encoding the major syntactic relations among the words have usually pathwidth at most 6 . The pathwidth closely resembles the nerrowness of these graphs: For the relationship of this notion to natural language processing, see [88].

## 4 Bounded treewidth and linear time algorithms

An important reason for the interest in tree-decompositions, is that if we have a tree-decomposition of a graph $G=(V, E)$ with its treewidth bounded by some fixed constant $k_{1}$ then we can solve many problems that are hard (intractable) for arbitrary graphs, in polynomial and often linear time. Problems which can be dealt with in this way include many well-known NP-complete problems, like Independent Set, Hamiltonian circuit, Steiner Tree, etc., but also certain statistical computations (including some with applications to reasoning with uncertainity in expert systems (92,138]), and some PSPACE-complete problems [4,5,26]. Results of this type can be found -- among others - in $[3,4,5,8,10,14,19,26,22,31,37,44,47$, $52,55,67,69,71,73,74,75,87,90,93,94,95,96,107,132,137,141,142,143,144,145]$.

As an example we consider the maximum independent set problem. In this problem, we a looking for the maximum size of a set $W \subseteq V$ in a given graph $G=(V, E)$, such that for all $v, w \in W:(v, w) \notin E$.

Given a tree-decomposition, it is easy to make one with the same treewidth, and with $T$ a rooted binary tree. Suppose we have such a tree-decomposition $\left(\left\{X_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}, T=(I, F)\right)$ of input graph $G$, with root of $T r$, and with treewidth $k$. For each $i \in I$, define $Y_{i}=\left\{v \in X_{j} \mid j=i\right.$ or $j$ is a descendant of $\left.i\right\}$.

Note that if $v \in Y_{i}$, and $v \in X_{j}$ for some node $j \in I$ that is not a descendant of $i$, then by definition of tree-decomposition, $v \in X_{i}$. Similarly, if $v \in Y_{i}$, and $v$ is adjacent to a vertex $w \in X_{j}$ with $j$ a descendant of $i$, then $v \in X_{i}$ or $w \in X_{i}$. As a consequence, we have that, when we have an independent set $W$ of the subgraph induced by $Y_{i}, G\left[Y_{i}\right]$, and want to extend this to an independent set of $G$, then important is only what vertices in $X_{i}$ belong to $W$, not what vertices in $Y_{i}-X_{i}$ belong to $W$. Of the latter, only the number of the vertices in $W$ is important.

For $i \in I, Z \subseteq X_{i}$, define $i s_{i}(Z)$ to be the maximum size of an independent set $W$ in $G\left[Y_{i}\right]$ with $W \cap X_{i}=Z$. Take $i s_{i}(Z)=-\infty$, if no such set exists.

Our algorithm to solve the independent set problem on $G$ basically consists of computing all tables $i s_{i}$, for all nodes $i \in I$. This is done in a bottom-up manner in the tree: each table $i s_{i}$ is computed after the tables of the children of node $i$ are computed. For a leaf node $i$, the following formula can be used to compute all $2^{\left|X_{i}\right|}$ values in the table $i s_{i}$.

$$
i s_{i}(Z)= \begin{cases}|Z| & \text { if } \forall v, w \in Z:(v, w) \notin E \\ -\infty & \text { if } \exists v, w \in Z:(v, w) \in E\end{cases}
$$

For an internal node $i$ with two children $j$ and $k$, we have the following formula.

$$
i s_{i}(Z)=
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max \left\{i s_{j}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)+i s_{k}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left|Z \cap\left(X_{i}-X_{i}-X_{k}\right)\right|\right. \\
-\left|Z \cap X_{j} \cap X_{k}\right| \mid Z \cap X_{j}=Z^{\prime} \cap X_{i} \\
\text { and } \left.Z \cap X_{k}=Z^{\prime \prime} \cap X_{i}\right\} \\
-\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

The idea behind the last formula is: take the maximum over all sets $Z^{\prime} \subseteq X_{j}$ that agree with $Z$ in which vertices in $X_{i} \cap X_{j}$ belong to the independent set, and similarly for $Z^{\prime \prime} \subseteq X_{k}$. Vertices in $Z \cap X_{i}-X_{j}-X_{k}$ are not counted yet, so their number should be added, while vertices in $Z \cap X_{j} \cap X_{k}$ are counted twice, hence their number should be subtracted once.

We compute for each node $i \in I$ the table $i s_{i}$ in some bottom-up order, until we have computed the table $i s_{r}$. Note that we then can easily find the maximum size of an independent set in $G$, as this is $\max _{Z \subseteq X_{r}} i s_{r}(Z)$. Hence, we have an algorithm, that solves the independent set problem on $G$ in $O\left(2^{3 k} n\right)$ time. (Optimizations can bring the factor $2^{3 k}$ down to $2^{k}$.) It is also possible, by using standard dynamic programming techniques, to construct the maximum sized independent set $W$ itself.

The idea behind this example is: each table entry gives information about an equivalence class of partial solutions. The number of such equivalence classes is bounded by some constant, when the treewidth is bounded by a constant. Tables can be computed using only the tables of the children of the node.

The technique works for many examples. However, there are also results that state that large classes of problems can be solved in linear time, when a treedecomposition with constant bounded treewidth is available. One of the most powerfull results of this type is the result by Courcelle $\{47,51,46\}$, which has been extended by Arnborg et al [8], by Borie et al (38], and by Courcelle and Mosbah [52], on (Extended) Monadic Second Order formulas. These result basically state that each graph problem that is expressible with a formula using the following language constructions: logical operations ( $\wedge, \vee, \neg, \Rightarrow$ ), quantification over vertices, edges, sets of vertices, sets of edges (e.g. $\exists v \in V, \forall e \in E, \forall W \subseteq V, \exists F \subseteq E$ ), membership tests $(v \in W, e \in E)$, adjacency tests $(v, w) \in E, v$ is endpoint of $e)$, and certain extensions, can be solved in linear time on graphs with given a tree-decomposition of constant bounded treewidth. The extensions allow not only to deal with decision problems, but also optimization problems (like maximum independent set).

For example, the problem whether a given graph $G$ can be colored with three colors can be stated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exists W_{1} \subseteq V: \exists W_{2} \subseteq V: \exists W_{3} \subseteq V: \forall v \in V:\left(v \in W_{1} \vee v \in W_{2} \vee v \in\right. \\
& \left.W_{3}\right) \wedge \forall v \in V, \forall w \in W:(v, w) \in E \Rightarrow\left(\neg\left(v \in W_{1} \wedge w \in W_{1}\right) \wedge \neg(v \in\right. \\
& \left.\left.W_{2} \wedge w \in W_{2}\right) \wedge \neg\left(v \in W_{3} \wedge w \in W_{3}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In many cases, the information, computed per node $i \in I$ is an element of a finite set. Then, the algorithm can be seen as a finite state tree-automata, and optimalization techniques can be applied, similar to Myhill-Nerode theory [14,62]. (See also $[48,45,49]$.)

In $[64,65]$ parametric problems on graphs with bounded treewidth are solved, using modifications of the technique, presented above.

For some problems (e.g. the maximum independent set problem) polynomial time algorithms are still known to exist, if the input graph is given together with a tree-decomposition of treewidth $O(\log n)$. (See e.g. [19].) For other problems, it is unknown whether such algorithms exist.

The problem whether two given graphs are isomorphic is also solvable in polynomial time, when the graphs have bounded treewidth [11,22,42]. The techniques are here somewhat different.

There also exist problems that remain hard when restricted to graphs with constant bounded treewidth, for instance the bandwidth problem is NP-complete for a very restricted subclass of the trees [100]. For some problems the complexity when we restrict the instances to graphs with bounded treewidth is open, like the problem to determine the pathwidth of graphs with treewidth $\leq 2[30]$.
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Figure 3.
$G$ is a minor of $H$

## 5 Graph minors

In this section, we give a short overview of some recent results on graph minors. A graph $H=(W, F)$ is a minor of a graph $G=(V, E)$, if (a graph isomorphic to) $H$ can be obtained from $G$ by a series of zero or more vertex deletions, edge deletions, and/or edge contractions (in arbitrary order), where an edge contraction is the operation to réplace two adjacent vertices $v$ and $w$ by a vertex that is adjacent to all vertices that were adjacent to $v$ or $w$. For an example, see figure 3.

Robertson and Seymour obtained the following deep results on graph minors $[17,109,115,111,122,122,116,117,121,124,123,125,114,118,119,120,126,127,128$, $129,110,112,113]$.

## Theorem 5.1

For every class of graphs $\mathcal{G}$, that is closed under taking of minors, there exists a finite set of graphs, ob $(\mathcal{G})$, called the obstruction set of $\mathcal{G}$, such that for each graph $G: G \in \mathcal{G}$, if and only if there is no $H \in o b(\mathcal{G})$ that is a minor of $G$.

For example, the obstruction set of the planar graphs is $\left\{K_{5}, K_{3,3}\right\}$ [140]. Theorem 5.1 was formerly known as Wagners conjecture.

## Theorem 5.2

For every graph $H$, there exists an $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ algorithm, that, given a graph $G$, tests whether $H$ is a minor of $G$.

## Theorem 5.3

For every planar graph $H$, there exists a constant $c_{H}$, such that for every graph $G$ : if $H$ is not a minor of $G$, then the treewidth of $G$ is at most $c_{H}$.

The constant factor of the algorithm in theorem 5.2 is very high, making this algorithm not suitable for practical use. In [129]; it-is-shown that one can take in $5.3 c_{H}=20^{4\left|V_{H}\right|+8\left|E_{H}\right|^{5}}$. From theorem 5.1 and theorem 5.2 it follows that every class of graphs, closed under minor taking, is recognizable in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time (do a minor test for each graph in the obstruction set.) Using theorem 5.1, theorem 5.3, the result of the next section, that states that for graphs with constant bounded treewidth, a tree-decomposition of constant bounded treewidth can be found in $O(n)$ time, and the fact, that with such a tree-decomposition, minor tests can be done in linear time with a procedure of the type, discussed in section 4, the following result can be derived: every class of graphs that does not contain all planar graphs and that is closed under minor taking, can be recognized in $O(n)$ time. (See also [13].)

Many applications of this theory were found by Fellows and Langston $(58,60,61)$. Note however that the constants hidden in the ' $O$ '-notation may be quite large, and that the proof of theorem 5.1 is inherently non-constructive (in a deep mathematical sense) [66]. I.e., it is not possible in all cases to extract the obstruction set of a class of graphs $\mathcal{G}$, given a formal proof that $\mathcal{G}$ is minor closed. Thus, we may arrive in a situation where we know that a polynomial algorithm exists for the problem without knowing the algorithm itself. Also, the algorithms are recognition algorithms: they do not constuct anything (like a vertex ordering, tree-decomposition, etc.)

A technique that allows us in some cases to overcome non-constructive aspects of this theory is self-reduction, advocated by Fellows and Langston, see e.g. [21,39, 59,63).

Self reduction is the technique to consult a decision algorithm a number of times with different inputs in order to construct a solution for the original problem. As an example, consider the problem of finding a simple path of length at least $k$ ( $k$ constant) in an undirected graph. (There are direct and more efficient algorithms for this problem $\{27,63$ ]; the solution here is presented only to explain the technique.) The class of graphs that do not contain such a path is closed under minor taking, and does not contain all planar graphs, so we have a linear time algorithm, deciding whether a given graph contains a simple path of length at least $k$. Given a graph $G$, we can solve the problem in $O(n \cdot e)$ time by first testing whether $G$ contains a desired path, and then repeatedly trying to remove an edge from $G$, such that the resulting graph still contains a simple path of length $k$. When no edge can be deleted anymore, the resulting graph is precisely the desired path.

Even when we do not know the obstruction set, in several cases it is still possible to construct polynomial time algorithms based on minor tests (see [63]).

In some cases, obstruction sets, and hence the decision algorithms themselves are computable $[12,16,40,57,62,78,81,91,103,131,136]$. The size of the obstruction sets can grow very fast: for instance, the obstruction set of the graphs with pathwidth at most $k$ contains at least $k!^{2}$ trees, each containing $\frac{5 \cdot 3^{k}-1}{2}$ vertices [136]. This clearly limits the practicality of the approach described above.

Also, in some cases, linear time minor tests are possible $[27,25,54,63]$. For instance, suppose that $H$ is a cycle of length $k$. The algorithm is as follows: first make a depth-first search spanning tree $T=(V, F)$ of the input graph $G=(V, E)$. If there is a backedge between a vertex $v$ and a predecessor $w$ of $v$ which is at least $k-1$ levels above $v$ in $T$, then $G$ contains $H$ as a minor, stop. Otherwise, construct $\left(\left\{X_{v} \mid v \in V\right\}, T=(V, F)\right)$, with $X_{v}=\{v\} \cup\{w \mid w$ is a predecessor of $v$ and differs at most $k-2$ levels from $v$ in $T\}$. This is a tree-decomposition of $G$ with treewidth at most $k-2$. Use this tree-decomposition to solve the problem in linear time. (See [63].)

## 6 Finding tree-decompositions

In this section we consider the problem of finding tree-decompositions, and determining the treewidth of a graph. Unfortunately, determining whether the treewidth of a given graph $G=(V, E)$ is at most a given integer $k$ is NP-complete [6]. The latter result holds also for pathwidth [6]. The complexity of these problem has been studied for several classes of graphs. Table 1 mentions several of the known results of this type.

Polynomial time approximation algorithms with $O(\log n)$ performance ratio for treewidth, and $O\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$ performance ratio for pathwidth, are presented in [29]. For several classes of perfect graphs, polynomial time approximation algorithms can be found in [84]. Seymour and Thomas gave a polynomial time algorithm for the branchwidth of planar graphs [134]; this directly implies a polynomial time approximation algorithm for the treewidth of planar graphs with a performance ratio $1 \frac{1}{2}$ [114].

| Class | Treewidth | Pathwidth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bounded degree | $\mathrm{N}[35]$ | $\mathrm{N}[101](3)$ |
| Trees/Forests | C | $\mathrm{P}[133]$ |
| Series-parallel graphs | C | $\mathrm{P}[32]$ |
| Outerplanar graphs | C | $\mathrm{P}[32]$ |
| Halin graphs | $\mathrm{C}[143]$ | $\mathrm{P}[32]$ |
| $k$-Outerplanar graphs | $\mathrm{C}[20]$ | $\mathrm{P}[32]$ |
| Planar graphs | O | $\mathrm{N}[101](3)$ |
| Chordal graphs | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}[68]$ |
| Starlike chordal graphs | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}[68]$ |
| $k$-Starlike chordal graphs | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}[68]$ |
| Co-chordal graphs | $\mathrm{P}[85]$ | $\mathrm{P}[85]$ |
| Split graphs | $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}[68,84]$ |
| Bipartite graphs | N | N |
| Permutation graphs | $\mathrm{P}[34]$ | $\mathrm{P}[34]$ |
| Circular permutation graphs | $\mathrm{P}[34]$ | O |
| Cocomparability graphs | $\mathrm{N}[6,72]$ | $\mathrm{N}[6,72]$ |
| Cographs | $\mathrm{P}[36]$ | $\mathrm{P}[36]$ |
| Chordal bipartite graphs | $\mathrm{P}[86]$ | $\mathrm{N}[35]$ |
| Interval graphs | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ |
| Circular arc graphs | $\mathrm{P}[135]$ | O |
| Circle graphs | $\mathrm{P}[83]$ | $\mathrm{N}[35]$ |

$\mathrm{P}=$ polynomial time solvable. $\mathrm{C}=$ constant, hence linear time solvable. $\mathrm{N}=$ NP-complete. $\mathrm{O}=$ Open problem. (1) The treewidth of a chordal graph equals its maximum clique size minus one. (2) The treewidth and pathwidth of an interval graphs equal its maximum clique size minus one. (3) NP-completeness is shown for vertex separation number, but this is equivalent to pathwidth.

Table 1:
Complexity of Pathwidth and Treewidth on different classes of graphs


Contract over a vertex with degree 2

Figure 4.
Rewriting a graph with treewidth $\leq 2$
For constant $k$, polynomial time algorithms exist for the problems. The graphs with treewidth 1 are exactly the forests. Algorithms that recognize graphs with treewidth 2 and 3 in linear time, and find the corresponding tree-decompositions were described by Matousek and Thomas [97], using results from [9]. A similar algorithm (with a quite involved case analysis) for treewidth 4 was found recently by Sanders [130]. For example, the connected graphs with treewidth 2 are exactly those graphs that can be rewritten to a single vertex, using the operations shown in figure 4. For larger $k$, also recognition algorithms based on rewriting exist [7]. (In [7], a much larger class of problems is also shown to be solvable with these rewrite techniques.) The latter algorithms can at present, not produce a corresponding tree-decomposition of the input graph.

For arbitrary fixed $k$, an $O(n \log n)$ algorithm can be found, using the following result, due to Reed [108].

## Theorem 6.1

For every constant $k$, there exists an $O(n \log n)$ algorithm, that given a graph $G=(V, E)$, either outputs that the treewidth of $G$ is larger than $k$, or outputs a tree-decomposition of $G$ with treewidth at most $3 k+2$.

Actually, the result proven by Reed has a number, larger than $3 k+2$. Minor improvements give the result stated above. The running time of this algorithm is singly exponential in $k$. Similar, but slower algorithms have been found by.

Robertson and Seymour [119] and by Lagergren [89], the latter result also has an efficient parallel variant.


Figure 5.
Illustration to approximation algorithm
These algorithms and the approximation algorithm in [29] are based on repeatedly finding separators. An 1/3-2/3 separator of a set $W \subseteq V$ in a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a set $S \subseteq V$, such that $V-S$ can be partitioned into two non-adjacent sets of vertices $V_{1}, V_{2}$, such that both $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ contain at most $2|W| / 3$ vertices in $W$.

Each of the algorithms can be described by a recursive procedure which is called' with two arguments: a graph $G^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ (an induced subgraph of $G$ ), and a set of vertices $X \subseteq V^{\prime}$. The algorithm produces a tree-decomposition with the root node set $X_{r}$ of $T$ containing all vertices in $X\left(X \subseteq X_{r}\right)$. It works basically as follows: When $V^{\prime}$ is 'small enough', yield a one-node tree-decomposition, the node containing all vertices in $V^{\prime}$. Otherwise, first find a 'small' $1 / 3-2 / 3$ separator $S$ of $X$ in $G^{\prime}$, separating $V^{\prime}-S$ into $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. Call the procedure recursively for graph $G\left[V_{1} \cup S\right]$ and set $S \cup\left(X \cap V_{1}\right)$, and for graph $G\left[V_{2} \cup S\right]$ and set $S \cup\left(X \cap V_{2}\right)$. The desired tree-decomposition is obtained by taking one new node containing $X \cap S$, and connecting this node to the root nodes of the two tree-decompositions yielded by the recursive calls of the procedure (see figure 5). If the treewidth of $G$ is at most $k$, then a $1 / 3-2 / 3$ separator, as needed for the algorithm, exists of size at most $k$, and can be found, in time, linear in $V^{\prime}$, using flow techniques [119]. Starting with an arbitrary set $X$ of size at most $3 k$, it follows with induction, that each call of the procedure uses sets $X$ of size at most $3 k$, assuming the treewidth of $G$ is at most $k$. ( $\left|X \cap V_{i} \cup S\right| \leq 2|X| / 3+|S| \leq 2 k+k$.) Hence, the algorithm produces in this case a tree-decomposition of treewidth less than $4 k$.

Reed [108] has shown that one can also find small sized separator sets $S$, that do not only separate $X$, but also partition $V^{\prime}$ into sets of size at most $3 / 4$ of $\left|V^{\prime}\right|$.

This gives a recursion depth of $O(\log n)$, and results in an $O(n \log n)$ algorithm. (The expose above is only a very rough sketch of some of the-most important ideas of the algorithms. See further $[29,89,108,119]$.)

Using the algorithm of theorem 5.1, and a constant number of minor tests, it follows that the 'treewidth $\leq k$ ' and 'pathwidth $\leq k$ ' problems (for constant $k$ ) are decidable in $O(n \log n)$ time. (Use that the treewidth and pathwidth can not increase by taking minors.) However, it is also possible to obtain direct, explicit and constructive algorithms for the problems.

Both Lagergren and Arnborg [91] and Bodlaender and Kloks [31,82] give such an algorithm, using an involved application of the technique, discussed in section 4. Independently, results of a similar nature were obtained by Abrahamson and Fellows [1]. From these results it follows that a technique of Fellows and Langston [62] can be used to compute the corresponding obstruction set. Bodlaender and Kloks [31] also discuss how in the same time bounds the path- or tree-decompositions with pathwidth or treewidth at most k can be found, if existing.

Recently, the author has found a linear time algorithm for the problems to decide whether a graph has pathwidth or treewidth at most some constant $k$, and if so, to find a path- or tree-decomposition with pathwidth or treewidth at most $k$ [24]. This algorithm uses a recursion technique, and the result in [31] as essential ingredients.

A study to dynamic algorithms for graphs with small treewidth has been made by Cohen et al. [43] and recently by the author [23].
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