## An assertion which is equivalent to the generalized continuum hypothesis.

By G. FODOR in Szeged.

Let E be a set of power  $2^{\aleph \alpha}$ . Denote by B the set of all subsets of E consisting of two elements only.

We shall prove that the generalized continuum hypothesis H is equivalent to the following assertion:

- A. There exists a (many-to-one) mapping T of B into E such that
- 1) for any  $r = \{x, y\} \in B$  we have either Tr = x or Tr = y,
- 2) for any subset  $E_1$  with power  $> \aleph_{\alpha}$ , the union of the sets  $r \in B$  for which  $Tr \in E_1$ , is equal to E:

$$\bigcup T^{-1}E_1 = E^{-1}$$

Proof. Let the elements of E be arranged in a transfinite sequence of ordinal type  $\varphi$ 

(1) 
$$x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{\omega}, x_{\omega+1}, \ldots, x_{\zeta}, \ldots \qquad (\zeta < \varphi)$$

where  $\varphi$  is the initial ordinal of power  $2^{\aleph_{\alpha}}$ .

First we shall show that A is a consequence of H. Suppose that H is true. The desired mapping  $T^*$  which fulfils the assertion A is defined in the following way. Let, for every  $r \in B$ ,  $T^*r$  be equal to the element of r which has a greater subscript in the sequence (1). Consider an arbitrary subset D of power  $> \aleph_{\alpha}$  of E. The sum of the elements  $r \in B$  for which  $T^{*-1}x = r$  for arbitrary element  $x = x_{\gamma}$  of D is equal to the set  $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ :  $\bigcup T^{*-1}x_{\gamma} = \{x_{\gamma}\}_{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ . By the continuum hypothesis H, D is a subsequence of type  $\varphi$  of the sequence (1). It follows that the sum of the elements r of B for which  $T^*r \in D$  is equal to E.

Next we prove that H is a consequence of A. Suppose T is a mapping which fulfil the conditions of A. Let  $x_{\eta}$  be a given element of E. We prove that the power of set of those  $\{x_{\eta}, x_{\gamma}\} \in B$  for which  $\gamma > \eta$  and  $T\{x_{\eta}, x_{\gamma}\} = x_{\eta}$ , is

<sup>1)</sup> For any  $F \subset E$ , we denote by  $\cup T^{-1}F$  the union of all those sets  $r(\in B)$  for which  $Tr \in F$ .

 $\leq \aleph_{\alpha}$ . In fact, admitting the contrary the power of the set V of the elements  $x_{\gamma}$  for which  $\gamma > \iota_{i}$  and  $T\{x_{\gamma}, x_{\gamma}\} = x_{\gamma}$  is greater than  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ . It follows then from the property 2) of the mapping T that

$$\cup T^{-1}V = E,$$

i. e., in particular,  $\bigcup T^{-1}V \ni x_r$ . Thus there exists at least one  $r \in B$  such that  $Tr \in V$ ,  $Tr = x_z$  say  $(z > t_i)$ , and  $r \ni x_i$ . By the property 1) of T we have necessarily  $r = \{x_r, x_z\}$ . But  $x_z$  being an element of V, it follows by the definition of V that  $Tr = x_r$ , and this contradicts to  $Tr = x_z$ .

Consider now the section  $C = \{x_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma < \omega_{\alpha+1}}$  of the sequence (1). It is evident that for any  $\gamma < \omega_{\alpha+1}$  the power of the set of sets  $\{x_{\gamma}, x_{\beta}\}$   $(\beta < \gamma)$  for which  $T\{x_{\gamma}, x_{\beta}\} = x_{\gamma}$  is  $\leq \aleph_{\alpha}$ . According to that has been seen above the power of the set of sets  $\{x_{\gamma}, x_{\beta}\}$   $(\beta > \gamma)$  for which  $T\{x_{\gamma}, x_{\beta}\} = x_{\gamma}$  is  $\leq \aleph$  too. As  $C = \aleph_{\alpha+1}$  it follows that

$$\mathbf{N}_{\alpha+1} \leq \mathbf{U} T^{-1} C \leq \mathbf{N}_{\alpha+1} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{\alpha}$$

As  $\mathbf{N}_{n+1} \cdot \mathbf{N}_n = \mathbf{N}_{n-1}$  we have therefore  $\bigcup T^{-1}C = \mathbf{N}_{n-1}$ . But, by the condition 2) of T, we have

$$\bigcup T^{-1}C == E.$$

Consequently

$$2^{\aleph_{\alpha}} = \aleph_{\alpha+1}$$

(Received October 1, 1952.)