## ANDRÁS RÓNA-TAS

## A Hungarian word of Turkic origin coming from India: bors 'pepper'



HE HUNGARIAN LANGUAGE has about 400 early, i. e. pre-Ottoman Turkic loanwords. A new monograph on these Hungarian words is in preparation by Árpád Berta and myself (see BERTA-RÓNA-TAS 2002). In this monograph we set as our task to give the etymology of all Turkic elements occurring in Hungarian. In those cases where the word is of ultimately Turkic origin we give the base or stem and the suffix if it exists and remark its productivity. In cases where the Turkic word itself is a loan we try to clear the origin and the way how did the word come into the Turkic language. This is of essential importance, because if the word is an early loan in Hungarian, the word existed in West Old Turkic. Until now our knowledge on Old Turkic (the Turkic languages until the Mongolian invasion), was based practically on East Old Turkic. This contained the Runiform inscriptions, Old Uigur texts, Manichean texts in Uigur and Manichean scripts, Buddhist text in Uigur and Brahmi script and the two texts in Arabic script: the Dīvān of al-Kāshgarī and the Kutadgu bilig of Yūsuf Khāss Hājib. The scattered and scanty material of West Old Turkic, the few words in the Greek inscriptions of the Danube- or Proto-Bulgars, some dubious names and titles of West Old Turkic persons and the distorted List of Danube Bulgarian rulers did not entitle us to draw any conclusion on West Old Turkic. From historical sources we know that at least from the middle of the 5th century we have to count with Turkic peoples and languages West from the eastern border of Europe. West from the mountain range of the Ural and the river Yayik or Ural. The presence of a word in this layer of the Hungarian lexicon ensures a chronology. If the word is present in both East and West Turkic it can be supposed that it was, at least, present from the 3<sup>rd</sup> century on in Turkic. However this we cannot take for granted in case of the cultural or trade migrating words. The trade routes were open until the Mongolian invasion in the 13th century, and even if they changed, they existed until the Ottoman Empire did not take over their control. Therefore a thorough analysis of the Turkic data and the mediation of the Turkic word from its original into Turkic may offer additional data to the chronology of the word.

The Turkic origin of the Hungarian word for 'pepper': borš (orthographically bors) was accepted by all Hungarian scholars who dealt with the word. Already Vámbéry (VÁMBÉRY 1870, 133) stated the Turkic origin, and Munkácsi (MUNKÁCSI 1905, 376) recognized the 'Aryan' origin of the Turkic

word. This was then accepted by Gombocz (GOMBOCZ 1912, 51-52) and later by Németh, Ligeti, Benkő and others. Nobody tried, however, to draw the way from India to Turcia and nobody has investigated the chronological implications of all known data. This will be the aim of this paper. Let us see first the Turkic data (in the order and with the abbreviations used by us, see BERTA-RÓNA-TAS 2002).

OT murč 'pepper' (UHI 7 149, II 1 46), mirč (UHI 134, II 1 114), murč (UTT VII 22, 3), murč 'pepper' (AK); MT - (UOg), - (UY), murč 'poivre noir' (UHy), - (UHyS), - (UCiv), - (ABF), - (AGul), - (AHMA), - (AHŠF), - (AIM), - (AIMI), - (AKD), - (AKor), - (AM), - (ANehF), - (ARbg), -(ATef), - (AYC); burj' poivre' (AChag), - (AChagAbV), - (AChagB), -(AChagMA), burč 'Pfeffer' (AChagR), burj burč '-' (AChagS), burj 'Pfeffer' (AChagŠS), burč 'Pfeffer' (LCC), burč 'kara biber' (AAH), - (AAHI), burč 'poivre' (ABul), burč 'poivre' (ADur), burč 'Pfeffer' (AHou), - $(AKav)_{1}$  -  $(AMGh)_{2}$  -  $(ATuh)_{3}$  -  $(AmTr)_{4}$  -  $(AmD)_{5}$  -  $(AmCh)_{5}$  -  $(AmE)_{5}$ burj 'taze dal, filiz; okse otu' (AOtT see Tt), burč 'pepper' (AOtT apud CLAUSON 1972, 772), burç 'karabiber' (TtD-Karaçay aşireti \*Emirdag); NT Chuv părăs 'perec' (Chuv); NW borič 'perec' (Tat), boros 'perec' (Bashk), buruc 'perec' (SibT), murč 'černyj perec' (Kirg), buriš 'pepper' (Kaz), buriš 'perec' (Kkalp), buriš 'perec' (Nog), - (CrTat), burc 'perec' (KarH), burč 'perec' (KarT), burč 'perec' (RKum), purč ~ burč 'perec' (Krch-Blk), burc ~ burš 'Pfeffer' (KrchP); SW burč 'perec' (Tkm), - (Az), purč 'pepper' (Az apud Ligeti 1986, 303), murč 'poivre' (Afshar, Ligeti 1986, 303), burc 'mistletoe (Lat Viscum album), shoot, sprout' (Tt), - (Gag), - (Khor), -(SOgh); Kh - (Kh); Turk murč 'černyj perec' (Uzb), murč ~ mūč 'pepper' (TurkiJ), murč 'perec' (MUig), - (Sal), - (YUig); S mirč 'perec' (Alt), mirč ~ pirč ~ purč 'perec' (AltQK), mirs 'perec' (KhakS), - (FY), - (Tuv), - (Tof); Y-(Y), -(Dlg).

Mongolian: burš 'Pfeffer' (Kalmuck) ← Kazak. Ossetian: byrc, burcae 'černyj perec' ← Turkic.

From the Turkic data we can reconstruct the inner Turkic history of the word. The original form was murč. In most Turkic languages an initial m- is possible only before a nasal  $(-n \text{ or } -\eta)$ . In all other cases the foreign m- changes into b-. Thus the second step was a change from murč to burč. The final  $-r\ddot{c}$  is rare, but existed in OT, see e. g. kurč 'taugh, hard', later in kurč temür and also alone 'steel', yurč 'one's wife's younger brother', sürč- 'to stumble' and in a few onomatopoeic stems. In some languages because of the bisyllabic tendency and also because of the unusual final, an epenthetic vowel was inserted, thus burč changed to buruč. The final -č regularily changed in some Turkic languages into -c (some Tatar and Karaim dialects), into -š (Kazakh, Karakalpak and Nogai), into -s (Khakass-Sagai). In some Turkic languages we can observ a delabialization u > i. Chuvash has a bisyllabic form with a regular  $\check{c} >> \dot{s}$  change \*buru $\check{c} >> p\check{a}r\check{a}\dot{s}$ . The earlier Chuvash form is, however a secondary one. It developed from burč. This can be seen in Ossetic byrc which clearly points to a final -rc, and the c > c is an inner Ossetic change. It is of importance that Turkic kevrič (> Hungarian kőris) 'ash-tree' is in Ossetic: kaerz or kaerzae 'jasen''. Here Ossetic -z- is reflecting a West Old Turkic sibillant (most probably an  $\pm s$ ), that is, a Turkic form like  $\pm k\bar{e}ri\bar{s}$  and not  $kevri\bar{c}$  which would have become  $\pm kaerc$ . This means that Ossetic kaerz is a later copy than Ossetic byrc / burcae. We can follow the West Old Turkic change  $\pm r\bar{c} > -r\bar{s}$ . Thus now we can reconstruct for Chuvash the following steps of change  $\pm mur\bar{c} > bur\bar{c} \to bur\bar{c} > bur\bar{c}$ 

The Kalmuck is clearly a Kazakh loan, the word does not exist in other Mongolian languages.

According to the Hungarian historical-etymological dictionary, edited by Benkő (Benkő 1967–1984, I, 349; Benkő 1993–1997, I, 129) the Hungarian data for bors [borš] 'pepper' first appeared as a geographical name in a document which was written in 1075, but copied many times and preserved in a copy from the year 1550 in a geographical name in the form Borsu [boršu]. The second data is from 1215 and copied in 1550, also in a geographic name as Burs [burš]. As a common noun it appeared in 1395 as bors [borš] 'pepper, black pepper'. It is doubtful that the first Hungarian data, the geographic name Borsu pertains here. Not because of the final vowel, this could be accounted for, but because the vowel -o- in the first syllable. The -u- > -o- is a regular Middle Hungarian development: borš < Old Hungarian \*burš \leftarrow West Old Turkic \*burš < burč < Early Old Turkic murč.

The word has been connected already by Munkácsi (see above) with Skrt  $mar\bar{\imath}ca$  'pepper'. Mayrhoffer (MAYRHOFFER 1986–2001, II, 321) is surely right when he stresses that this word is not of Indo-European origin, but we do not need to follow the further history of our word to South East Asia. Into Central Asia it surely came through Indian mediation. The Tibetan mar-rci 'red pepper, guinea pepper' quoted also by Ligeti (LIGETI 1986, 303) from (DAS 1960, 1270, s. v. su-ru phan-tsha) is a Tibetanized and vulgar form under the influence of mar 'butter' and rci 'fruit' and is going back to a form \*ma-ri-ca. The usual Tibetan name of the black pepper is pho-ba-ri going back to the same word as English pepper. This word is known in many languages of Central Asia, but we put it now aside.

More interesting are the Persian literary form: marič 'pepper' (STEINGASS 1981, 1211), the Tajik literary murč 'black pepper' and Persian dialectal murč, Afghan murč, märč, mräč quoted by Ligeti (LIGETI 1986, 303), Khotanese miremisya, Sogdian marēnčka, (GHARIB 1995, 216), the data from the Iranian dialects of the South-East: Ishkashim mərč (ABAEV 1958–1989, I. 282), Sarikol mirč, murč (PAHALINA 1971, 105), Wahan mirč (GRJUNBERG-STEBLIN-KAMENSKIJ 1976, 394) and the data of several Caucasian languages (Avar purč, Chechen burč, Ingush burč, Kabard burč all quoted in ABAEV 1958-1989, I, 282 and coming through Turkic → Alan mediation into the languages of Caucasia). Tocharian B mrańco 'Piper nigrum' (ADAMS 1999, 477) is pertaining to the Saka and Sogdian forms, which seem to go back to a form like \*marinča. Without going into details of the inner Iranian relations, it is clear, that there are two traditions, one with a nasal (Saka, Sogdian and from one of them Tocharian B) and the other without the nasal. The Turkic follows the forms without nasal present in the Persian and the South East Iranian dialects. Since the word is surely a word of trade, a migrating word, it is possible that the exact way of mediation will never be cleared.

However we were able to point to the chronology of the word. The word of Indian origin had to be borrowed before the Middle Persian loss of the vowel of the last syllable about the  $3^{rd}$  century A. D. (see RASTORGUEVA—MOLČANOVA 1981, 51). Ind  $marica \rightarrow$  Iranian \*mariča > Middle Persian marič > mirč > murč. The borrowing into Turkic (Middle Iranian  $murc \rightarrow$  Turkic murc) had to occur before the  $5^{th}$  century A. D. because it is present in both East and West Old Turkic, but only after the  $3^{rd}$  century because it reflects the loss of the final vowel in the Iranian word. This is the beginning of the Sassanid period, which shows in archaeology a great revival of trade. The borrowing into Hungarian can be dated only after the  $5^{th}$  century, because of the Turkic change m > b which is reflected not only in Hungarian, but also in Ossetic. The word was borrowed into Hungarian somewhat later then it was copied by Ossetic, after the Old Chuvash change c > s, but before the word became disyllabic. This points to the  $6^{th}-7^{th}$  century. Of course all these dates are relative ones, and the only sure thing is their sequential order.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- ABAEV 1958–1989 = Abaev, V. I.: Istoriko-étimologičeskij slovar' osetinskogo jazyka. I–IV. Moskva-Leningrad 1958–1989.
- ADAMS 1999 = Adams, D. Q.: A dictionary of Tocharian B. Amsterdam 1999.
- BÁRCZI 1941 = Bárczi G.: Magyar szófejtő szótár. Budapest 1941.
- Benkő 1967-1984 = A magyar nyelv történeti-etimológiai szótára. I-IV. Szerk.: Benkő L. Budapest 1967-1984 [vol. I. 1967; vol. II. 1970; vol. III. 1976; vol. IV. (Index) 1984.].
- BENKÓ 1993-1997 = Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Ungarischen. I-III. Hrsg.: Benkő, L. Budapest 1993-1997 [vol. I. 1993; vol. II. 1995; vol. III. (Index) 1997.].
- BERTA-RÓNA-TAS 2002 = Berta, Á.-Róna-Tas, A.: Old Turkic Loan Words in Hungarian. Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 55 (2002), 43-67.
- CLAUSON 1972 = Clauson, (Sir) G.: An etymological dictionary of pre-thirteenth-century Turkish. Oxford.
- DAS 1960 = Das, S. Ch..: A Tibetan-English Dictionary with Sanskrit synonyms. Calcutta 1960 [Reprint of the 1902 edition].
- FEDOTOV 1996 = Fedotov, M. R.: Étimologičeskij slovar' čuvašskogo jazyka. I-II. Čeboksary 1996.
- GHARIB 1995 = Gharib, B.: Sogdian dictionary. Tehran 1995.
- GOMBOCZ 1912 = Gombocz, Z.: Die bulgarisch-türkischen Lehnwörter in der ungarischen Sprache. Helsinki 1912.
- Grjunberg Steblin-Kamenskij 1976 = Grjunberg, A. L. Steblin-Kamenskij, I. M.: Vahanskij jazyk. Moskva 1976.
- LIGETI 1986 = Ligeti L.: A magyar nyelv török kapcsolatai a honfoglalás előtt és az Árpád-korban. Budapest 1986.
- MAYRHOFFER 1986-2001 = Mayrhoffer, M.: Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen. I-III. Heidelberg 1986-2001.
- MUNKÁCSI 1905 = Munkácsi, B.: Beiträge zu den alten arischen Lehnwörtern im Türkischen. Keleti Szemle 6 (1905), 376–379.
- PAHALINA 1971 = Pahalina, T. N.: Sarikol'sko-russkij slovar'. Moskva 1971.

- RASTORGUEVA-MOLČANOVA 1981 = Rastorgueva, V. S.-Molčanova, E. K.: Sredne-persidskij jazyk. In: Osnovy iranskogo jazykoznanija Sredneiranskie jazyki. Red.: Rastorgueva, V. S. et al. Moskva 1981, 6-146.
- STEINGASS 1981 = Steingass, F.: A Comprehensive Persian-English Dictionary. New Delhi 1981<sup>2</sup>.
- VÁMBÉRY 1870 = Vámbéry Á.: Magyar és török-tatár szóegyezések. Nyelvtudományi Közlemények 8 (1870), 109-189.
- VAMBÉRY 1914 = Vámbéry Á.: A magyarság bölcsőjénél. A magyar-török rokonság kezdete és fejlődése. Budapest 1914.